

The Parking Lot Problem

13 November 2007. Maria Arbatskaya, Kaushik Mukhopadhyaya, and Eric Rasmusen.
marbats@emory.edu, kmukhop@emory.edu, Erasmuse@Indiana.edu, <http://www.rasmusen.org>.

Assumptions: N drivers all have the same preferred arrival time, $t = T$ in a parking lot of size K . The value of finding a spot is v , and a player has cost w per period for arriving early, so the loss from arriving early is $L(t) = w(T - t)$. All the players arriving in the period t' when the lot fills have an equal probability p of getting a spot. The cost of increasing the parking lot size is c per spot with linear costs (or $C(K)$ more generally).

In the first best, if $N > K$ all N players arrive at T and K of them park in the parking lot.

Define the *indifference arrival time* as $t^* \equiv T - v/w$, so a player parking then has a payoff of zero. Assume t^* and T are even multiples of Δ so they are feasible.

Full Observability: A player observes all arrivals up through period $t - \Delta$ before he makes his own decision at t .

Unobservability: No player observes any other player's arrival.

Proposition 1. Under either full observability or unobservability, as the time grid becomes infinitely fine and there are more drivers than parking spots ($N > K$), the players fully dissipate rents from the parking lot in any equilibrium.

In other words, if you build a parking lot slightly too small, you would do better not to build one at all.

Figure 2. Welfare from a Parking Lot of Size K when the Number of Drivers Is $N=50$ with No Uncertainty; $c=1$, $w=1$, and $v=5$

