THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SHERMAN DIVISION

TIMOTHY JACKSON,)
Plaintiff,)
VS.)) CIVIL ACTION
LAURA WRIGHT, ET AL.) NO.: 4:21-cv-00033-ALM
Defendants.)
)

ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF

STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD

NOVEMBER 7, 2024

ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF STEPHEN

SLOTTOW, PhD, produced as a witness at the instance of the DEFENDANTS, and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause on November 7, 2024, from 8:31 a.m. to 4:41 p.m., via Zoom teleconference before Vanessa J. Theisen, CSR in and for the State of Texas, and RPR, reported by machine shorthand, at the University of North Texas System, 801 North Texas Boulevard, Gateway Suite #340, Denton, Texas 76201, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions stated on the record or attached hereto.

2 4 APPEARANCES THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here begins the 3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 2 deposition of Dr. Stephen Slottow, Ph.D., relative to 4 Mr. Michael Thad Allen (via Zoom) 3 a case styled Timothy Jackson versus Laura Wright, et ALLEN LAW, LLC P.O. Box 404 4 al, filed in the United States District Court, Quaker Hill, CT 06375 5 Eastern District of Texas, Sherman Division, Civil 6 (860) 772-4738 Mallen@allenharrislaw.com Action No. 4:21-cv-00033-ALM. 8 FOR THE DEFENDANTS LAURA WRIGHT, ET AL: 7 Counsel, at this time would you please Ms. Mary B. Quimby 8 -- the time is 8:31 a.m., and we are on the record. Assistant Attorney General 10 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 9 Counsel, at this time would you please General Litigation Division 10 state your appearances for the record. 11 P.O. Box 12548, Capitol Station Austin, TX 78711-2548 11 MS. QUIMBY: Yes, Mary Quimby for the 12 (512) 463-2120 Mary.quimby@oag.texas.gov 12 defendant. 13 13 MR. ALLEN: Michael Thad Allen --14 FOR THE WITNESS: 15 Mr. Patrick Todd 14 MR. TODD: Pat Todd. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 15 MR. ALLEN: -- for the plaintiff, 16 Administrative Law Division P.O. Box 12548, Capitol Station 16 Timothy Jackson. 17 Austin, TX 78711-2548 (512) 936-1660 17 MR. TODD: Patrick Todd for the witness. 18 Patrick.Todd@oag.texas.gov 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. 19 ALSO PRESENT: 19 MR. ALLEN: I'm sorry, Patrick. I spoke 20 20 Mr. Phil Hall, Videographer over you. 21 Mr. Timothy Jackson (via Zoom) 21 MR. TODD: Sorry. Mr. Justin Foster 22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. At this 22 Mr. Renaldo Stowers Ms. Vanessa J. Theisen, Court Reporter (via Zoom) time would the court reporter please swear in the 23 24 witness. 25 25 3 5 INDEX STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD, PAGE 2 having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: 2 Appearances..... **EXAMINATION** 3 STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD EXAMINATION BY MS. QUIMBY...... 5 4 4 BY MS. QUIMBY: EXAMINATION BY MR. ALLEN...... 104 Q. Okay. Good morning, Mr. Slottow. Is that 5 FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MS. QUIMBY....... 157 6 how you pronounce your last name? FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. ALLEN...... 161 7 Changes and Signature...... 166 7 8 Q. Okay, great. We're here today -- I'm going Reporter's Certificate...... 168 9 to ask you a couple of questions about the Journal of 8 9 **EXHIBITS** 10 Schenkerian Studies and your role in that --10 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 11 A. Uh-huh. 11 12 Q. -- journal and related matters. But first I Curriculum Vitae of 12 Dr. Stephen Slottow...... 15 13 want to go over some preliminary ground rules for 13 2 Journal of Schenkerian Studies 14 depositions. Masthead 15 First, you were just sworn in by the UNT 000848 - 0851......36 14 15 3 MHTE Faculty Response court reporter there on that screen. Do you UNT 000432 - 0433..... 87 understand that you've taken an oath and are 16 18 obligated to tell the truth today? UNT College of Music Faculty and 17 Staff Retreat Invitation 19 A. As I remember it. All these events took UNT 005523 - 5525......106 20 place some years ago. 18 21 Q. Sure. Have you taken any medication that November 25, 2020 Ad Hoc Panel Report 19 Jackson000208 - 0233.....146 22 would inhibit your memory today? 20 23 21 Q. Is there anything else that would inhibit 22 23 REPORTER'S NOTE 25 your memory or ability to tell the truth today? Quotation marks are used for clarity and do not 24 necessarily reflect a direct quote. 25

8

6 1 A. Age, the fact that all this happened three 1 MR. TODD: Never mind. Go ahead. 2 or four years ago and I haven't thought much about it 2 A. Okay. One that says, "Questions for 3 since. Slottow," with seven points; Confidential 4 Q. Okay. Understood. Attorney-Client Privileged Communication. 5 A. And I haven't done more than cursorily look 5 Q. I'm not asking about that one. 6 over these documents, because I finished a project 6 A. Oh, that's right. There's no UNT. 7 only last night, and my request for a postponement 7 An invitation to a college of music 8 retreat at the Gateway Center Ballroom. I don't know was not approved. 9 9 why this is here. Q. What documents did you review? 10 10 A. The ones that were sent to me. They're here Q. Okay. 11 in this pile. Do you want me to describe each one of 11 A. Another Questions for Slottow. 12 them? 12 Q. If you don't mind, could you -- what is the 13 Q. If you don't mind, I would appreciate it. 13 number on that one? 14 A. Questions for Slottow, Confidential 14 A. 002640. 15 Attorney-Client Privileged --15 Q. Okay. Thank you. MR. TODD: Objection. Privileged. Do A. The next page -- do you want -- just want 16 16 17 the numbers from now on? 17 not answer it. THE WITNESS: Huh? 18 Q. That would be helpful. 18 MR. TODD: Do not answer that. Those 19 A. Okay. The next one is 002642, 002644, 19 20 are -- that's attorney-client privileged documents. 20 00300. Each page is a different number. 21 MS. QUIMBY: Are they documents that 21 Q. Right. You can just tell me the first page 22 22 have been -of each document. 23 MR. TODD: They're from me. 23 A. One is -- this one is -- this once does not 24 MS. QUIMBY: Okay. Are they documents 24 have a -- do you just want the ones that have a UNT that have been produced in this matter? 25 number? 25

7

MR. TODD: Some of them might have, but 1 2 those notes from me are my notes to my client. Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Okay. I'm not asking you 3 4 to describe the notes from your attorney to you. A. I don't know which ones are the notes from 6 the attorney to me. I just have a pile of these documents. I'm not sure which ones you're talking 8 about. 9 Q. Okay. How many documents do you have there? A. Seven. 10 11 Q. Okay. If there is a "UNT" -- do you see at 12 the bottom of that first document there is a "UNT" at 13 the bottom? 14 A. Yes. Q. That means it was produced in this matter. 15 Can you describe each of the documents that have the UNT insignia at the bottom of the page? 17 A. One says, "Questions for Slottow" beginning, 18 19 "What is your role" --20 MR. TODD: Those are my notes, so not 21 that document. That doesn't have UNT on it.

THE WITNESS: It does.

MS. QUIMBY: It does.

MR. TODD: Does it?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

22

23

24

25

Q. Is that one labeled Exhibit D? 1 Q. Can you flip to the next page? Okay. Is that the ad hoc review panel report, to your 5 knowledge? 6 MR. TODD: That's what it appears to be. 7 A. Yeah. 8 Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Okay. 9 A. 005523, and that's it. 10 Q. What is that last one, if you could describe 11 it briefly for me. A. This is a college of music retreat on 12 13 inclusion, diversity, equity, and access. I don't 14 know why it's here. 15 Q. Okay. Thank you. 16 A. And then I brought along my own copy of the Journal of Schenkerian Studies Volume 12 because I have an article I might want to refer to. 19 Q. And is that -- that is the full print 20 version of the --21 A. Right. Q. -- Volume 12, correct? 22 23 A. Uh-huh. 24 Q. Okav. Thank you.

In addition -- besides conversations

10 12 1 with your attorney about this deposition, have you 1 A. No. 2 talked to anyone else about this deposition? And 2 Q. Have you ever testified in court otherwise? 3 that includes Mr. Todd and Mr. Foster. 3 A. I've been on juries, but that's it. 4 A. That it's happening, you mean? 4 Q. Okay. Understood. 5 5 Q. I mean -- yes. Okay. Briefly, I'm going to ask you 6 A. Well, I mentioned to my husband that I'm 6 some questions about your background. This is just 7 going to a deposition. Tim Jackson knows. 7 to have an understanding of your role at UNT and how 8 Q. Uh-huh. you got here. 9 9 A. In fact, he's listening in. I think that's So how long have you been a professor at 10 it. 10 the University of North Texas in the college of 11 Q. Did you talk to Dr. Jackson about the 11 music? 12 deposition other than that you were attending? 12 A. In the rank -- the rank of professor or 13 A. No. 13 teaching at UNT? 14 Q. Okay. Anyone else? 14 Q. If you don't mind just walking me through 15 A. Well, he told me he was going to listen in 15 when you first started teaching here and when you moved up in the ranks, if --16 on Zoom, but that's it. I was not sure what was proper to discuss with him, so I did not say anything 17 A. Yeah, that's on the CV. 17 18 18 So I began as a lecturer in Sep -- 2001 else 19 No, I don't think there's been anyone 19 for one year. Then I was an assistant professor from 20 else 20 2002 to 2008. I was an associate professor from 2008 21 Q. Okay. Got it. Thank you. to 2020, and I was a full professor, am a full 22 We have a videographer here, a court 22 professor, from 2020 to the present. 23 reporter who is here virtually. She's going to be 23 Q. Okay. So you attained the rank of full taking down what you say today, so I would just ask 24 professor in 2020? that you use verbal responses instead of nodding. So 25 A. Yes, in September. 25 11 13 1 far so good. But just, you know, if I -- if you nod Q. Okay. Are you tenured? 1 2 your head or something at some point today and I ask 2 A. Yes. 3 you to give a verbal answer, I'm not trying to be 3 Q. How long have you been tenured? 4 rude. It's just we want to make sure we have a clear A. Well, that came with associate professor, so 5 record for the court reporter. 5 that would be since 2008. 6 A. If I nod, it will be accompanied with a 6 Q. Okay. So you started in 2001 as a lecturer, 7 verbal answer. 7 you said, correct? A. Uh-huh. Q. Sure. If you need a break today, let me 8 8 know. We'll probably take a break about every hour Q. Briefly, before we talk more about your 10 or so. If you need one more often, just let me know, 10 history at UNT, what degree do you hold? 11 and we can take a break. 11 A. I have a bachelor's degree from Cleveland 12 A. Okay. I cannot go beyond 11:30, though. 12 State University, a master's degree from Queens 13 Q. I understand that, yes. 13 College, and a doctorate from the City University of 14 A. Okay. 14 New York. Q. One other thing about making a clear record 15 15 Q. And what are each of those degrees in? for the court reporter is that I ask that you wait 16 A. The bachelor's is in music history. The 17 master's and the doctorate are in music theory. I 17 until I finish asking my question before you begin answering, because if we're talking over each other, 18 don't know if they -- I think you just get a she can't take down both of us talking at once. 19 degree -- I don't think you get actually a degree in 19 20 Have you ever had your deposition taken music theory. I think you get a degree in music, and 21 before? 21 it's like the specialization is music theory or 22 A. No. 22 something. I would have to look on the diploma. 23 Q. Have you ever testified in court? 23 The master's was en-route master's from 24 A. As a witness? 24 Queens. So the way the City University works is 25 Q. Yes. 25 that -- of New York works is that you can either

1 enter and get an en-route master's, so it's -- or

2 else you can get an actual master's after two years.

3 So with the en-route master's you have

4 to take a test or write a paper, and I was in that

5 program. It's the preferred one, actually. So you

6 enter with a bachelor's, and then you go all the way

7 to the PhD, and on the way you get an en-route

8 master's.

15

9 Q. I see. Okay. So was it effectively a PhD

10 program that you had to earn your master's before you

11 did the PhD, but you --

12 A. No. That's the point of getting the

13 en-route master's is that you -- it's a PhD program

14 you enter with the bachelor's degree.

Q. Uh-huh, okay. When did you earn your

16 bachelor's degree?

17 A. That was -- let's see. When did I earn it?

18 I don't know. It's not really written down here.

19 Q. That you recall. If you don't recall right

20 now, that's okay.

21 A. Hold on.

22 Q. For the record, too, I'll let you keep

23 looking, but I would like to get a copy of the CV,

24 and we can use that as an exhibit.

25 A. Sure. I can either send an electronic copy

1 A. I don't think so, no.

14

2 Q. When you joined UNT in 2001 as a lecturer --

3 well, let me ask it this way: At some point you

4 became involved in the Center for Schenkerian

5 Studies, correct?

6 A. Right.

7 Q. Do you know when you joined or became a part

8 of the center?

9 A. Well, that happened when -- well, it didn't

10 happen when I was a lecturer, but it happened when I

11 became an assistant professor.

12 One of the responsibilities of the job

13 was actually to be involved -- Dr. Jackson wanted

14 someone to help him with the center, someone who was

15 experienced in Schenkerian analysis. So in addition

16 to the usual things of teaching, research, service,

17 etcetera, one of the responsibilities, as I recall

18 it, was to be involved in the center.

19 Q. Who gave you that, we'll call it, job or a

20 role?

21 A. Well, I don't know, because that's --

22 that's -- the search committee determined the

23 parameters of what I was to do. I was not privy to

24 the search committee, of course. But since

25 Dr. Jackson was the head of the center, the idea

or someone can copy this.

Q. We'll copy it on our break or something.

(Exhibit 1 identified.)

A. I don't know exactly when I got my

5 bachelor's. Some time elapsed between getting my

6 bachelor's and entering the doctoral program, so I'm

7 not sure

3

8 Q. Do you remember when you earned your

9 master's or PhD?

10 A. Well, the PhD was earned -- oh, let's see --

11 I think about 2000. That's -- yeah.

12 Q. What did you do between earning your

13 bachelor's and going for your master's?

14 A. I was a working musician in Cleveland,

15 playing in a bluegrass band. I was a fiddler and a

16 banjo player. And I kept on doing that for a while,

17 so there was a hiatus. After I got my bachelor's, I

18 wasn't sure what to do next. So that's what I did.

19 Q. Are you from Cleveland?

20 A. No.

21 Q. Where are you from?

22 A. Urbana, Illinois.

23 Q. Okay. I ask because I'm from Ohio.

24 Before you joined at UNT, did you have

25 any other jobs in academia?

15

1 probably came from him.

Q. When you say, "the search committee," what

3 are you talking about?

4 A. Well, when you apply for an academic job,

5 there is a search committee, a faculty, who evaluate

6 who -- from the -- from the applications, they

7 evaluate who is going to go to the next step of being

8 interviewed. And they handle the interviews, and

9 they are the ones that make the short list, and they

10 are the ones who pick who is to get the job.

11 Now, the candidate is not privy to the

12 deliberations of the search committee, of course.

13 Q. Sure

14 A. So anything they did is -- I wasn't there

15 for.

16 Q. Sure. So what is -- can you describe the

17 Center of Schenkerian Studies for me?

18 A. Well, at that time, it was pretty much a

19 project of Dr. Jackson's, and it included a journal,

20 a -- putting on concerts, producing sound recordings,

21 CDs. I think that's about it. Certain --

22 far-flung --

23 Q. Uh-huh.

24 A. -- Schenkerian activities having to do with

25 Schenkerian analysis.

17

18 20 1 I think I was hired -- I think one of my 1 well. 2 responsibilities was the journal specifically. 2 Q. Okay. 3 Q. Within the center? 3 A. She was there for some years. 4 A. Yes, within the center. 4 Q. And you said -- and I understand if you 5 Q. Okay. So that kind of leads me to my next 5 don't know when this occurred, but UNT Press took question. What exactly did you do in the center or over the business side. Is that right? 7 the journal or if they're related? 7 A. Yeah, after some years. I can't tell you A. Well, in the beginning, I handled the 8 8 when. I don't know. 9 journal. The journal had an editor; Jennifer Sadoff 9 Q. So after the UNT Press took over the 10 was her name. But I actually handled the business business side of things, what was your role then? 11 side of the journal, taking care of subscribers, 11 A. Just to help run the center in terms of 12 keeping records, correspondence, etcetera, keeping 12 policy, along with Tim Jackson. 13 the files. And then I was also consulted on other 13 Q. What do you mean by "policy"? 14 business of the journal. I'm not sure what my 14 A. Questions that came up about whether the 15 "rank" --15 center -- whether there's going to be a theme issue 16 Q. Uh-huh. 16 of the journal or a concert or -- the center had to 17 A. -- quote-unquote was. sort of justify its existence to UNT. There were 18 Eventually, I found that the business regular meetings, like every year, I think, that we side -- taking care of the business side while had to report to -- give a report to show that we 19 were a center, a UNT center, and we put those 20 teaching was really too much and too complicated. So 21 we -- that was taken over by UNT press. together jointly, things like that. 22 And then at some point I became kind 22 Q. Okay. Did you have -- within the center 23 of -- I was never the editor. The editor of the 23 were there any other employees of the center? 24 A. Well, first of all, we weren't paid. journal was always a student, and they made -- they had really most of the power about what was going 25 Q. Sure. 19 21 1 into the journal. A. So in a sense there were no employees of the 1 2 Where was I? At some point, I became 2 center. 3 sort of supervisor of the -- under Tim Jackson, sort Q. Uh-huh. 3 4 of supervisor of the -- not supervisor, director of A. There was Tim. there was me, there was the the center. Then that was changed to codirector. It 5 editor of the journal. I think that's it. 6 was just a name change. My responsibilities didn't 6 Q. Was the editor of the journal -- you said 7 change. 7 they were always a student? A. Uh-huh. 8 I can't really tell you exactly when 8 these things happened. And then I stayed with that 9 Q. Were they paid? 10 10 A. No. Well, they got a stipend. 11 Q. I have a few follow-up questions. So 11 Q. Uh-huh. 12 Jennifer Sadoff, you said she was the editor when you 12 A. They were a fellow, I think. They had a 13 started? 13 fellowship or half a fellowship, so they were paid 14 A. She was the first editor. I think that 14 through the college of music, yes. 15 actually the journal started when I came in. 15 Q. Okay. And you said you were -- you 16 Q. Okay. eventually had the title of codirector. Do you 17 remember what the title was before that? A. Because I was there when Issue 1 was 17 18 A. No. I don't even know if it was codirector, 18 produced. 19 Q. And that would have been about, what, 2002? 19 actually. That's what it amounted to. 20 A. Well, it would have been shortly after I 20 It's possible it's on the journal. 21 started. So on -- let's see. Yeah, probably around 21 Let's see. It says, "Advisory Board, Timothy L. 22 2002, 2003. Jackson, Stephen Slottow," but I'm pretty sure that

23

23

24

Q. So was Ms. Sadoff a student?

A. Yeah, she was, I think, a -- she was a music

theory major, I think, and she played bassoon as

"codirector" was in there somewhere.

25 haven't thought that much about it.

As I say, that was some years ago, and I

22 1 MR. ALLEN: Can we have the witness dates. Since there was no reason to make 2 identify for the record what document he's consulting chronological notes, I did not do so.

and have that marked or whatever. I think it's the

Volume 12, but I don't know what he's consulting.

THE WITNESS: This is Volume 12 of the 6

6 Journal of Schenkerian Studies.

MS. QUIMBY: Thank you.

MR. ALLEN: Should we mark that as an

9 exhibit? Do you want to mark it as an exhibit or

10 just have the record reflect that he consulted the

11 print copy of that?

3

5

7

8

12 MS. QUIMBY: I think we'll just -- we

13 won't mark it as an exhibit for now.

14 MR. ALLEN: Okay.

15 MS. QUIMBY: It's the physical copy, the

16 book copy, so we'll just note for the record that

it's been referenced. 17

18 MR. ALLEN: That's fine.

MS. QUIMBY: Okay. 19

20 MR. ALLEN: Thank you. Sorry to

21 interrupt.

22 MS. QUIMBY: No problem.

23 Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) So how long were you

24 involved in both the center and/or the journal?

25 A. Well, they were -- I was involved in both Q. When you say -- you said "they" -- I believe

you used "they" withdrew support for the center. Who

is "they"? 5

A. "They" is the college of music of UNT.

7 Q. Do you know who made that decision?

A. Well, certainly Benjamin Brand, who was the

division chair of music history, theory, and

ethnomusicology, was probably the main person, but I

don't think he would have the power -- well, maybe he

12 would. But he probably did not do it just himself.

13 Probably the dean was involved. I'm not sure who the

14 dean was at the time. It might have been Jim Scott,

15 or it might not have.

16 I don't know who else was involved. I

17 mean, we were not involved. We were just told.

18 Q. What were you told?

A. Well, I wasn't told anything, Tim was --19

20 Dr. Jackson was told that the journal was essentially

being -- he could correct me if I have this wrong --

taken out of the hands of the center, of our hands,

and the university did not know what -- the college

of music didn't know what they were going to do with

it. They tried various things. It's essentially

1 starting when I was starting as assistant professor.

2

A. So that would probably be 2002, and then the 3

Volume 12 of the journal was the last issue of the

journal, after which things fell apart.

6 I have the date of the journal, which is

2019, but I can't tell you exactly when that took

place. Often the dates of journals are not the

actual -- the actual dates.

10 Q. So correct me if I'm wrong, but you ended

11 your involvement after the Volume 12 was published?

A. Yes. Not immediately. After Volume 12 12

published, there was a huge foofaraw and hue and cry, 13

and UNT got cold feet and sort of withdrew the 14

support for the center. 15

16 It took a while, and there was this ad

17 hoc review, and the whole thing began -- and they

basically took the center away from us, especially 18

away from Dr. Jackson. And I think at that point I 19

20 felt there was nothing to be gained by continuing in

21 this sort of fictitious role of codirector. Of what?

22 Who knows. So I told Dr. Jackson I was withdrawing

23 at that time.

24 Q. Okav. Do you recall when that was?

25 A. No. I'm really -- I really am very bad at

defunct at this point. 1

23

8

Q. Is your statement that they withdrew support

for the center based on what you've heard from

Timothy Jackson?

5 A. Well, mainly, but it was widely known. Yes.

6 Q. How did you -- okay, so mainly. But is

7 there any other way you came to that knowledge?

A. I don't really remember. I mean, it might

have been communicated to both of us, but the college

of music did not want to be embarrassed, further

11 embarrassed, by the reaction to Volume 12, and they

simply said we're -- "it's not yours any longer." 12

13 I don't know -- did I get that from

14 Dr. Jackson or from -- I think it was mainly from

15 Dr. Jackson. I can't recall more precisely.

16 Q. You said the college was embarrassed. What

17 do you mean by that?

A. The reviews of -- the articles on Philip 18

Ewell's address to the -- he was part of the plenary 19

address at the Society of Music Theory annual meeting

where he attacked, among other things, Schenkerian

analysis in a particularly, I would say -- well, I

23 can't think of the word.

Anyway, we -- he was giving -- and it

created a huge stir, and there was no -- there was no

25

26 28 1 opportunity given for a response. 1 Q. Do you think the college's reputation was 2 Usually at a plenary address there's 2 damaged by the journal? 3 some room for questions and responses afterwards. A. I don't know. If music theory -- the 4 But this plenary address, since he was one of, I 4 division of music history and ethnomusicology, they 5 were certainly on the receiving end of a lot of 5 think, three or four people who was talking on different topics, there were no question-and-answer disapproval, so they certainly thought they were. 7 periods. Perhaps their reputation was damaged by those who 8 were outraged that we would criticize Philip Ewell's And then, very unusually, there was opinions. 9 no -- there were no articles. There was no response 9 10 10 in the journals. There was nothing. There was no Q. Who are you talking about when you say, "by 11 opportunity to give any response. So the Journal of 11 those who were outraged that you would criticize 12 Schenkerian Studies decided that we would post a 12 Philip Ewell's opinions"? 13 response and solicit articles from music theorists, 13 A. Music theorists, musicologists, people 14 including us, Dr. Jackson and myself, whoever wanted 14 like -- people like -- people who would -- wrote 15 to respond. 15 blogs on music. 16 16 This led to -- we were -- since Ewell If you look on YouTube, there is an was accusing Heinrich Schenker of being a racist and 17 awful lot of support for Ewell's views, and, mixed 17 that his racism was affecting his music theory, with that, would be attacks on Dr. Jackson, to some therefore, he was promulgating a racist music theory, extent me, and sort of by reflection, on the music 19 theory department of UNT -- not department -- area, 20 and it was certainly the kiss of death to be in any 20 yes. It was a big kerfuffle, yes. 21 way associated with racism, the school was terribly, 22 terribly embarrassed and then afraid of bad publicity 22 Q. And so was this coming from outside of UNT, 23 and reacted to that. That's what I mean. 23 inside of UNT? 24 A. Well, it was certainly coming from outside Q. Who at the school was -- reacted, as you 24 25 say? 25 of UNT, but it was coming from inside UNT also, 27 29 A. Well, I know that Dr. Brand did and the dean 1 because a petition was put together by the majority 2 did. And beyond that, I don't know for certain. 2 of UNT -- well, of faculty of the division of music 3 history, music theory, and ethnomusicology 3 Q. How do you know that Dr. Brand and the dean 4 did? 4 attacking -- and also a separate petition by GAMuT, 5 A. Because it was -- as I said, it was 5 which is a graduate student organization of the 6 Dr. Brand, with consultation from the dean, who told 6 division, attacking the -- criticizing the journal 7 us that we would no longer be handling the journal and criticizing Dr. Jackson, in particular, of 8 and the center. 8 essentially being a racist. And I think the student 9 Q. He told you that? petition demanded his ouster from the university. A. Well, he told Tim -- he told Dr. Jackson 10 10 And a lot of the music theory facul --11 that, I think. I don't think he told me directly, 11 the music theory and history -- well, the faculty of 12 and I got it from Dr. Jackson, certainly. 12 the division signed this -- not everyone did. And 13 Q. Okay. So you said Dr. Brand and the dean at 13 all of those people are now defendants in 14 the time. Was the dean John Richmond by chance? 14 Dr. Jackson's suit. Well, all of the faculty and one 15 A. I don't -- I'm not sure if the dean -- if it 15 student who's no longer a student at UNT. She's at was Richmond or Scott. I -- for some reason I think 16 Yale. it was Richmond, but I'm not entirely sure. I think 17 Q. Uh-huh. 17

18

19

20

22

24

25

23 second.

A. What was the question?

21 please read it back?

Q. I'm sorry, I don't recall either.

MS. QUIMBY: Court reporter, could you

THE REPORTER: Yes, give me just a

THE REPORTER: "And so was this coming

MS. QUIMBY: Yes, thank you.

18

19

21

22

23 24 it was John Richmond.

getting plenty of bad publicity.

20 embarrassed?

Q. Do you think they had reason to be

the schools, and they're very sensitive to bad

A. Well, administrators are always terribly

concerned with the reputation of their programs and

publicity, so they probably did. We were certainly

1 from outside of UNT, inside of UNT?"

- 2 A. Oh, yes. So it was coming from -- what I
- 3 was describing is what was coming from inside UNT. I
- 4 think before I was describing what was coming from
- 5 outside.
- 6 Q. So the YouTube posts and blogs posts you
- 7 described earlier, that was from outside of UNT?
- 8 A. Yes
- 9 Q. Okay. You described both -- you described
- 10 petitions of both the faculty and the students,
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. Well, students through the student
- 13 organization called GAMuT. I forget exactly what
- 14 GAMuT stands for, but it's an association of music
- 15 history, theory -- music history and theory graduate
- 16 students.
- 17 Q. What about it was a petition, or why did you
- 18 use the word "petition"?
- 19 A. Is it not a good word? A document that
- 20 people signed onto requesting, nay demanding. I
- 21 think "petition" is a fine -- is appropriate.
- Q. I wasn't questioning you. I'm just curious
- 23 about why you chose that word, that's all.
- 24 A. I think because that's what it was.
- 25 Q. That's fair. So you described the faculty

1 you --

30

- 2 A. Yeah, I was trying to remember it. MHTE,
- 3 yes.
- 4 Q. Do you remember who didn't of the MHTE
- 5 faculty?
- 6 A. Yes, well I --
- 7 MR. ALLEN: Objection. Form.
- 8 A. -- I don't remember everyone who didn't, but
- 9 the three people who didn't was me, Dr. Heetderks,
- 10 and Dr. Schwartz.
- 11 Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) How many total faculty do
- 12 you recall --
- 13 A. I don't recall.
- 14 Q. -- in the MHTE at that time?
- 15 A. I don't know how many there are. As I said,
- 16 I'm not very good with dates and numbers.
- 17 Q. Okay. No problem.
- 18 A. I would just -- I would have to go to a
- 19 register and count them.
- 20 Q. You said Dr. Heetderks and Schwarz and
- 21 yourself, correct?
- 22 A. Us three for sure. I -- they're the only
- 23 three I can think of.
- 24 Q. Do you remember receiving an email from
- 25 someone else on the faculty circulating that

1 petition -- and I believe both of the petitions -- as

- 2 attacking Dr. Jackson. Is that right?
- 3 A. Yes. I think myself to some extent but
- 4 mainly Dr. Jackson.
- 5 Q. What about the faculty petition attacked
- 6 Dr. Jackson, if you recall?
- 7 A. Well, what about them? What do you mean?
- 8 Q. Why could you say that they were -- why do
- 9 you use the word "attack"?
- 10 A. Well, they were attacked. They were a harsh
- 11 criticism. They -- I don't think they demanded he be
- 12 fired like the graduate student petition, but they
- 13 were decrying his temerity in publishing such an
- 14 issue and making what they conceived of as personal
- 15 attacks on Philip Ewell in his own article -- one of
- 16 the articles is his -- and basically taking Ewell's
- 17 side in all this and saying that Schenker was a
- 18 racist and that Dr. Jackson was a racist for
- 19 defending Schenker, and it was all quite disgraceful.
- 20 Q. And you said the majority of faculty signed
- 21 that, correct?
- 22 A. Of music history and theory faculty, yes.
- 23 Q. Is that yeah the MHTE?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Can we use -- if we use that acronym, will

1 petition?

31

- 2 A. Dr. Geoffroy-Schwinden, I think, is the one
- 3 who started it.
- 4 Q. Uh-huh.
- 5 A. And I did get emails from her, asking me to
- 6 sign it.
- 7 Q. Did you respond?
 - A. Yeah. I think I said no, I wouldn't sign
- 8 9 it.
- 10 Q. Did you object to any of the content in the
- 11 letter that was being circulated?
- 12 A. Yeah, almost all of it.
- 13 Q. Did you tell her that?
- 14 A. Yeah, I think I did. I said I didn't want
- 15 to sign it for two reasons. Because, one, being in
- 16 the center, I thought it would be rather hypocritical
- 17 to protest actions that I was a part of and sort of
- 18 ridiculous. I didn't use that word.
- 19 And the other was that I did not believe
- 20 in the charges that were being made. I did not think
- 21 it was a -- that it was a rac -- that Dr. Jackson or
- 22 the journal was being racist in any way by discussing
- 23 and soliciting responses.
- 24 The only responses officially that
- 25 existed at the time -- there was this strained

33

	0.4			
4	34	1	an Exhibit 1, so let's mark that as Exhibit 2.	36
1	silence for a long time after Ewell's SMT address	2		
2	and the article based on it that appeared in Music Theory Online, and I think the actual address he gave	3	MS. QUIMBY: I'm sorry, this will be Exhibit 2.	
3	verbatim also appeared in, I think, Music Theory	4		
4	Spectrum. I'm not sure which one appeared in which.	5	MR. ALLEN: Exhibit 2, right? Exhibit 1 is the full	
5	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_		
6	Q. Did that did the verbatim publishing of	6	MS. QUIMBY: You can hang onto that.	
7	his speech and the publishing of his paper occur	7	MR. ALLEN: print copy of the Volume	
8	before or after Volume 12 was published?	8	12.	
9	A. I think it occurred after. We had a	9	THE WITNESS: You might want to change	
10	recording of the speech, and we transcribed the	10	the number.	
11	recording and were responding to that.	11	MS. QUIMBY: Actually, the Exhibit 1, is	
12	Somewhere along the line an article	12	that the full volume?	
13	based and expanding his SMT talk appeared in either	13	MR. ALLEN: Which he referred to in the	
14	Journal either Music Theory Spectrum or Music	14	course of the deposition, yes.	
15	Theory Online. I don't think that was out I don't	15	(Exhibit 2 marked.)	
16	think that was published yet when this came out, but	16	Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Dr. Slottow, I'll have you	
17	I might be wrong. Yeah.	17	just take a look at that while I'm putting this in	
18	Q. When you published Volume 12, were you aware	18	the Zoom chat.	
19	that those were forthcoming?	19	A. Okay.	
20	A. I was aware that there was an article	20	MS. QUIMBY: Mike, do you see that there	
21	expanding the talk that was forthcoming, yes.	21	in the chat?	
22	Q. Did you consider waiting until that was	22	MR. ALLEN: I just did get it, yep.	
23	published to publish the responses to his talk?	23	MS. QUIMBY: Would you prefer that I	
24	A. We might have, but I don't think we	24	also share I don't know now I'm getting	
25	considered it very much. We felt that some sort of a	25	feedback.	
	35			37
1	35 response to the talk, which was I mean, it's the	1	MR ALLEN: We just got an echo. Did	37
1	response to the talk, which was I mean, it's the	1 2	MR. ALLEN: We just got an echo. Did	37
2	response to the talk, which was I mean, it's the main it's the annual meeting of the major	2	you hear that?	37
3	response to the talk, which was I mean, it's the main it's the annual meeting of the major professional society for music theory with a huge	2	you hear that? MS. QUIMBY: Yeah, for some reason my	37
3 4	response to the talk, which was I mean, it's the main it's the annual meeting of the major professional society for music theory with a huge attendance and huge publicity. It had been followed	2 3 4	you hear that? MS. QUIMBY: Yeah, for some reason my laptop audio turned on.	37
2 3 4 5	response to the talk, which was I mean, it's the main it's the annual meeting of the major professional society for music theory with a huge attendance and huge publicity. It had been followed by this very strange vacuum of no response. I think	2 3 4 5	you hear that? MS. QUIMBY: Yeah, for some reason my laptop audio turned on. MR. ALLEN: So this will be Exhibit 2,	37
2 3 4 5 6	response to the talk, which was I mean, it's the main it's the annual meeting of the major professional society for music theory with a huge attendance and huge publicity. It had been followed by this very strange vacuum of no response. I think we felt that it was more important to have some	2 3 4 5 6	you hear that? MS. QUIMBY: Yeah, for some reason my laptop audio turned on. MR. ALLEN: So this will be Exhibit 2, sorry, for the record?	37
2 3 4 5 6 7	response to the talk, which was I mean, it's the main it's the annual meeting of the major professional society for music theory with a huge attendance and huge publicity. It had been followed by this very strange vacuum of no response. I think we felt that it was more important to have some response out there. At least that's my recollection.	2 3 4 5 6 7	you hear that? MS. QUIMBY: Yeah, for some reason my laptop audio turned on. MR. ALLEN: So this will be Exhibit 2, sorry, for the record? MS. QUIMBY: Yes. Are you okay to just	37
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	response to the talk, which was I mean, it's the main it's the annual meeting of the major professional society for music theory with a huge attendance and huge publicity. It had been followed by this very strange vacuum of no response. I think we felt that it was more important to have some response out there. At least that's my recollection. Q. Okay. Back to the student who has been sued	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you hear that? MS. QUIMBY: Yeah, for some reason my laptop audio turned on. MR. ALLEN: So this will be Exhibit 2, sorry, for the record? MS. QUIMBY: Yes. Are you okay to just view it download it and pull it up that way?	37
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	response to the talk, which was I mean, it's the main it's the annual meeting of the major professional society for music theory with a huge attendance and huge publicity. It had been followed by this very strange vacuum of no response. I think we felt that it was more important to have some response out there. At least that's my recollection. Q. Okay. Back to the student who has been sued in this lawsuit, Rachel Gain. Do you know her?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you hear that? MS. QUIMBY: Yeah, for some reason my laptop audio turned on. MR. ALLEN: So this will be Exhibit 2, sorry, for the record? MS. QUIMBY: Yes. Are you okay to just view it download it and pull it up that way? MR. ALLEN: Yes, that's perfectly fine.	37
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	response to the talk, which was I mean, it's the main it's the annual meeting of the major professional society for music theory with a huge attendance and huge publicity. It had been followed by this very strange vacuum of no response. I think we felt that it was more important to have some response out there. At least that's my recollection. Q. Okay. Back to the student who has been sued in this lawsuit, Rachel Gain. Do you know her? A. Not well. She was a student in a class of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you hear that? MS. QUIMBY: Yeah, for some reason my laptop audio turned on. MR. ALLEN: So this will be Exhibit 2, sorry, for the record? MS. QUIMBY: Yes. Are you okay to just view it download it and pull it up that way? MR. ALLEN: Yes, that's perfectly fine. I can see it here, yeah.	37
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	response to the talk, which was I mean, it's the main it's the annual meeting of the major professional society for music theory with a huge attendance and huge publicity. It had been followed by this very strange vacuum of no response. I think we felt that it was more important to have some response out there. At least that's my recollection. Q. Okay. Back to the student who has been sued in this lawsuit, Rachel Gain. Do you know her? A. Not well. She was a student in a class of mine. Well, I didn't know her personally outside of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you hear that? MS. QUIMBY: Yeah, for some reason my laptop audio turned on. MR. ALLEN: So this will be Exhibit 2, sorry, for the record? MS. QUIMBY: Yes. Are you okay to just view it download it and pull it up that way? MR. ALLEN: Yes, that's perfectly fine. I can see it here, yeah. MS. QUIMBY: Okay.	37
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	response to the talk, which was I mean, it's the main it's the annual meeting of the major professional society for music theory with a huge attendance and huge publicity. It had been followed by this very strange vacuum of no response. I think we felt that it was more important to have some response out there. At least that's my recollection. Q. Okay. Back to the student who has been sued in this lawsuit, Rachel Gain. Do you know her? A. Not well. She was a student in a class of mine. Well, I didn't know her personally outside of that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you hear that? MS. QUIMBY: Yeah, for some reason my laptop audio turned on. MR. ALLEN: So this will be Exhibit 2, sorry, for the record? MS. QUIMBY: Yes. Are you okay to just view it download it and pull it up that way? MR. ALLEN: Yes, that's perfectly fine. I can see it here, yeah. MS. QUIMBY: Okay. Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Okay, Dr. Slottow. Have	37
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	response to the talk, which was I mean, it's the main it's the annual meeting of the major professional society for music theory with a huge attendance and huge publicity. It had been followed by this very strange vacuum of no response. I think we felt that it was more important to have some response out there. At least that's my recollection. Q. Okay. Back to the student who has been sued in this lawsuit, Rachel Gain. Do you know her? A. Not well. She was a student in a class of mine. Well, I didn't know her personally outside of that. Q. Okay. If you will bear with me a moment, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you hear that? MS. QUIMBY: Yeah, for some reason my laptop audio turned on. MR. ALLEN: So this will be Exhibit 2, sorry, for the record? MS. QUIMBY: Yes. Are you okay to just view it download it and pull it up that way? MR. ALLEN: Yes, that's perfectly fine. I can see it here, yeah. MS. QUIMBY: Okay. Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Okay, Dr. Slottow. Have you had a chance to look at this?	37
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	response to the talk, which was I mean, it's the main it's the annual meeting of the major professional society for music theory with a huge attendance and huge publicity. It had been followed by this very strange vacuum of no response. I think we felt that it was more important to have some response out there. At least that's my recollection. Q. Okay. Back to the student who has been sued in this lawsuit, Rachel Gain. Do you know her? A. Not well. She was a student in a class of mine. Well, I didn't know her personally outside of that. Q. Okay. If you will bear with me a moment, I have an exhibit I want to show you. It is part of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you hear that? MS. QUIMBY: Yeah, for some reason my laptop audio turned on. MR. ALLEN: So this will be Exhibit 2, sorry, for the record? MS. QUIMBY: Yes. Are you okay to just view it download it and pull it up that way? MR. ALLEN: Yes, that's perfectly fine. I can see it here, yeah. MS. QUIMBY: Okay. Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Okay, Dr. Slottow. Have you had a chance to look at this? A. Yeah.	37
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	response to the talk, which was I mean, it's the main it's the annual meeting of the major professional society for music theory with a huge attendance and huge publicity. It had been followed by this very strange vacuum of no response. I think we felt that it was more important to have some response out there. At least that's my recollection. Q. Okay. Back to the student who has been sued in this lawsuit, Rachel Gain. Do you know her? A. Not well. She was a student in a class of mine. Well, I didn't know her personally outside of that. Q. Okay. If you will bear with me a moment, I have an exhibit I want to show you. It is part of the Journal of Schenkerian Studies, which you have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you hear that? MS. QUIMBY: Yeah, for some reason my laptop audio turned on. MR. ALLEN: So this will be Exhibit 2, sorry, for the record? MS. QUIMBY: Yes. Are you okay to just view it download it and pull it up that way? MR. ALLEN: Yes, that's perfectly fine. I can see it here, yeah. MS. QUIMBY: Okay. Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Okay, Dr. Slottow. Have you had a chance to look at this? A. Yeah. Q. What is it?	37
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	response to the talk, which was I mean, it's the main it's the annual meeting of the major professional society for music theory with a huge attendance and huge publicity. It had been followed by this very strange vacuum of no response. I think we felt that it was more important to have some response out there. At least that's my recollection. Q. Okay. Back to the student who has been sued in this lawsuit, Rachel Gain. Do you know her? A. Not well. She was a student in a class of mine. Well, I didn't know her personally outside of that. Q. Okay. If you will bear with me a moment, I have an exhibit I want to show you. It is part of the Journal of Schenkerian Studies, which you have there in front of you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you hear that? MS. QUIMBY: Yeah, for some reason my laptop audio turned on. MR. ALLEN: So this will be Exhibit 2, sorry, for the record? MS. QUIMBY: Yes. Are you okay to just view it download it and pull it up that way? MR. ALLEN: Yes, that's perfectly fine. I can see it here, yeah. MS. QUIMBY: Okay. Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Okay, Dr. Slottow. Have you had a chance to look at this? A. Yeah. Q. What is it? A. Well, it's the list of the first page is	37
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	response to the talk, which was I mean, it's the main it's the annual meeting of the major professional society for music theory with a huge attendance and huge publicity. It had been followed by this very strange vacuum of no response. I think we felt that it was more important to have some response out there. At least that's my recollection. Q. Okay. Back to the student who has been sued in this lawsuit, Rachel Gain. Do you know her? A. Not well. She was a student in a class of mine. Well, I didn't know her personally outside of that. Q. Okay. If you will bear with me a moment, I have an exhibit I want to show you. It is part of the Journal of Schenkerian Studies, which you have there in front of you. MR. ALLEN: Is it part of Volume 12?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you hear that? MS. QUIMBY: Yeah, for some reason my laptop audio turned on. MR. ALLEN: So this will be Exhibit 2, sorry, for the record? MS. QUIMBY: Yes. Are you okay to just view it download it and pull it up that way? MR. ALLEN: Yes, that's perfectly fine. I can see it here, yeah. MS. QUIMBY: Okay. Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Okay, Dr. Slottow. Have you had a chance to look at this? A. Yeah. Q. What is it? A. Well, it's the list of the first page is a list of the editorial board, the editor, assistant	37
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	response to the talk, which was I mean, it's the main it's the annual meeting of the major professional society for music theory with a huge attendance and huge publicity. It had been followed by this very strange vacuum of no response. I think we felt that it was more important to have some response out there. At least that's my recollection. Q. Okay. Back to the student who has been sued in this lawsuit, Rachel Gain. Do you know her? A. Not well. She was a student in a class of mine. Well, I didn't know her personally outside of that. Q. Okay. If you will bear with me a moment, I have an exhibit I want to show you. It is part of the Journal of Schenkerian Studies, which you have there in front of you. MR. ALLEN: Is it part of Volume 12? MS. QUIMBY: Yes, I'm sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you hear that? MS. QUIMBY: Yeah, for some reason my laptop audio turned on. MR. ALLEN: So this will be Exhibit 2, sorry, for the record? MS. QUIMBY: Yes. Are you okay to just view it download it and pull it up that way? MR. ALLEN: Yes, that's perfectly fine. I can see it here, yeah. MS. QUIMBY: Okay. Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Okay, Dr. Slottow. Have you had a chance to look at this? A. Yeah. Q. What is it? A. Well, it's the list of the first page is a list of the editorial board, the editor, assistant editor, advisory board. The second is information	37
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	response to the talk, which was I mean, it's the main it's the annual meeting of the major professional society for music theory with a huge attendance and huge publicity. It had been followed by this very strange vacuum of no response. I think we felt that it was more important to have some response out there. At least that's my recollection. Q. Okay. Back to the student who has been sued in this lawsuit, Rachel Gain. Do you know her? A. Not well. She was a student in a class of mine. Well, I didn't know her personally outside of that. Q. Okay. If you will bear with me a moment, I have an exhibit I want to show you. It is part of the Journal of Schenkerian Studies, which you have there in front of you. MR. ALLEN: Is it part of Volume 12? MS. QUIMBY: Yes, I'm sorry. MR. ALLEN: Uh-huh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	you hear that? MS. QUIMBY: Yeah, for some reason my laptop audio turned on. MR. ALLEN: So this will be Exhibit 2, sorry, for the record? MS. QUIMBY: Yes. Are you okay to just view it download it and pull it up that way? MR. ALLEN: Yes, that's perfectly fine. I can see it here, yeah. MS. QUIMBY: Okay. Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Okay, Dr. Slottow. Have you had a chance to look at this? A. Yeah. Q. What is it? A. Well, it's the list of the first page is a list of the editorial board, the editor, assistant editor, advisory board. The second is information about the journal with phone numbers and addresses	37
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	response to the talk, which was I mean, it's the main it's the annual meeting of the major professional society for music theory with a huge attendance and huge publicity. It had been followed by this very strange vacuum of no response. I think we felt that it was more important to have some response out there. At least that's my recollection. Q. Okay. Back to the student who has been sued in this lawsuit, Rachel Gain. Do you know her? A. Not well. She was a student in a class of mine. Well, I didn't know her personally outside of that. Q. Okay. If you will bear with me a moment, I have an exhibit I want to show you. It is part of the Journal of Schenkerian Studies, which you have there in front of you. MR. ALLEN: Is it part of Volume 12? MS. QUIMBY: Yes, I'm sorry. MR. ALLEN: Uh-huh. MS. QUIMBY: Okay. I'm marking this as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you hear that? MS. QUIMBY: Yeah, for some reason my laptop audio turned on. MR. ALLEN: So this will be Exhibit 2, sorry, for the record? MS. QUIMBY: Yes. Are you okay to just view it download it and pull it up that way? MR. ALLEN: Yes, that's perfectly fine. I can see it here, yeah. MS. QUIMBY: Okay. Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Okay, Dr. Slottow. Have you had a chance to look at this? A. Yeah. Q. What is it? A. Well, it's the list of the first page is a list of the editorial board, the editor, assistant editor, advisory board. The second is information about the journal with phone numbers and addresses and fax numbers. Then there is the table of	37
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	response to the talk, which was I mean, it's the main it's the annual meeting of the major professional society for music theory with a huge attendance and huge publicity. It had been followed by this very strange vacuum of no response. I think we felt that it was more important to have some response out there. At least that's my recollection. Q. Okay. Back to the student who has been sued in this lawsuit, Rachel Gain. Do you know her? A. Not well. She was a student in a class of mine. Well, I didn't know her personally outside of that. Q. Okay. If you will bear with me a moment, I have an exhibit I want to show you. It is part of the Journal of Schenkerian Studies, which you have there in front of you. MR. ALLEN: Is it part of Volume 12? MS. QUIMBY: Yes, I'm sorry. MR. ALLEN: Uh-huh. MS. QUIMBY: Okay. I'm marking this as Exhibit 1, and I'll share that with you momentarily,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you hear that? MS. QUIMBY: Yeah, for some reason my laptop audio turned on. MR. ALLEN: So this will be Exhibit 2, sorry, for the record? MS. QUIMBY: Yes. Are you okay to just view it download it and pull it up that way? MR. ALLEN: Yes, that's perfectly fine. I can see it here, yeah. MS. QUIMBY: Okay. Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Okay, Dr. Slottow. Have you had a chance to look at this? A. Yeah. Q. What is it? A. Well, it's the list of the first page is a list of the editorial board, the editor, assistant editor, advisory board. The second is information about the journal with phone numbers and addresses and fax numbers. Then there is the table of contents. That's it.	37
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	response to the talk, which was I mean, it's the main it's the annual meeting of the major professional society for music theory with a huge attendance and huge publicity. It had been followed by this very strange vacuum of no response. I think we felt that it was more important to have some response out there. At least that's my recollection. Q. Okay. Back to the student who has been sued in this lawsuit, Rachel Gain. Do you know her? A. Not well. She was a student in a class of mine. Well, I didn't know her personally outside of that. Q. Okay. If you will bear with me a moment, I have an exhibit I want to show you. It is part of the Journal of Schenkerian Studies, which you have there in front of you. MR. ALLEN: Is it part of Volume 12? MS. QUIMBY: Yes, I'm sorry. MR. ALLEN: Uh-huh. MS. QUIMBY: Okay. I'm marking this as Exhibit 1, and I'll share that with you momentarily, Mike, in the chat.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you hear that? MS. QUIMBY: Yeah, for some reason my laptop audio turned on. MR. ALLEN: So this will be Exhibit 2, sorry, for the record? MS. QUIMBY: Yes. Are you okay to just view it download it and pull it up that way? MR. ALLEN: Yes, that's perfectly fine. I can see it here, yeah. MS. QUIMBY: Okay. Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Okay, Dr. Slottow. Have you had a chance to look at this? A. Yeah. Q. What is it? A. Well, it's the list of the first page is a list of the editorial board, the editor, assistant editor, advisory board. The second is information about the journal with phone numbers and addresses and fax numbers. Then there is the table of contents. That's it. Q. Okay. And to your understanding, this is	37
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	response to the talk, which was I mean, it's the main it's the annual meeting of the major professional society for music theory with a huge attendance and huge publicity. It had been followed by this very strange vacuum of no response. I think we felt that it was more important to have some response out there. At least that's my recollection. Q. Okay. Back to the student who has been sued in this lawsuit, Rachel Gain. Do you know her? A. Not well. She was a student in a class of mine. Well, I didn't know her personally outside of that. Q. Okay. If you will bear with me a moment, I have an exhibit I want to show you. It is part of the Journal of Schenkerian Studies, which you have there in front of you. MR. ALLEN: Is it part of Volume 12? MS. QUIMBY: Yes, I'm sorry. MR. ALLEN: Uh-huh. MS. QUIMBY: Okay. I'm marking this as Exhibit 1, and I'll share that with you momentarily, Mike, in the chat. MR. ALLEN: I'm not trying to hasten the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you hear that? MS. QUIMBY: Yeah, for some reason my laptop audio turned on. MR. ALLEN: So this will be Exhibit 2, sorry, for the record? MS. QUIMBY: Yes. Are you okay to just view it download it and pull it up that way? MR. ALLEN: Yes, that's perfectly fine. I can see it here, yeah. MS. QUIMBY: Okay. Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Okay, Dr. Slottow. Have you had a chance to look at this? A. Yeah. Q. What is it? A. Well, it's the list of the first page is a list of the editorial board, the editor, assistant editor, advisory board. The second is information about the journal with phone numbers and addresses and fax numbers. Then there is the table of contents. That's it. Q. Okay. And to your understanding, this is from Volume 12, correct?	37
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	response to the talk, which was I mean, it's the main it's the annual meeting of the major professional society for music theory with a huge attendance and huge publicity. It had been followed by this very strange vacuum of no response. I think we felt that it was more important to have some response out there. At least that's my recollection. Q. Okay. Back to the student who has been sued in this lawsuit, Rachel Gain. Do you know her? A. Not well. She was a student in a class of mine. Well, I didn't know her personally outside of that. Q. Okay. If you will bear with me a moment, I have an exhibit I want to show you. It is part of the Journal of Schenkerian Studies, which you have there in front of you. MR. ALLEN: Is it part of Volume 12? MS. QUIMBY: Yes, I'm sorry. MR. ALLEN: Uh-huh. MS. QUIMBY: Okay. I'm marking this as Exhibit 1, and I'll share that with you momentarily, Mike, in the chat. MR. ALLEN: I'm not trying to hasten the process.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	you hear that? MS. QUIMBY: Yeah, for some reason my laptop audio turned on. MR. ALLEN: So this will be Exhibit 2, sorry, for the record? MS. QUIMBY: Yes. Are you okay to just view it download it and pull it up that way? MR. ALLEN: Yes, that's perfectly fine. I can see it here, yeah. MS. QUIMBY: Okay. Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Okay, Dr. Slottow. Have you had a chance to look at this? A. Yeah. Q. What is it? A. Well, it's the list of the first page is a list of the editorial board, the editor, assistant editor, advisory board. The second is information about the journal with phone numbers and addresses and fax numbers. Then there is the table of contents. That's it. Q. Okay. And to your understanding, this is from Volume 12, correct? A. Yes.	37
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	response to the talk, which was I mean, it's the main it's the annual meeting of the major professional society for music theory with a huge attendance and huge publicity. It had been followed by this very strange vacuum of no response. I think we felt that it was more important to have some response out there. At least that's my recollection. Q. Okay. Back to the student who has been sued in this lawsuit, Rachel Gain. Do you know her? A. Not well. She was a student in a class of mine. Well, I didn't know her personally outside of that. Q. Okay. If you will bear with me a moment, I have an exhibit I want to show you. It is part of the Journal of Schenkerian Studies, which you have there in front of you. MR. ALLEN: Is it part of Volume 12? MS. QUIMBY: Yes, I'm sorry. MR. ALLEN: Uh-huh. MS. QUIMBY: Okay. I'm marking this as Exhibit 1, and I'll share that with you momentarily, Mike, in the chat. MR. ALLEN: I'm not trying to hasten the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you hear that? MS. QUIMBY: Yeah, for some reason my laptop audio turned on. MR. ALLEN: So this will be Exhibit 2, sorry, for the record? MS. QUIMBY: Yes. Are you okay to just view it download it and pull it up that way? MR. ALLEN: Yes, that's perfectly fine. I can see it here, yeah. MS. QUIMBY: Okay. Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Okay, Dr. Slottow. Have you had a chance to look at this? A. Yeah. Q. What is it? A. Well, it's the list of the first page is a list of the editorial board, the editor, assistant editor, advisory board. The second is information about the journal with phone numbers and addresses and fax numbers. Then there is the table of contents. That's it. Q. Okay. And to your understanding, this is from Volume 12, correct?	37
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	response to the talk, which was I mean, it's the main it's the annual meeting of the major professional society for music theory with a huge attendance and huge publicity. It had been followed by this very strange vacuum of no response. I think we felt that it was more important to have some response out there. At least that's my recollection. Q. Okay. Back to the student who has been sued in this lawsuit, Rachel Gain. Do you know her? A. Not well. She was a student in a class of mine. Well, I didn't know her personally outside of that. Q. Okay. If you will bear with me a moment, I have an exhibit I want to show you. It is part of the Journal of Schenkerian Studies, which you have there in front of you. MR. ALLEN: Is it part of Volume 12? MS. QUIMBY: Yes, I'm sorry. MR. ALLEN: Uh-huh. MS. QUIMBY: Okay. I'm marking this as Exhibit 1, and I'll share that with you momentarily, Mike, in the chat. MR. ALLEN: I'm not trying to hasten the process.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	you hear that? MS. QUIMBY: Yeah, for some reason my laptop audio turned on. MR. ALLEN: So this will be Exhibit 2, sorry, for the record? MS. QUIMBY: Yes. Are you okay to just view it download it and pull it up that way? MR. ALLEN: Yes, that's perfectly fine. I can see it here, yeah. MS. QUIMBY: Okay. Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Okay, Dr. Slottow. Have you had a chance to look at this? A. Yeah. Q. What is it? A. Well, it's the list of the first page is a list of the editorial board, the editor, assistant editor, advisory board. The second is information about the journal with phone numbers and addresses and fax numbers. Then there is the table of contents. That's it. Q. Okay. And to your understanding, this is from Volume 12, correct? A. Yes.	37

1 editorial board and as the -- part of the advisory

- 2 board, correct?
- 3 A. I seem to be, yes.
- 4 Q. Can you explain to me the -- your role on
- 5 the editorial board first?
- 6 A. Well, the editorial board was just a whole
- 7 group of mainly prominent Schenkerian scholars,
- 8 who -- they didn't do much. They weren't consulted
- 9 much. But they were there to -- they could provide
- 10 some responses to the direction and actions of the
- 11 journal. They were partly there for prestige. I'm
- 12 not sure why I'm on there, actually.
- 13 That's some of the people there, such as
- 14 L. Poundie Burstein, Allen Cadwallader, David Beach,
- 15 Charles Burkhart, Carl Schachter were very prominent
- 16 -- were and are very prominent scholars. The
- 17 advisory board are people who were actually in charge
- 18 of the journal.
- 19 Q. Okay. I'm going to ask you more about that
- 20 in a moment. But you said the editorial board, they
- 21 weren't consulted on much. Were they consulted at
- 22 all?
- 23 A. Well, they certainly weighed in after Volume
- 24 12 came out. In fact, a number of them resigned.
- 25 Q. Do you recall who resigned?

- 1 A. No, no. Even though I was officially listed
 - 2 as codirector, something like that. I think -- I
 - 3 think in reality Dr. Jackson was the motive force and
 - 4 the main director of the center. I mean, it was his
 - 5 project from the start.
 - 6 Q. Uh-huh.

38

- 7 A. So I viewed myself as sort of -- my role was
- 8 secondary to his.
- 9 Q. And I think you described earlier that the
- 10 center or at least the journal was created about the
- 11 time that you started?
- 12 A. Yeah, because Volume 1 -- I was involved in
- 13 Volume 1, so I think they had the idea of the
- 14 journal, and part of my role was to help make it
- 15 real.

39

5

- 16 Q. Uh-huh. Did Dr. Jackson create both the
- 17 center and the journal?
- 18 A. Well, he certainly created the center. I
- 19 mean, because it was already there --
- 20 Q. Uh-huh.
- 21 A. -- when I came in. The journal was an idea
- 22 that was to be one of the activities of the center.
- 23 But it -- it had not been actualized.
- 24 Q. Okay. How and when did it become
- 25 actualized, if you recall?

1 A. L. Poundie Burstein resigned --

- 2 Q. Uh-huh.
- 3 A. -- for sure. Frank Samarotto resigned.
- 4 Diego Cubero probably resigned. Ellen Bakulina, I
- 5 think, resigned. Mark Anson-Cartwright may have done
- 6 so, yes.
- 7 Q. What were they -- so they weren't -- so you
- 8 said they were consulted after Volume 12 was
- 9 published, correct?
- 10 A. Well, they weren't --
- 11 Q. Or they weighed in, I think you said.
- 12 A. They weighed in.
- 13 THE REPORTER: Okay. Hang on. I can't
- 14 get your answer and -- okay.
- 15 THE WITNESS: What?
- 16 MS. QUIMBY: We were talking over each
- 17 other, so the court reporter is just reminding us to
- 18 not do that. That's my fault. Thank you.
- 19 A. They weighed in.
- 20 Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Okay.
- 21 A. Now, I don't know whether Dr. Jackson
- 22 consulted with them about the idea of soliciting
- 23 articles in response to Ewell's address or not. I
- 24 can't recall.
- 25 Q. Did you consult with them?

A. Well, it would have been shortly after I

- 2 ioined. So that would probably be around 2002, 2003.
- 3 I don't know what date the first volume came out. I
- 4 have it at home, but I didn't think to bring it.
 - Actually, I should be able to tell you.
- 6 That's interesting. Volume 1 actually came out in
- 7 fall 2005. So it was later than I thought.
- 8 Q. Okay. Back to this Exhibit 2 here. Can you
- 9 describe your role as an advisory board member?
- 10 A. It's hard to remember specifics after some
- 11 years. I was -- I was involved in decisions of the
- 12 center. For instance, the decision to -- I was
- 13 certainly involved in soliciting articles and reviews
- 14 for the journal. I was certainly involved in the
- 15 idea of putting together a Ewell, Philip Ewell,
- 16 special edition.
- 17 I was involved in policy. Since I had
- 18 entered UNT, part of my job was to do with the
- 19 center, specifically with the journal. I was
- 20 probably more involved in things that -- issues
- 21 having to do with the journal than, say, putting on
- 22 concerts or making CDs. Dr. Jackson tended to be
- 23 much more involved in those than I was.
- 24 Q. The concerts and the CDs?
- 25 A. Yeah.

41

42 1 Q. Let me just stop you real quick before you 1 A. But mainly involved in the journal, with the 2 go on. 2 journal. 3 So the advisory board, was that for the 3 Q. Okay. So you said the policy of the special 4 Journal of Schenkerian Studies? 4 edition addressing Ewell's talk. What do you -- I'm 5 5 having a hard time understanding what exactly the A. No, it was for the center --6 Q. Okay. policy is that you're referencing. 7 A. -- as a whole. No, no. It's actually --7 A. The policy was the decision. well, in here it says it was for the journal, 8 Q. Okay. Was there a written policy that you advisory board for the journal. So, yes, for the followed to -- in making that decision? 10 journal, I would say, at least as regards this A. No. No, no, no? It's -- by "policy," I 11 exhibit. 11 just mean what the journal was going to do. 12 Q. So if I asked what was your role as an 12 Q. Okay. Okay. 13 advisory board member for the journal, is your answer 13 A. It's not more exact than that. 14 different? 14 Q. So your role on the advisory board, was it 15 A. No. 15 the same as Dr. Jackson's role on the advisory board? A. After a while, officially. But I think in Q. Okay. You described policy. You were 16 16 involved in policy, I think you said. What do you 17 practice it was a secondary role. I felt Dr. Jackson 17 mean by that? had the lead, and I kind of would work with him. But 18 19 A. Well, the policy that went into Volume 12, I always regarded the whole center was his project, for instance. The decision to do a Philip Ewell 20 20 and so I think my main role was to support or argue response feature, that's certainly a matter of 21 with or work with him in activities of the center. 22 policy. 22 Q. What did he do as the lead, as you 23 If we had -- if we had -- if we were 23 described, that you didn't do? 24 going to invite -- I was also involved in the lecture 24 A. Well, he would generally initiate things. committee, so if we were going to invite someone -- a 25 He -- of course, the question would be better 43 45 1 Schenkerian talk in the lecture committee, I was 1 directed towards him, but he would come up with 2 certainly involved in that. 2 ideas, inviting people to give talks. Now, that wasn't really an activity of I think the idea of the Edward Laufer 3 4 the journal or the center. That was the lecture 4 issue was his idea or maybe we -- it was both of our 5 committee that... 5 ideas. I mean, it was -- the whole center was very 6 Q. So what was --6 much his project from the start, so if the idea is to 7 A. That's all I can think of. maybe solicit or ask if people would be interested in 8 Q. -- the policy that you're referencing? 8 submitting an article on specific topics that were A. What I'm referencing is the policy to do a their specialty, that might have been his idea, that 10 Philip Ewell-featured journal in Volume 12. That's kind of thing. 10 11 an example. 11 Q. Did he come up with the topics for each 12 journal? 12 Other than that, it was more the -- I 13 was involved in the journal. The editor had the main 13 A. No. Most of the journals didn't have 14 topics. 14 responsibility, though I would certainly be consulted on who to pick for reviews, who to pick -- who would 15 Q. Uh-huh. 15 be good people to approach and doing a review of 16 A. A few had themes, but most did not. Most submissions, whether for when Dr. -- when Edward 17 was just the usual procedure of people writing in 17 Laufer died, we did a special issue of responses to with article submissions. Sometimes there would be 19 his work. I was certainly involved in that decision. topics. For in -- the topics wouldn't necessarily be 20 We had a special issue of intersections the whole journal. I mean, in Volume 12, the Philip 21 between Schenkerian and neo-Riemannian theory that 21 Ewell part is not the whole journal. It's a section

23

22 of the journal.

With the Laufer edition, that was --

well, that might have been the whole journal,actually. That they were -- no, not every journal

was mainly Jennifer Sadoff's project, but I was

go-ahead. Things of that sort.

Q. Okay.

certainly involved in approving it and giving it the

22

23

24

46 48 1 had a theme. Some did. 1 be someone else's research on sonata form, and the 2 Q. Okay. The Edward Laufer edition you've connection would be -- it would be a topic -described, was that described as a festschrift? And 3 Q. Uh-huh. 3 I probably didn't pronounce that correctly --4 A. -- that the festschrift or recipient would 5 have worked in. 5 A. I think you did --6 Q. -- but I think you know what I'm talking 6 Q. Was the --7 about. 7 A. They -- they're --8 8 A. -- actually. Yeah, that --Q. Go ahead. 9 9 THE REPORTER: Can you say that again? A. -- tributes. They're scholarly tributes. 10 10 "Was that described as a"? Q. Was the festschrift you did on Laufer 11 MS. QUIMBY: Festschrift? 11 critical of him? 12 THE REPORTER: Thank you. 12 A. No, I don't think there were any critical 13 MR. ALLEN: Shall we spell it for the 13 articles. I think it was the usual mix of short 14 reminiscences about him --14 reporter? 15 THE REPORTER: Yes, please. 15 Q. Uh-huh. 16 16 MS. QUIMBY: I can try. A. -- from people who knew him. Some 17 MR. ALLEN: I'll try -- I'll type it in appraisals of his work, some -- I can't recall exactly what was in there. It's usually a mixture of 18 the chat. How's that? THE REPORTER: Thank you. 19 these things. 20 20 MS. QUIMBY: Dr. Slottow might be better Q. Sure. Were they positive appraisals of his 21 at spelling it than me. 21 work? THE WITNESS: If I were going to spell 22 A. Yes. I can't think of anyone who had a 22 23 it, I would probably look it up first, because I'm 23 negative thing to say about Edward Laufer. 24 not sure of the spelling either. 24 Q. Was the festschrift peer-reviewed? 25 MS. QUIMBY: I believe it's F-E-T-S --25 A. No, they're usually not. I think the 47 49 THE WITNESS: No, it's fest. 1 tradition is that they not be peer-reviewed. They 1 2 MS. QUIMBY: Fest. have a kind of a personal tone to them. 3 THE WITNESS: So it's probably F-E-S-T. Q. Uh-huh. Are you aware of any comparable My guess would be -- let's see -- schrift, journals that have published a festschrift on others? 4 5 S-C-H-R-I-F-T maybe. 5 A. Oh, lots of them. Well, festschrifts can 6 MS. QUIMBY: I think that's good enough. 6 appear as standalone volumes --7 THE REPORTER: Okay. Yeah. I can look 7 Q. Uh-huh. it up from there. Thank you. 8 A. -- like books or yearbooks or as journals. 8 9 THE WITNESS: It's a German word. There have been a number of them. I don't think I Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) What does that mean? What can cite any specific ones. 10 10 11 is a festschrift? 11 When a famous scholar like a famous A. It's a collection of articles in tribute to music theorist dies, there's likely to appear some 12 12 13 a scholar, usually one of some fame and usually sort of a festschrift in some form or at least a 13 14 someone who has recently died. 14 section of a journal, say a mini festschrift devoted 15 Q. Are they critical of the person? 15 to that person. A. Generally, no, or, if they are, they're very 16 It's -- as I said, I don't think I 16 remember specific examples, but it's pretty common. 17 mild. They're generally in the nature of an informed 17 scholarly tribute, but they may also contain articles 18 Q. Uh-huh. Okay, that was kind of my question. 18 on a topic that the recipient of the festschrift 19 I just have a couple more questions and 19 then we can take a break. 20 would have been interested in or a specialty of. 20 21 Q. Uh-huh. 21 If you'll -- I'll ask you to turn to the 22 A. So if someone was -- you know, worked in a second page of Exhibit 2, please. And the Bates 23 23 special -- worked in sonata form, so some articles number on that is UNT 849. would be about the scholar, the scholar's work. Some 24 A. Yeah. might be mild critiques in a benign way. Some might 25 Q. Okay. Do you see that first paragraph

50 52 1 there? 1 Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) You can answer. 2 A. Uh-huh. 2 A. And what was the objection? 3 Q. And that says, "The Journal of Schenkerian Q. It's just for the record. 4 Studies is a peer-reviewed journal published annually 4 A. As I said before, I thought the editorial 5 by the Center for Schenkerian Studies," correct? 5 board was there largely for purposes of prestige. 6 A. Yeah. There are some very famous and all of them reputable 7 Q. Okay. 7 Schenkerians on the editorial board. 8 8 I don't think they did all that much. I A. And the University of North Texas. 9 Q. Right. I didn't read the entire sentence, had answered this question before. 10 but -- so the statement represents that this Q. Okay. I'll stop you. I'm sorry. My 11 Volume 12 is peer-reviewed, correct? 11 question probably wasn't clear enough, then. 12 A. Well, that's what it says, yes. Well, it 12 How did these people listed here come to 13 serve on the board? Did you ask them to or --13 says the center -- it says the journal is 14 peer-reviewed. It doesn't specifically say Volume 14 A. Well, Dr. Jackson asked them to. In some 15 12. 15 cases I was consulted. I think, as I said, he was 16 Q. Do you see anything on these two pages that concerned to get as many famous Schenkerian scholars 17 I've -- or, I guess it's four pages of Exhibit 2 that on here to help to give the journal some weight. 18 say that it's not peer-reviewed? 18 I think he asked most of them. In fact, 19 A. No. They don't say -- no. But, as we know, I think most of them were already there early on, but 20 that the articles and the festschrift were not I don't think they were consulted all that much. 21 21 peer-reviewed. Q. Okay. 22 Q. Was the --22 MS. QUIMBY: I think it's a good time to 23 A. Or I -- it's not a festschrift. It's a --23 take a break. 24 what do I call it -- a symposium. 24 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record 25 Q. Sure. Okay. One more question and we can 25 at 9:40 a.m. 51 53 1 take -- a couple more questions perhaps. MR. ALLEN: How long do you need? Are 2 So when you -- when you started at UNT. 2 we off the record? was Dr. Jackson tenured? Do you know? MS. QUIMBY: Yes. 3 4 A. Yeah, well, yes. 4 (Recess 9:40 a.m. to 9:55 a.m.) 5 Q. Okay. Was he a mentor to you? 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the 6 A. No, not really. We had both been mentored 6 record at 9:55 a.m. 7 from some of the same people. Both of us are Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Okay, Dr. Slottow. So I graduates of the City University of New York. wanted to go back to something you said earlier about 9 Q. Uh-huh. 10 A. Both of us were close students of Carl 10 Did the JSF -- JSS -- and I'm talking 11 Schachter. Yes, mainly of Carl Schachter. 11 specifically about the journal --12 I would not call Dr. Jackson -- I got 12 A. Uh-huh. 13 certainly some advice from him, but I didn't view him 13 Q. -- not the center. Did the JSS have any as a mentor, and I don't think he viewed himself as a 14 written policies? 14 15 mentor. 15 A. Not that I know of. 16 Q. Okay. That brought up one more question. 16 Q. Okay. So I'll have you look at Exhibit 2 17 So the editorial board, I understand --17 again. I'm sorry, now I can't find his name -- Carl 18 A. Which one is Exhibit 2? Schachter was on the editorial board of the JSS, 19 Q. That one that says Exhibit 1 on it, 19 20 correct? 20 confusingly. 21 A. Yes. 21 A. Yes. Q. How did -- how was the editorial board 22 Q. That is Exhibit 2. 22 23 comprised, if you recall? 23 A. Yes, Exhibit 1 is Exhibit 2. 24 A. I don't really --MR. ALLEN: Sorry, I'm not laughing at 25 MR. ALLEN: Objection. 25 the witness.

MS. QUIMBY: It's okay. You can laugh

2 at me.

1

3 MR. ALLEN: I'm not laughing at you

4 either.

5 Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) So we've talked about the

6 editorial board and the advisory board, and now I

7 would like to ask you some questions about the editor

8 and assistant editor.

9 A. Yeah.

10 Q. So here the editor is listed as Benjamin

11 Graf, correct?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. At the time that Volume 12 was published,

14 was Benjamin Graf a student?

15 A. Yes, I think he -- well, had he graduated?

16 I think he was still a student. But he was, he was

17 close to graduation.

18 Q. Okay.

1

19 A. But he may have graduated in -- I'm actually

20 not exactly sure when he graduated.

21 Q. Okay. And was Levi Walls there, was he a

22 student, the assistant editor?

23 A. Levi was a student, yes.

Q. What was the -- can you describe the editor

25 position versus the assistant editor position?

1 didn't want to continue being the editor, I believe,

2 after this journal, partly because he was graduating.

3 And so Levi was going to be the next editor. And so

4 he was on as -- he was sort of an apprentice to learn

5 how to be the editor from Benjamin Graf while he was

6 still there. That was his role.

Q. Besides this instance of Benjamin Graf

8 serving as the editor and Levi Walls serving as the

9 assistant editor, was such an apprenticeship ever --

10 had ever happened before --

11 A. I don't think so. --

12 Q. -- in the journal?

13 A. I don't think so. We had an editor. We

14 didn't have an assistant one until now, until this

15 issue. That's my recollection.

16 Q. And, I'm sorry, you may have already

17 answered this question, and I'm -- so do you

18 understand or do you recall why in this particular

19 time there were this apprenticeship set up?

20 A. I did just answer that. Because Benjamin

21 Graf -- usually someone served as editor for a long

22 time.

55

54

23 Q. Uh-huh.

A. Benjamin Graf certainly had. And since he

25 announced he was -- did not want to continue, partly

A. We never had an assistant editor position

2 before, to my knowledge. The editor is the one who

3 really did most of the work of the journal. The

4 editor would communicate with the authors, would --

5 often the editor would be very involved in

6 typesetting the journal, although I think that --

7 yeah, I think that remained the case. I don't think

8 the UNT Press did that.

9 The editor would certainly be very

10 involved with -- would be involved in acceptances

11 and -- if we got a journal submission, we would

12 either accept it, reject it, or say it needs more

13 work. So accepted provisionally --

14 Q. Uh-huh.

15 A. -- upon condition of revisions. The editor

16 would be involved in mailing the journals out.

We didn't have, you know, a big staff of

18 people. So the editor is really the key person.

19 We -- Dr. Jackson and I sort of stood behind the

20 editor. The editor was the person who was -- did the

21 most work on the journals.

Now, you asked about assistant editor as

23 well. Now, the only reason we -- to my recollection,

24 the only reason we have an assistant editor at all is

25 because Benjamin had, I think, announced that he

1 because he was graduating and would no longer be a

2 student -- the editor had to be a student. This is a

3 model -- this model is not unique to the Journal of

4 Schenkerian Studies, by the way -- we needed to bring

5 someone else in as editor, so Levi was there as an

6 apprentice in the classical sense.

7 Q. What was your understanding of why Benjamin

8 Graf didn't want to serve as editor anymore?

9 A. He was going to graduate.

10 Q. Okay.

11 A. He couldn't be editor if he wasn't a

12 student.

13 Q. Okay. Isn't it -- wouldn't that be true for

14 all of the editors, though? Eventually they would

15 graduate and no longer be able to --

A. Yeah, but he was going to graduate very,

17 very -- if he hadn't already graduated, which he may

18 have, he was going to graduate very, very soon. And

19 it would have been untenable for him to continue, and

20 I don't think he wanted to continue anyway. It's a

21 lot of work. It's a lot of time. He had done it for

22 some years.

23 Q. How many years, do you recall?

24 A. I don't know. Four, five, perhaps more. He

25 was certainly the editor when the Laufer issue came

57

58 60 1 out. 1 chosen? 2 Q. Do you remember what volume that was? 2 A. Well, we try -- well, one function of the 3 A. No. We didn't have that many editors. 3 editorial board that I've -- didn't think to mention 4 We -- Benjamin was the third. They typically stayed 4 before is that they supplied a pool of people who 5 5 could be reviewers. That was actually a prime in that position for some years. Q. Were they always PhD students or were -function. 7 A. Yes, they were always doctoral students. 7 Now, we could also get -- no, you don't Q. And you described that the editor did most 8 8 get paid for reviewing --9 Q. Uh-huh. 9 of the work. Did that include choosing what articles 10 were published? A. -- for doing an academic review. It's 11 A. No, because -- they might have a say, but volunteer. So I think that if you were on the 12 when a submission came in, typically, especially if 12 editorial board, you were expected to be available to 13 it wasn't festschrift or some kind of symposium 13 review. 14 thing, we would send it out for review. 14 I don't know if all of our reviewers are 15 Q. Uh-huh. 15 on the editorial board, but a lot of them were. Some 16 A. The reviewer, if it didn't pass review, people were on the board just for prestige. They well, it didn't get published in the journal. If it never reviewed. Carl Schachter didn't. did pass review, and -- well, if there were changes 18 Q. Uh-huh. 18 that needed to be made, usually the editor would be 19 A. I guess I lost track of the question again. the one to look over the alterations and make sure 20 20 Q. That's okay. Did you -- so you were on the 21 they were okay. 21 editorial board. Did you ever review articles? 22 22 A. No. I'm not really sure why I'm on the I don't think it was usually sent back 23 to the reviewer. And then the editor would make editorial board. Dr. Jackson is on the editorial the -- I think the choice of what articles were going board too. I'm not sure why we had a double to be in which edition of the journal, because you 25 function. I don't think it meant very much. But --59 61 1 could have things accepted, but it may not appear in 1 sorry, repeat the question. 2 that issue because it was already full. Q. I think you've answered it. My question was Q. So who did make the decision about what was 3 had you reviewed. 3 published and what wasn't? A. No. 4 5 A. Well, I tried to answer that: The reviewer, 5 Q. You're on the editorial board --6 but after that, the editor. The editor -- if it A. No. it would have been a -passed review, I think the editor, with consultation 7 Q. -- so did you review anything? with Dr. Jackson and I. would make that decision. 8 A. -- kind of conflict. I was never asked to 8 Q. And when you say, "the reviewer," can you 9 review --10 THE REPORTER: I need you to -- hang on. 10 describe what you mean -- who you mean by that? 11 A. The academic reviewer. An article would be 11 I need you to start your answer over again. Y'all 12 sent out to someone with a specialty in that topic 12 were over-talking each other. who would agree to review the article and to give 13 A. I was never asked to review an article. 13 14 Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Do you know if Dr. Jackson their opinion on whether it was good enough to be published. And whether -- and in any case, whether 15 ever reviewed articles? 15 there were alterations that needed to be made or 16 A. I don't think he did. I don't know for things that needed to be expanded or questionable 17 sure, but I would be very surprised if he had. They 17 statements or procedures. And that's all the 18 were typically sent out to someone else. responsibility of the reviewer. That's what "send 19 Q. Who may have been on the editorial board but 19

21

22

25

20 may not have?

24 Volume 12?

A. Right.

Q. Okay. So can you describe or -- how you

A. Well, it was the next volume of the journal.

23 came up with the idea to publish this symposium in

20

21

23

24

25

22 reviewer per article.

A. Yes.

the submission out for review" means.

I think typically there would be one

Q. Are you describing the peer review process?

Q. Okay. And did -- how are the reviewers

1 We felt that since there was not -- very strangely,

- 2 after such a provocative address by Philip Ewell
- 3 where he kind viciously attacks and hypocritically,
- 4 if I might add, attacks a very important music
- 5 theorist whose methodology is still being used in a very active way, there was no response. There was no
- response at the conference. There was no response in
- the journal. It's almost like everyone was afraid to
- 9 say anything. I think they were, actually.
- 10 And as the Journal of Schenkerian
- 11 Studies, we felt if anyone was going to respond to a
- 12 concerted attack on Schenkerian theory, it should be
- 13 us. I mean, it's in our name, for Pete's sake.
- That's how the idea came about. 14
- 15 Q. Whose idea was it?
- 16 A. Well, I think that Dr. Jackson and I had the
- 17 idea probably more or less simultaneously. The
- editor, Benjamin Graf, may have had the idea too. I
- mean, it was kind of obviously our role to do so if 19
- no one else was going to, and it didn't look like 20
- 21 anyone else was.
- 22 Q. When was the -- Dr. Ewell's talk given? Do
- 23 you recall?
- 24 A. I don't recall the date. It's in
- 25 probably -- it was in November, but probably the

- 1 had three or four short talks. I wasn't actually
- 2 present at his talk. I was present at the one before
- his talk, maybe the one after his talk. But I
- certainly heard about it.

62

6

20

- 5 Q. How did you hear about it?
 - A. Because there everyone was talking about it
- 7 at the conference, and it was recorded, too.
 - Q. Okay. So why was it called a "symposium"?
- 9 What is a symposium?
- 10 A. I'm not sure who came up with the word.
- 11 It's a group of responses. A symposium is usually
- 12 like a graduate symposium, a rather high-level course
- on a certain topic or a group of people putting
- their -- I'm not saying this well -- their input in a 14
- certain topic. So symposium would presuppose a sort
- 16 of high level of skill and reputation and people who
- 17 were qualified to comment on the talk.
- 18 So "symposium" is maybe an old-fashioned
- 19 kind of a word but not entirely out of place.
 - Q. Were symposia generally peer-reviewed?
- 21 A. I have no idea. I mean, I'm not sure if
- symposia is actually a kind of word of -- the word
- that's usually used. I don't know because I don't
- think of there being a class of journal -- a group of
- articles called symposia. I don't know. It's the

63

- 1 same -- probably the same year the journal came out
- 2 or the -- this issue of the journal came out or very
- 3 close thereto.
- Q. If I said November of 2019, does that sound
- 5 familiar?
- 6 A. Well, that would be plausible.
- Q. How soon after the talk was given did
- you-all come up with the idea to do the symposium?
- A. I don't really remember. I think we
- waited -- I think the idea was in our minds that we 10
- 11 might do it, and I think we kind of waited to see
- whether anyone was -- else was -- whether there was 12
- actually going to be any response, print response. 13
- 14 So I don't -- it was probably no more than a month.
- Q. So you were expecting a response from 15
- elsewhere within a month? 16
- A. I think the possibility was in our minds 17
- 18 from the start. But I think that we also looked to
- see whether there would be some response to such a 19
- 20 provocative attack in such a major venue. And I
- 21 think we thought it was -- I thought it was strange
- 22 that there was nothing at all.
- 23 Q. Did you attend the talk?
- 24 A. You know. I was at the session at the
- plenary address, but that year the plenary address

- 1 common word for that kind of thing.
 - Q. Is there another word you would use to
 - describe the symposium that was published in Volume
 - 4 12?
 - 5 A. No, I think symp -- I can't think of a
 - one-word synonym. You could say a group of articles
 - 7 in response to, but that has a lot of words.
 - 8 Q. Uh-huh.
 - A. Symposia is -- symposium is one word, so
 - 10 that's an advantage.
 - 11 Q. And the symposium wasn't peer-reviewed,
 - 12 correct?
 - 13 A. No.
 - 14 Q. Why wasn't it peer-reviewed?
 - 15 A. I think that we generally had a sort of
 - tradition at the journal of when we had a group of
 - articles in response to or about a single topic, like
 - the -- that they were not peer-reviewed. 18
 - 19 And there was an element of time also.
 - We -- the journal comes out -- came out only once a
 - year, and UNT Press did have deadlines, and we wanted
 - to get something out that year, not wait a
 - whole additional year. But there was a kind of
 - tradition at the journal that they would not be.
 - 25 Q. What else had the journal published that

65

66 68 1 wasn't peer-reviewed that's similar to this 1 A. No, I did not. 2 symposium? 2 Q. Did that ever come up about you potentially 3 A. The Laufer festschrift, certainly. And then 3 being the editor? 4 there was one on neo-Riemannian theory and A. No, it never came up. The model was the 5 editor would be a graduate student. 5 Schenkerian analysis. Now, I'm not sure if that was 6 peer-reviewed or not. I think those were the only Q. Who came up with that model? 7 ones 7 A. Well, it was the model that was used in 8 Q. Have you personally published elsewhere or 8 Theory and Practice, the music theory journal of the 9 in other academic journals? 9 Eastman School of Music, and it may be more places 10 A. Yeah. than that. But we adopted that model. So the editor 11 Q. How many articles have you published that 11 was never faculty. 12 are peer-reviewed? 12 Q. Is "we" yourself and Dr. Jackson? 13 13 A. Well, luckily, I can count them up. A. Huh? 14 Q. Do you mind letting me know what you're --14 Q. You said, "we adopted that model." Are you 15 what page you're on so I can follow along? 15 talking about yourself and Dr. Jackson? 16 A. 3. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Okay. 17 Q. Why did you decide to adopt that model? 18 A. There is a section called Articles --18 A. I don't know. It just seemed like a good 19 MR. ALLEN: Mary, for the record, this 19 model that worked at -- it worked at Eastman. It was 20 20 a way of kind of a form of -- it had certain is his CV he's consulting during his testimony? 21 MS. QUIMBY: This is Exhibit 1, his CV, 21 advantages for the editor. They got a lot of 22 that I -- we have not shared in the chat yet because professional experience. They had to interact with 23 I do not -- I will share in the chat right now. professionals in the field; interact rather closely. 24 MR. ALLEN: I think we're getting --24 They became known, as opposed to just being sort of 25 maybe we can talk when we're off record about the 25 anonymous graduate students. 67 69 1 numbering of exhibits. There just seems to be As editors, they also probably did some -- I didn't even know that that had been --2 develop a certain authority possibly. It was --3 MS. QUIMBY: It hasn't. If you will 3 there was a side, a training side also. It was give me a second, I'm going to share it with you. 4 considered that it could be -- that the graduate 4 5 THE WITNESS: The number is 18. 5 student, in addition to the monetary stipend, would 6 Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Okay. How many have you 6 get a certain amount of useful experience from it. 7 published that aren't peer-reviewed, if you have? 7 Q. How was Levi Walls chosen as the assistant 8 editor? 8 A. I assume most of them -- I think almost all of them were peer-reviewed. Let's see. One, two --A. I'm not sure. I was not happy with that two of them at least were not. I think that 16 were 10 choice. I think Dr. Jackson possibly -- probably in 10 11 and two weren't. conferral with Benjamin Graf chose Levi as the 12 Q. Okay. Thank you. 12 editor-to-be. 13 MS. QUIMBY: Can we go off the record 13 I did not have any alternate choices. 14 just for a second so I can... 14 but I did not -- I didn't think Levi could write very 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record well, and I thought to be an editor you had to be 16 at 10:16 a.m. 16 able to write well. 17 17 Also, Levi was not self-assertive or (Brief pause.) 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the 18 confident. He -- Benjamin Graf and our previous 19 record at 10:17 a.m. 19 editor, Colin Davis and, in fact, our first editor, 20 Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Okay, Dr. Slottow. So did 20 Jennifer, were far more confident in themselves than 21 you -- was Dr. Jackson ever the editor of the JSS? 21 Levi was. 22 A. No. 22 So I kind of went along with it because 23 Q. Were you ever the editor? 23 I couldn't think of an alternative. But I wasn't 24 A. No. The editors had to be students. 24 happy with it. 25 Q. Did you ever want to be the editor? 25 Q. Okay. You described an editor's role

1 earlier as one of them being typesetting. Can --

2 what is typesetting?

3 A. Well, putting the article into the form it

4 appears in the journal. The words and the examples

5 on the page, using whatever program, computer

5 program, was in use. That's what I mean.

All books, articles, newspapers,

8 magazines are typeset. Of course, the word kind of

9 harkens back to where people actually physically

10 placed type in the form, but that's changed. But we

11 still use that word.

12 Q. Out of the 18 articles you identified that

13 you published, how many were published in the Journal

14 of Schenkerian studies?

15 A. One.

7

16 Q. Which one was that?

17 A. That was "Analytic Process in Schenkerian

18 Pedagogy: An Introspective Exercise;" was published

19 in the very first edition in 2005.

20 Q. Did you publish a response in the symposium?

21 A. Oh, that's true. I did that also. That was

22 a very short but well -- direct response, yes. So I

23 guess I would have to say two.

Q. Do you know if Dr. Jackson published any

25 articles himself in the JSS?

1 reasons. But I don't think so.

2 Q. Do you think they should have been

3 peer-reviewed?

70

A. In retrospect, yes, because of what --

5 because of the follow-up. But at the time we were

6 following our, I would say, traditional policy of not

7 peer reviewing such articles.

Q. What do you mean by "traditional policy"?

9 A. Well, we didn't -- the articles in the

10 festschrift were not reviewed, the -- peer-reviewed.

11 The -- and this wasn't a festschrift, but it was a

12 sort of more personal thing. People's -- in addition

13 to more formal articles, people were giving their

14 sort of personal responses to Ewell's address, and we

15 generally did not subject those types of things to

16 peer review.

17 Q. Besides the festschrift, was there any other

18 example of that?

19 A. Well, yes, there was the edition -- the

20 issue exploring the intersections between

21 neo-Riemannian theory and Schenkerian theory, and I

22 don't really know if that was peer-reviewed or not.

23 Q. Were the responses in the symposium critical

24 of Dr. Ewell?

71

25 A. Some were and some weren't.

A. Well, he published a very long article in

2 the Laufer festschrift.

3 Q. Uh-huh.

A. So long, it was almost book-like. And one

5 of the responsibilities of Benjamin Graf was to get

6 him to finish it because he was stalling. He was7 sort of stuck or stalling, and that's also an

8 editor's responsibility.

9 In addition to that one -- I don't know

10 if there were others.

11 Q. I want to go back to something you talked

12 about a little bit ago before we looked at your CV.

13 If there had been more time to publish

14 Volume 12, would the symposium have been

15 peer-reviewed?

16 MR. ALLEN: Objection --

17 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I don't

18 know. I really don't know.

19 Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) And I think you answered

20 this earlier, but did you-all discuss subjecting the

21 responses to peer review?

A. I don't recall that we did, but we may have.

23 As I say, my memory of those events is somewhat hazy

24 because I've not thought about them that much.

25 Dr. Jackson has thought about them, for obvious

1 Q. How many were? Do you recall?

A. I don't know. I would have to count them.

3 The call that Levi -- the call for papers that Levi

4 sent out emphasized that we wanted -- we would accept

5 both things, critical or in favor and anything in

6 between. I would have to sort of count them. It

7 would take a while. I don't know if it's worth the

8 time to do that. If you want me to, I will try.

9 Q. That's okay. Actually, if you'll -- you can

10 go ahead and take a look and see if you can recall

11 from looking at the --

12 A. Does someone have a pencil? I don't want to

13 mark this up with pen.

14 Q. I have -- if you -- you can mark on

15 Exhibit --

16 MR. TODD: 2.

17 Q. -- 2.

18 A. That's okay. I can -- you mean Exhibit 2

19 which is called Exhibit 1?

20 Q. Correct.

21 A. That's a nice twist there. Some of them I

22 don't actually know.

23 Q. Well, let me -- we can stop there. So did

24 you read all of the --

25 A. No.

73

74 76 1 Q. -- responses? 1 like musicology plus anthropology. So there are 2 A. No, no. 2 anthropologically-oriented ethnomusicologists and --3 Q. Did you read them at the time? 3 well, most of them are, and some which are more music 4 A. No, I looked -- you know, I would -- some of 4 theory, musicology oriented. But the subject matter 5 them I read completely. Some of them I just sort of tends to be different from music theory and browsed through. Some of them were very short. Some musicology, per se. 7 are much longer. 7 But all this is getting blurred because 8 Q. Was it expected or were you expected to read 8 you find music theorists doing nonwestern music or 9 all of them before the volume was published? nonwestern classical music. So all these boundaries 10 A. It was expected that I look at them. I'm are -- have shifted quite a lot. 11 not sure it was expected that I read every word. 11 Q. Okay. So what was written in Dr. Jackson's 12 Q. Did you read any of them in their entirety? 12 article about ethnomusicology that you're describing 13 A. Yes, I did. 13 that you recall? 14 Q. Did you provide feedback on any of them? 14 A. I can't really recall. It's just that there 15 A. No, I wasn't asked to. Well, yes, I did, 15 were a lot of -- there seemed to be a lot of 16 actually. I -- well, both Benjamin Graf and I derogatory references to it. And my recollection is provided a lot of feedback on Dr. Jackson's, which is that Benjamin and I were afraid that these would be 17 viewed as somewhat problematical. taken as, well, criticisms of ethnomusicology and 18 19 Q. What is viewed as somewhat problematical, ethnomusicologists, and they weren't necessary, and 20 the... 20 we didn't want them in there. A. Well, I think what we found problematical is 21 21 I'm not sure how accurate my 22 there were a lot of derogatory -- as I recall, there 22 recollection is, but that is what it is. 23 were a lot of derogatory references to 23 Q. Were you requesting or recommending changes ethnomusicologists and ethnomusicology. And 24 to the substance of the article? A. Yeah, we wanted those to be tempered down or 25 we worked hard at getting --25 75 77 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, to what? 1 removed. I think in the end they were removed for 1 2 "There was a lot of derogatory references to"? 2 the most part. THE WITNESS: Ethnomusicology. 3 Q. Would you describe that as censorship? 4 THE REPORTER: Ethno? A. No. Because we were -- when you send an 5 THE WITNESS: It's one word, 5 article out for review and changes and suggestions, 6 6 that's not censorship. That's the function of the ethnomusicology. 7 THE REPORTER: Thank you. 7 reviewer. 8 A. So we certainly worked a lot on that one. 8 When -- so we were doing essentially the Of course, I worked a lot on my own article. I think same function. We had no -- we had no power to make those were the only ones that I had some critiques those changes, just to make our case to Dr. Jackson. 10 11 of. 11 We thought it was a -- they were a bad idea, you 12 12 know, those -- those things. Q. What is ethnomusicology? 13 A. Ethnomusicology began as sort of the 13 Q. I think you just described the peer review 14 academic formal study of nonwestern music. So these 14 process of sending things out, correct? none -- like -- or nonwestern classical musics. So 15 A. Yes, but the -- if we're asked to read over 15 music of Africa, music of Indonesia or, within North 16 an article -- I think Dr. Jackson asked us to read 17 America, you might say pop music or American Indian 17 over his article and give responses -- then we would music or -- especially when it started, musicology, 18 give responses. music theory were mainly sort of western classical 19 Q. In the peer review process, were substantive 19 20 music. So musics outside that and especially musics 20 changes recommended? 21 from outside of European culture, you might say, 21 A. Oh, I don't know, because --22 22 were -- had this -- fell under the catch-all MR. ALLEN: Objection. 23 ethnomusicology. 23 A. -- I was -- the editor was the one who 24 In practice, it was sort of a 24 primarily read the peer reviews. combination and remains so of formal music study, 25 Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Uh-huh.

A. We were only called in when the editor felt

2 it was necessary, which was -- didn't happen, or it

3 didn't happen very much. So I don't know.

4 Q. In your experience of engaging in the peer

5 review process of your own articles, were you -- did

you engage in substantive changes in that process

7 ever?

1

A. Well, I was asked to at times. Often the 8

9 author can argue against changes --

10 Q. Uh-huh.

11 A. -- that the peer reviewer or some of these

12 peer reviewers want to make.

13 In my case, I have done that, because

especially if you're doing an analytical article, the 14

15 peer reviewer may have a different interpretation of

the piece than you have. And if you incorporate too 16

many of their changes, your entire argument, your

entire interpretation might be gone; you've simply 18

substituted it with theirs. 19

Q. Uh-huh. 20

A. It's not your article anymore. So I have 21

22 argued successfully for the most part on a number of

23 occasions.

24 Q. Is peer review a form of censorship?

25 A. No, no. It's -- in fact, it's viewed as a 1 to certain people that they submit responses or if I

don't know, I assume and strongly suspect so.

Q. And you said Ben Graf also may have provided

4 feedback on Dr. Jackson's article?

5 A. He did.

78

6 Q. Okay.

7 A. We both did.

Q. And I'm sorry if you may have answered this,

but do you recall any other feedback you provided

besides the feedback about ethnomusicology or

11 musicologists?

12 A. I don't recall that there was more. I mean,

13 it took some work to get those done, because

14 Dr. Jackson was resistant to making those changes, so

15 we had to apply a certain amount of persuasion.

As in my own case, suggesting changes

17 doesn't equate to the author making those changes.

18 Q. In the peer review process I think you

described as -- is it required that suggested changes 19

20 be adopted?

16

21 A. That depends on the journal and the

editorial policy. If you're lucky, the editor -- the

editor of the journal will permit you to make a plea

of conscience and say, "If I make these changes, it's

no longer my article; it's their article," and let

79

1 prestigious thing. An article that appears in a

2 peer-reviewed journal has more -- a higher reputation

than an article that does not because in the article,

if it's not, the idea is that just any old thing can

get published in the journal. It's not subject to

6 inspection from someone who is a specialist.

Q. So I want to -- can you describe how the

articles that were published in the symposium were

chosen? I understand you may not have read them all.

A. No, I really can't because I wasn't involved 10

11

Q. Did you -- were you involved in soliciting 12

13 responses?

14

22

25

Q. Who -- did anyone solicit responses? 15

A. The editor, I think, solicited responses. I 16

17 think Dr. Jackson also solicited responses.

Q. When we're talking about the editor, are we 18

talking about Ben Graf or Levi Walls or both? 19

20 A. I would say Ben Graf. I mean, Levi might

have written the letter, but I would suspect that, 21

being a -- Levi, being sort of an apprenticeship

learn-on-the-job role, that anything of that sort 23

24 would have come more from Ben.

But I know that Dr. Jackson did suggest

1 you -- let you publish with that disagreement.

But some journals I think will say. "You

have to implement these changes or we won't publish

your article," and then you -- that's that.

5 Q. Was it a policy of the JSS to require

6 changes suggested in the peer review process to be

7 adopted?

8 A. I don't know because that was the editor's

job, and the editor handled it seemingly very well,

and we -- he seldom felt it was necessary to consult

11 us.

12 Q. So was it -- was there a written policy

13 addressing that one way or the other?

A. Oh, I'm sure there was, and that would be up 14

15 to the discretion of the editor.

16 The editor had considerable power in the

journal. It wasn't just a matter of doing the work; 17

it was also making a lot of the decisions. If the

editor saw fit to consult Dr. Jackson and I or if we 19

felt we really needed to consult with the editor,

21 that would happen. But it would not automatically

22 happen.

23 Q. Is that true for -- that the editor had a

lot of power, is that true for the symposium of

Volume 12?

81

82 A. Yeah, I would say so. I think it's 1 A. No. 2 generally the case that the editor is the one who 2 Q. Okay. 3 makes most of the decisions. A. I've met him because Ellen -- well, Ellen 4 Q. Do you recall telling the ad hoc panel that 4 Bakulina, who was on our faculty for some years, just 5 you and Dr. Jackson kind of took over on the 5 left to go to McGill, was a friend of his. I don't symposium part of the Volume 12? think I've ever talked to him. So I guess the answer 7 A. Took over? What do you mean "took over"? 7 is no 8 Q. I believe those are words that you used. 8 Q. Were you involved in the creation of the 9 A. I wonder what I meant by that. 9 call for papers for the symposium issue? 10 MR. ALLEN: Objection. A. No. Well, no, no. The editor and the 11 A. I don't think we took over at all. 11 assistant editor came up with that. I wasn't -- I 12 Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Do you recall telling the 12 saw it, but I wasn't involved in it. 13 ad hoc panel that? 13 Q. For the sym -- go ahead. A. I guess I could have been involved in it if 14 A. I don't --14 15 MR. ALLEN: Objection. 15 I had an objection to it. 16 16 A. I don't recall. I mean, we do have notes Q. Do you recall having an objection to it? from the ad hoc panel, which I've looked over, but 17 A. No, no. I mean, I recall that I did not not thoroughly. I don't know if it's -- I don't 18 have an objection to it. think something like that is mentioned, but I'm not 19 Q. Do you recall how many responses or -- were 19 20 20 received? sure. 21 A. I don't know because they would come in to Q. Was there anything about -- strike that. 21 22 22 the editor. They wouldn't come in to either Was there ever a time before publishing 23 or before Volume 12 was published that the editorship 23 Dr. Jackson or to me. of the journal or the structure of it was discussed 24 Q. Were all of the responses that you received or discussed that it needed to be changed? 25 published? 83 85 A. No. A. I don't know. That would be a good question 1 2 MR. ALLEN: Objection. 2 to ask Levi Walls or Ben Graf. 3 A. I mean, we were in a period of transition as Q. Do you recall the -- that there was a 4 it was. 4 deadline in the call for papers? 5 Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) What do you mean by that? A. There was a deadline, and it was a close 6 A. Well, as I said, Ben Graf was the editor, 6 deadline, as I recall. A little too close for but Levi was being groomed, so to speak, as the next comfort. I know that some people complained about editor; therefore, we have "assistant editor" on the it. We weren't giving them enough time. 9 masthead. Q. What was too close for comfort about that? 10 10 A. I don't re -- I think it was three weeks or THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, "an assistant 11 editor on the"? 11 something like that. It was just -- that's very THE WITNESS: The masthead. 12 12 short. I think it's mainly because we were looking 13 THE REPORTER: Masthead, thank you. at a publication deadline from UNT Press. But, yeah, there was definitely a deadline. There has to be a 14 Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Before Volume 12, though, was it ever discussed that the structure -- the 15 deadline. It's unworkable if there's not. 15 16 editorial structure be changed? 16 Q. You said that it was about three weeks that 17 you recall? 17 A. No. That was the model from the beginning. 18 It seemed to work very well. Ben Graf was, as Colin 18 A. I think so, but I'm not sure. 19 Davis had been before him, superb at his job. We had 19 Q. How long -nothing to complain about. There didn't seem to be 20 A. That's just an impression. 21 any reason -- he was not complaining. Didn't seem to 21 Q. How long would a normal deadline be? be any reason to change that. And it was part of the A. Well, normally -- normally, a journal is not 23 23 going to have a deadline. I mean -- well, I don't conception of the journal from the outset. 24 Q. Switching gears a little bit, do you know 24 know. 25 Philip Ewell personally? Usually, with journals, people send in

88

80

86 1 submissions --A. Okay. (Reading document.) I forgot it was 2 Q. Uh-huh. 2 as bad as this. 3 A. -- and they go through the peer review 3 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, I can't hear process, and then the editor will say, "Okay, we you. Is your microphone way down on your shirt? Can 4 accept it," if they accept it. "And we can put it in 5 you move it up some? this issue" or "We might have to wait until the next 6 THE WITNESS: Can you hear now? 7 issue " 7 THE REPORTER: Yes, thank you. 8 A. (Reading document.) Okay, I'm done. 8 Now, if there is a special section, as 9 Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Do you recognize this 9 there was here, then there would be a deadline. And 10 I would think that you would have to have -- any 10 document? journal that has a special section like that would 11 A. Oh, yes. 12 have to have a deadline because it's going to be 12 Q. What is it? 13 published at some point; it's not going to be in 13 A. It is the protest made by faculty in support 14 of the student protest as response to the symposium. 14 every issue. 15 Q. Uh-huh. How long does the peer review 15 Q. You've described it as "protest." What do 16 process take normally? 16 you mean -- why are you using the word "protest"? A. As long as it takes the peer reviewer to do 17 A. Because it's protesting against what is 17 18 alleged to be the egregious material which -- and 18 it. It depends on the peer reviewer. Sometimes the 19 peer reviewer appears to have fallen asleep and to an 19 systematic racism which is contained therein. extended sleep of several year -- months. And then 20 Q. I believe you described earlier in the 20 21 you have to -- editor has to nudge the peer reviewer 21 deposition that this attacked Dr. Jackson, correct? and say, "Have you gotten to that peer review yet?" 22 22 A. Well, it doesn't -- this -- the student 23 Q. Uh-huh. version mentions Dr. Jackson by name, and, as I 24 A. "When are you going to do it?" In extreme recall, demands that he be fired. This is the 25 conditions, you would then conclude they're not going faculty one, which is a little -- which does not.

87

1 to do it, and you would have to give it to someone 2 else. You try to avoid that. Q. Okay. I think we can take a brief break. 3 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record 5 at 10:47 a.m. (Recess 10:47 a.m. to 10:58 a.m.). 6 7 (Exhibit 3 marked.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the 8 record at 10:58 p.m. 10 Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Okay. Thank you, 11 Dr. Slottow. I have now marked Exhibit 3. I'm --MS. QUIMBY: It is Exhibit 3, right? 12 13 THE REPORTER: Yes. 14 MS. QUIMBY: Okay. Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) I'll have you take a look 15 16 at that. 17 A. Oh, yes. It's been a while. MR. ALLEN: Mary, can you put that in 18 19 the chat? 20 MS. QUIMBY: I don't have it at the

moment, but it's on the way, so I will have it to you

Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Let me know when you're

MR. ALLEN: Okay.

21 22

23

24

momentarily.

finished reviewing.

Q. Okay. Does it -- does this letter state 2 that Dr. Jackson is a racist? A. Well, his name is not mentioned. 4 Q. Okay. Have you ever heard any of these 5 signatories in this letter, these faculty members, 6 have you ever heard any of them call Dr. Jackson a 7 8 A. They would never say -- they would never been so uncouth as to mention -- especially to me, since I was involved with the journal, to say that. 10 11 Q. Okay. 12 A. Yeah. 13 Q. Okay. That's all the questions I have about 14 this document for now. 15 Going back to -- I had asked you something earlier about if you remembered stating something to the ad hoc panel, but I wanted to ask, do you remember being interviewed by the ad hoc 18 panel? 19 20 21 Q. Do you remember -- what do you remember about that interview? 23 A. Well, I remember the head of the panel -- I 24 forget his name -- talking to me for a long time. I think it was on Zoom. And I answered his questions,

90 92 1 and he was asking about editorial policies and 1 Dr. Ewell would be looked upon as a victim and we 2 etcetera. 2 would be looked upon as oppressors and racists 3 Q. Were you truthful in your interview? 3 because I thought a lot of what Ewell was saying was 4 A. Yeah, as -- to my knowledge, yeah. 4 outrageous and ill-founded. So I was taken aback by 5 the -- and had I anticipated such a reaction, I would 5 Q. Do you recall describing the symposium as a 6 visceral reaction to the Ewell -- Dr. Ewell's talk? have counseled a great deal more caution in what the 7 A. Visceral reaction? Well, the notes that 7 journal did. were taken -- I see that there were notes taken on 8 Q. Would you have read all of the responses 8 the interview. They were certainly not written by 9 9 before they were published? 10 10 A. Probably, but what I probably would have 11 Q. Uh-huh. 11 done differently was that I -- in retrospect, I would 12 A. And they were certainly not language that I 12 have counseled that we ask Dr. Ewell to participate would usually use. I don't think I would say as a respondent, and I probably would have counseled 13 14 "visceral reaction" because they weren't. 14 that in this case everything be peer-reviewed. But I 15 Q. How would you describe it, then? 15 did not anticipate that -- that response. Q. You just mentioned Dr. Ewell, you would have 16 A. Well, it's a reaction to Ewell's allegations 16 involving Heinrich Schenker and Schenkerian analysis. 17 invited him. So was he invited into the process at 17 Visceral implies a sort of like a scream of pain from 18 all? 18 the guts. Hopefully they weren't that; they were 19 A. No. Well, he was invited only to the extent more considered. And, besides, not all of them were 20 that he could have submitted --20 critical of Dr. Ewell either. So I would not 21 21 Q. Uh-huh. 22 describe it as a visceral reaction. 22 A. -- an article of his own, and -- like anyone 23 I didn't -- I don't think I would use 23 else. He was certainly aware of the call for papers. 24 those words, but who knows? It's possible. 24 but he wasn't invited as a respondent to the papers. 25 Q. Do you recall expressing -- and maybe not in 25 Q. A respondent to the responses. Is that --91 93 1 these words, but that more caution should have been A. Yeah. 1 2 exercised in publishing --2 Q. Why would he have responded to his own 3 A. Yes, I did --3 paper? Q. -- this symposium? A. Well, it does seem sort of illogical when 5 A. -- because I did not anticipate the 5 you put it that way. But that's the extent, that he 6 reaction. It took me by surprise. I thought that a was not invited in any special role at all. lot of what Dr. Ewell was saying was outrageous and In retrospect, after the response to the hypocritical because he said, "I hope we can save journal, he probably -- I would feel -- I don't know Schenkerian analysis." if Dr. Jackson would, but I would feel that that 10 Save Schenkerian analysis from what? 10 would have been the better approach and more cautious 11 Well, from Dr. Ewell's attacks. That's from what. 11 approach. It didn't need to be saved before. 12 Q. So we talked about that Benjamin Graf, you 12 13 So to take this sort of sanctimonious --13 think he resigned as the editor. What about Levi 14 Walls? Did he resign, or do you know what happened 14 "I'm only here to save Schenkerian analysis from its enemies of whom I am the main person," I thought it 15 to that role? 15 was a little hard to swallow and of their -- and so I 16 A. Levi was attacked -- Levi was attacked, as think hypocritical is the word I would use for some 17 was Dr. Jackson, and to some extent, me, as being the 17 18 of what he said. 18 assistant editor and the one who signed, I think, the 19 What was the question? 19 call for papers. And then I think the -- there was 20 Q. I don't -- I asked if you recall expressing 20 something here that was sort of a little introduction 21 that more caution should have been --21 to the symposium that he might have signed saying

23 opinions."

something about, "We welcome," you know, "all

25 symposium, he wrote that, though he didn't sign it.

Oh. ves. This introduction to the

22

23

24

25

A. Oh, yes.

Q. -- exercised?

A. Yes. I was -- I went off on a tangent.

I did not anticipate the reaction that

96

97

1 He came in for a lot of criticism. As a graduate

- 2 student, he was afraid that he would be -- his career
- 3 would be adversely affected or ruined entirely, and
- 4 he -- well, he did a number of things. He went
- 5 online and kind of said he was bullied into doing
- certain things, I think, by Dr. Jackson, or made to
- do certain things or felt he had no choice, and he
- resigned as editor in chief in an attempt to
- forestall further negative reaction which could hurt
- 10
- 11 Q. Do you think he was justified in being
- 12 afraid for his career?
- 13 A. Oh, yeah, sure. Definitely. And look what
- happened to Dr. Jackson. All of that as a result of 14
- 15 this issue and of his article in this issue.
- 16 I was attacked, certainly have been
- attacked in Ewell's recent book. And since -- in the 17
- 18 United States especially, not so much in Europe or
- 19 Britain, anyone accused of racism in the academic
- circles is sort of assumed guilty. And -- yeah, he 20
- 21 had reason to be afraid, certainly.
- 22 Q. So he resigned, as I understand and you have
- 23 testified, and Dr. Graf resigned. So effectively
- 24 there's no editor?
- 25 A. Well, at that point, I don't know if there

- 1 been sort of his project, fundamentally.
 - 2 Q. So if there's no editor -- let's just say,
 - for example, the journal still exists.
 - 4 MR. ALLEN: Objection.
 - 5 Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Could it be -- could it
 - 6 publish anything without editors?
 - 7 A. No.

94

- 8 Q. Okay.
- 9 A. I mean, not with any degree of repute. No
- 10 one would take it seriously.
- 11 Q. Do you think Volume 12 or the symposium
- 12 damaged UNT's reputation?
- 13 A. I don't know, but there's a good chance of
- 14 it. I mean, certainly UNT was reacting to the
- 15 possibility that it would.
- 16 Q. Are you -- so Levi Walls' resignation, are
- 17 you -- did he do that on his own accord, do you know?
- 18 A. I'm sure he did it on his own accord. He
- was trying to remove himself from a toxic situation
- 20 as much as he could.
- 21 Levi was viewing himself very much as a
- victim, I think, a victim of Dr. Jackson in
- particular. And he was trying to -- and he was being
- attacked and criticized by the -- certainly by the
- online music theory community, and he was scared,

1 was any journal or any center anymore. I'm not sure

- 2 exactly what happened first. But at a certain point
- there was nothing left to be editor of. 3
- Q. Why do you say that? 4
- 5 A. Because the journal was taken away from us
- by the college. They tried, naively, to find someone
- who would take the journal on, maybe someone from

search committee, but, evidently no one would touch

- another school. Of course -- well. I wasn't on the
- 10 it with a 10-foot pole. It was radioactive at that
- 11 point.
- 12 Q. What do you mean that it was taken away from
- 13 vou?
- 14 A. The journal was part of the center. The
- center was part of the -- and the school said that we 15
- were -- we could no longer publish the journal and 16
- that the center is in abeyance. 17
- Q. And I think you testified earlier that your 18
- knowledge of this is through Dr. Jackson, correct? 19
- 20 A. Well, it was widely known. I mean, things
- get out fast, but I don't recall what any of the 21 22 administration -- I don't think any of the
- 23 administration told me directly, because Dr. Jackson
- 24 was certainly viewed as sort of the main person
- responsible for the center. It was -- it had always

1 with reason.

- Q. Do you think he was wrong in being a victim
- or feeling like a victim?
- A. No. he was -- well, of Dr. Jackson? I don't
- 5 think he was a victim of Dr. Jackson.
- 6 Levi was -- Levi was not -- my
- perception was that Levi was not very assertive.
- Levi was slow to argue back. If he really felt
- something was wrong, he might say something, but he
- wouldn't stick to his guns. He felt very much
- 11 that -- in a subservient position, far more than any
- of the previous editors had done. I mean, I don't
- 13 think that any of our previous editors felt
- 14 especially they had to take -- be subservient or feel
- 15 they had to do something which is against their
- 16 conscience.
- 17 I don't think that Ben Graf felt that
- way, but I think I read somewhere that he said he
- did. Maybe in a deposition; I don't know. But that 19
- wasn't my perception.
- 21 But Levi did. He was not very -- he
- 22 felt he was -- his role was a subservient one.
- 23 Q. So you described the committee that was put
- together to find a new editor, correct, or you
- mentioned that?

98 100 1 A. I alluded to it, yeah. I wasn't part of it. 1 Q. Why do you think it was associated with 2 Q. Uh-huh. Is there anything preventing you 2 racism? 3 from applying to be the editor? 3 A. Well, you looked at this exhibit, didn't 4 A. The editor of what? There's nothing left. 4 you? 5 5 Q. Well, isn't the committee searching for an Q. I'm asking you. 6 editor? 6 MR. ALLEN: Can you state for the record 7 A. Oh, that's -- that disbanded probably years which exhibit you're referring to, please? 8 8 THE WITNESS: It says 3. ago. 9 9 Q. Before it disbanded. MR. ALLEN: Thank you. And is that the 10 10 A. Well, you don't apply to be. You're faculty petition? 11 appointed to it, probably by the dean. You can't 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 apply to be on it. You can, but it's not going to do 12 A. "The forthcoming issue is replete with anything. 13 13 racial stereotyping and tropes and include personal 14 You don't volunteer to -- it was a attacks directed at Dr. Ewell." Yeah. 15 search committee. You don't volunteer for search 15 MS. QUIMBY: Can you read back my 16 committees, or there's no reason to. 16 question, please? 17 Q. I meant apply for the position of editor. 17 THE REPORTER: The witness's mic is 18 A. That would be a rather absurd thing to do getting very, very quiet. Was it getting quiet for because I had already been so involved in it, they 19 anyone else? 20 20 would want a clean sweep. MR. ALLEN: I'm good. I was having Q. Is it -- did they tell you that? Did they 21 trouble hearing Mary, but I think it was the way she 22 22 turned her head when she turned to you. say you can't? 23 A. No, but it's obvious. 23 THE REPORTER: Okay. Here's the 24 Q. How is it --24 question: "Why do you think it was associated with 25 A. It would be like Dr. Jackson applying to be 25 racism?" 99 101 1 the editor of the journal or Ben Graf. I mean, the A. So, in addition to this, I mean, Ewell's 2 idea was to preserve the journal, but to disassociate 2 contention was that Schenker was a racist, that his 3 it with anyone it had be associated with and maybe 3 racism had infected his views (phonetic) of theory, 4 even hand it off to a different school. It didn't go 4 which I deny, by the way, and that -- and then that 5 anywhere. 5 the Journal of Schenkerian Studies, by criticizing 6 In any case, we weren't approached 6 Ewell, was racist in doing so. certainly. I mean, I guess there's nothing to 7 So each side is accusing the other of prevent us from -- I mean, there was a public search. 8 being racist for different reasons. In a sense, the You know, "We're looking for someone to take over the Journal of Schenkerian Studies is being accused of editors of the journal," and I suppose Dr. Jackson or 10 being racist for criticizing Philip Ewell's 10 11 I or Ben could have written in, but it wouldn't have 11 accusations that Schenker was racist. So a lot of gotten to first base. I mean, you generally don't 12 racism. 12 13 apply for things where you feel you have no choice of 13 Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Was the criticism of 14 acceptance because that wasn't the reason it was 14 racism, did that come from other than just the 15 being advertised. faculty petition and the student petition as you've 16 Evidently -- I mean, nothing came of it, 16 described them? and I don't know -- I wouldn't be surprised if no one 17 17 A. Yeah, yeah. applied, but I wouldn't know, because I wasn't on the 18 Q. Where else did it come from? 18 19 search committee. A. Online chatter, and there was an SMT talk 20 Q. I think you described it as radioactive, the 20 list where there was a lot -- or SMT discussion list. 21 journal. What do you mean by that? 21 SMT being the Society for Music Theory. 22 22 A. The journal was now associated with racism THE REPORTER: Okay. I need to pause. and with acting unfairly to poor Dr. Ewell and 23 He's very, very quiet on my end. deficient editorial practices, and it was just like MR. ALLEN: They both are. I don't know this sort of radioactive turd. 25 what happened in that transition.

102 104 1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at And that would have the advantage for you is that it 2 11:22 a.m. would be over. 3 (Discussion off the record.) 3 THE WITNESS: Yes, I would like that. 4 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the MR. ALLEN: Okay. Why don't we do that? THE WITNESS: Do you know approximately 5 5 record at 11:23 a.m. 6 Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) Okay. Thank you. I just 6 how long you might take? 7 have one follow-up item. You mentioned, I think, 7 MR. ALLEN: I can't predict, but it's not going to be -- I would hope we could do it in an Dr. Graf's deposition testimony? 8 9 9 A. Well, I know that he did a deposition. I hour. 10 10 think Dr. Jackson told me at one point. THE WITNESS: Okay. I can come back at 11 Q. Have you read the deposition transcript? 11 3. 12 A. No. I guess -- I guess, if I wanted to, 12 MR. ALLEN: Okay. Can we go off the 13 there is a way I could, but I don't know what that 13 record? way is, and I haven't tried to. 14 (Recess 11:26 a.m. to 3:03 p.m.) 14 15 15 Q. What did Dr. Jackson tell you about the THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the 16 deposition? 16 record at 3:03 p.m. A. Well, that he had done one. I don't recall 17 **EXAMINATION** 17 18 him saying anything else about it. I try not to ask 18 BY MR ALLENvery much about depositions if people mention them Q. Good afternoon, Professor Slottow. My name 19 19 because whether they are private or not, I kind of is Michael Allen, and I represent Timothy Jackson, as 20 20 21 regard them as -- I'm a little cautious about getting you probably remember from the first part of the day. into depositions, because I -- well, whether they are 22 A. Yes, I've known that for some while now. 22 23 or not, I consider them to be somewhat privileged 23 Q. I believe you testified earlier today that 24 information. 24 you had worked for the Center for Schenkerian Studies 25 25 and obviously had worked on the editorial staff in In any case, I don't recall him saying 103 105 1 anything else about Dr. Graf's deposition. He various capacities for the Journal of Schenkerian 2 probably did, but I don't recall what. Studies, which was housed in the center. Is that 3 Q. Okay. accurate? 4 MS. QUIMBY: I'll pass the witness. A. I don't know about the editorial staff. 5 A. We -- Dr. Jackson and I generally do not 5 Really, the editorial staff consists of the editor, 6 talk much about depositions and his case. and, if there is an assistant editor, that person 7 Q. Okay. too. That's the editorial staff. 8 8 Q. And you were on -- you were on the advisory A. Because -- partly because I don't really 9 want to 9 board, correct? 10 A. Yeah. 10 Q. Okay. Thank you. 11 MS. QUIMBY: I'll pass the witness. 11 Q. Okay. MR. ALLEN: So since the witness needs 12 12 A. I think that's what they call it. to go, and it's -- it looks like it's 11:25. Is that 13 Q. Yeah. And, again, I'm not trying to 13 14 right? 14 mischaracterize your testimony. I'm just trying to 15 MR. TODD: Yeah. get us on the same page, figuratively speaking, here. 15 16 THE WITNESS: Well, we could, I guess, 16 A. Yeah, somewhere I have -- yes, I'm on the -extend it a little bit, 10 minutes maybe. I was on the advisory board. 17 17 MR. ALLEN: Yeah, and I have more than Q. And did you list your service on the 18 ten minutes. So I'm going to continue -- I'm going advisory board of the Journal of Schenkerian Studies 19 19 20 to ask to continue the deposition. in any of your papers when annual review time came 21 I think it's best, Professor Slottow, if 21 around at UNT? 22 we can come back at 3, just so that everything is 22 A. Yes. Every time under Service. I don't fresh in everyone's mind -know if I specifically mentioned advisory board, but 23 24 THE WITNESS: Okav. 24 I probably said, "Codirector" or "Assistant director 25 MR. ALLEN: -- your time, of course. of the Center for Schenkerian Studies with special

106 108 1 responsibilities towards the journal," something like Q. Okay. That was going to be my follow-up 2 that, because that was a large part of my service 2 question. All right. So you can put that exhibit 3 component. 3 aside, then. 4 Q. Okay. That was what I was going to ask you. 4 You also discussed some of the bad 5 You were evaluated based on that contribution to publicity focused on the journal, and also, I suppose 5 service to the University of North Texas? we would say bad publicity for Timothy Jackson as 7 A. Well, and the other service I did -well. Do you remember testifying to aspects of that? 8 A. You mean here in this deposition? Q. Yeah. 9 9 A. -- committee work, etcetera. Q. Earlier today, yeah. 10 10 Q. Sure, okay. A. Yes, also bad publicity focused on me. (Exhibit 4 marked.) 11 11 Q. Of course. And that was going to be my -- a 12 Now, I've had marked for the record, as 12 follow-up question would be you -- how many years 13 we talked before we went on the record, Exhibit 4. 13 have you worked as a music theorist? 14 A. What is Exhibit 4 again, the invitation? 14 A. What did I say? I think it's about 23 or 24 15 Q. It's an email sent on November 23rd, 2021, 15 at this point. Let's see. I began in 19 -- well, captioned, "Retreat Invitation and RSVP." 16 are we counting the years I was a graduate student or 17 A. Yeah, that's it. 17 after graduation? 18 Q. It has the UNT Bates number 05523. Did I 18 Q. Well, I guess it's a question for you 19 characterize that correctly, Professor Slottow? 19 because you're -- you know your career and the people 20 A. Yes. in it better than anyone at the table. 21 Q. So I have a few questions to ask you about 21 A. Because I did teach as an adjunct while I 22 22 was a grad student. this. 23 Do you remember getting this email and 23 Q. Is it safe to say you've been active as an 24 academic music theorist for over a quarter of a 24 notification? 25 A. Well, there is a faculty retreat every 25 century? 107 109 A. Well, starting in 1992, yes, that would be 1 year --2 Q. Uh-huh. 2 about 32 years if we count my adjunct teaching. A. -- which all faculty are invited, so I Q. And do you have a -- do you feel that you probably did get it. I don't know if I attended. I 4 have a firm sense of the reputation of various 5 probably didn't attend that one. I stopped attending 5 colleagues and academics in the field? 6 these after two or three years. 6 A. The ones I know --7 Q. Okay. So you didn't attend this one so far 7 Q. Uh-huh. 8 as you remember? A. -- yes. There is a lot I don't know. 9 9 There's -- but --Q. Do you know anything about the institution 10 Q. Uh-huh. 10 11 that's announced in this faculty retreat? 11 A. -- yeah. I mean, to the degree that I know MS. QUIMBY: Objection. Form. 12 12 of them and their work, yeah. 13 A. What institution? 13 Q. And you certainly know Timothy Jackson, Q. (BY MR. ALLEN) Yeah, sure. Let me direct 14 correct? 14 your attention to the bottom of that second page of 15 A. I certainly know him. Exhibit 4. It says, "Afa Dworkin." Do you see that 16 Q. So -- and I believe you testified earlier in kind of large letters? 17 that the Journal of Schenkerian Studies is now, for 17 18 A. Yes, I see it. 18 lack of a better word, defunct? 19 Q. And do you see that she's the president and 19 A. Defunct would be the word. artistic director of Sphinx Organization, as it says 20 Q. Okay. 21 on the exhibit? 21 A. I don't know if it has any theoretical 22 A. Yep. 22 existence at the moment or not. It certainly has no 23 Q. So that was my question. Do you know 23 tangible existence. 24 anything about the Sphinx Organization? Q. You don't know of any publication of the 25 A. Not at all, nor about her. 25 Journal of Schenkerian Studies after July of 2020, do

1 you?

2 A. Well, now we're getting into dates again.

3 I'm not good on dates.

4 Q. Well, let me just represent to you that

5 journal Volume 12, JSS Volume 12 came out in July

6 of 2020.

8

A. That was the last one.

Q. And there hasn't been one since, has there?

9 A. No.

10 Q. Did the -- when the Journal of Schenkerian

11 Studies ceased to exist, based on your knowledge of

12 your field and your colleagues, did that damage

13 Timothy Jackson's reputation?

14 A. Yes, quite definitely. The -- not just

15 because it ceased to exist; because of the reason it

16 ceased to exist. And Tim --

17 Q. And -- go ahead.

18 A. -- Dr. Jackson was part of that reason,

19 essential part of that reason.

20 Q. And that reason being the accusations of

21 racism flying around?

22 A. Yes. Partly because -- partly because of

23 the -- well, what I've already talked about before,

24 Ewell's accusations that in criticizing his

25 accusations of racist, we were demonstrating and

1 maybe general questions, about his article. But let

2 me ask a follow-up question. What's the usual way

3 for scholars in music theory to address their

4 critics?

110

5 A. Their critics? Well, usually, if someone

6 writes, say, a critical article in a journal, there's

7 usually a letter to the editor in the next issue that

8 finds -- that takes objection to the article,

9 sometimes in rather strong and pointed terms, and

10 that is published as a follow-up.

11 Q. Uh-huh.

12 A. And actually this -- and that can also cause

13 another follow-up, and this sort of thing can work

14 through various issues of the journal, though it

15 doesn't have to.

16 In my experience, that's the usual way

17 these things are handled.

18 Q. And I think you testified earlier that

19 Philip Ewell published a book eventually addressing

20 some of this -- at least somewhat addressing this

21 controversy in which he actually criticized you,

22 correctly -- I mean, am I correct about that?

23 A. Yes. I acted in bad faith. I purposely

24 chose --

25 Q. I'm sorry, can I interrupt you? Do you mean

111

1 proving his accusations of racist, of criticism

2 equaling racism, per se.

Q. Uh-huh.

A. But part of it is because of the nature of

5 Tim's own essay in the journal, which was sort of

6 wide-ranging and -- while I haven't read it for a

7 long time but --

8 Q. Uh-huh.

9 A. -- it certainly seemed to find fault with

10 Philip Ewell, and I think it mentioned he was not --

11 sort of ungrateful to his teacher, Allen Forte, and I

12 think bringing up anti-Semitism, black anti-Semitism,

13 I think, was in there. He was very frank about his

14 views, and it was sort of wide-ranging.

15 So part of it was the nature of his

16 article in addition to just the general issue, Volume

17 12, itself.

18 Q. And just for the record, you're flipping

19 through the pages of Volume 12 there, right?

20 A. Yeah, I'm actually trying to find --

21 Q. That's all right.

22 A. -- his article.

23 Q. Yep.

24 A. It's right here.

25 Q. And I'm not going to ask you, except for

1 he argues you acted in bad faith, or are you

2 testifying that you acted in bad faith?

A. He states I acted in bad faith in regard to

4 the visit we were trying to arrange, his planned

5 visit to UNT.

6 I'm not exactly sure what he meant

7 because I chose not to -- I chose not to read that

8 book.

9 Q. Uh-huh.

10 A. I did not want to get sidetracked from my

11 current work into this sort of -- I can't read

12 Ewell's work, especially Ewell's work about me

13 personally, without getting very involved in it. And

14 despite Tim's urging, I simply decided I would not

15 read it. And except for a quick skim, I have not

16 read it.

17 Q. Uh-huh. And you were familiar enough with

18 his work that he published the plenary talk of

19 November 2019 in the journal Spectrum, right?

20 A. Music Theory Spectrum, yes.

21 Q. I'm just going to represent to you that

2 Philip Ewell has represented that that was not

23 peer-reviewed; the publication of his plenary talk in

24 Spectrum was not peer-reviewed.

25 A. Well, I'm --

113

114 116 1 Q. Was that your understanding as well? 1 THE REPORTER: Y'all need to --2 A. Well, if anyone would know, he would know. 2 THE WITNESS: The online is called Music 3 So I have no reason to doubt what he says. 3 Theory Online. Q. In the field of music theory, was there any 4 THE REPORTER: Thank you. THE WITNESS: The print journal is 5 outcry when his work was published without peer 5 6 called Music Theory Spectrum. 7 A. It was not. Generally, it is not -- if it's 7 Q. (BY MR. ALLEN) In fact, in your entire 8 true that it was not peer-reviewed, it's not career, has there ever been a journal that has been 9 generally known, because it's -- it should have been forced out of circulation in any kind of controversy peer-reviewed. It's the usual practice if -- with an like this besides the Journal of Schenkerian Studies? 11 article especially in a journal as prestigious as 11 A. Not to my --12 Music Theory Spectrum, which is one of the two 12 MS. QUIMBY: Objection. Form. 13 13 flagship journals, two or three, of the discipline A. Not to my knowledge. 14 that everything would be peer-reviewed. 14 Q. (BY MR. ALLEN) Okay. And you certainly 15 Q. Uh-huh. 15 know of most of the prominent journals of music A. So if it wasn't -- and if he says it wasn't, 16 theory in your field, correct? then it wasn't -- then I would say very few people 17 A. Yes, but not necessarily the ones going back know that. And I think if it was known, it would 18 40, 50 years. I'm not acquainted with --18 not -- I don't know what the reaction would be. 19 19 Q. Yeah. 20 Q. Do you recall Philip Ewell ever saying -- in 20 A. -- every journal. But I don't know of a 21 the midst of this, I believe you called it a 21 parallel case. kerfuffle over the Journal of Schenkerian Studies, do 22 Q. Uh-huh. you recall Philip Ewell ever saying publicly words to 23 A. And I will say also, it's -- no, I'm not 24 the effect that he had also published aspects of his 24 going to say that. 25 work without peer review? 25 Q. That's fine. I believe you testified 115 117 MS. QUIMBY: Objection. Form. 1 earlier that you were basically in on the ground 1 2 A. I don't recall him saying anything of the 2 floor of the founding of the Journal of Schenkerian sort or writing anything of the sort that I've read. 3 3 Studies when you joined the University of North Texas 4 Q. (BY MR. ALLEN) You do recall criticism of 4 faculty, right? 5 JSS that the symposium had been published without 5 A. Yes. 6 peer review, right? 6 Q. Before July of 2020, which is when Volume 12 7 A. Quite a lot. There was a lot of criticism, first became known to the public of music theorists, both internal at UNT and external, that these were were there ever any complaints about how the Journal 8 not peer-reviewed articles, and they all should have of Schenkerian Studies was organized? 10 A. I can't think of any at all. It was a very 10 been peer-reviewed. 11 Q. But yet you don't know of any criticism of 11 small journal, a very specialized journal. I don't 12 theory the journal Spectrum -- what did you say, it's 12 think that many people who were not interested in 13 Society for Music Theory Spectrum? Schenkerian analysis would have necessarily even 14 known about it. UNT Press didn't sell very many 14 A. It's called Music Theory Spectrum. 15 Q. Music Theory Spectrum, thank you, sir. 15 copies. 16 A. And there is compan -- there's an online 16 Q. Right. 17 17 companion journal, which is called Music --A. I cannot think of any negative comments from 18 Q. Right. anyone about it. It was not -- it did not have a 19 A. -- Theory Online. 19 high profile, you see. I'm not sure a lot of 20 Q. Understood. Thank you. 20 people --21 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, it's called 21 what? The journal online is called? 22 A. -- paid attention to it who weren't 22 23 THE WITNESS: What? 23 Schenkerians themselves --24 MR. ALLEN: What's the name? Q. Uh-huh. 25 A. -- and there's not a ton of those around. THE WITNESS: Oh, the online is --25

1 Q. And among the people who are either

2 considering themselves Schenkerian or at least have

- 3 some expertise in that field, in your experience,
- what was the reputation of the journal of Schenkerian
- 5 Studies among that group?
- A. Well, that group hasn't talked to me about
- 7 their -- what they felt --
- Q. Uh-huh. 8
- 9 A. -- the reputation was. But I would say -- I
- 10 mean, at that time Schenkerian analysis was one of
- the methodologies of choice for analyzing tonal
- 12 music.
- 13 Q. Uh-huh.
- 14 A. And even though the other music theory
- 15 journals would publish Schenkerian articles, they
- published many other methodologies as well. 16
- 17 Q. Uh-huh.
- 18 A. The Journal of Schenkerian Studies was the
- only journal that specialized in that. So it was --19
- I think it was a welcome outlet to submit Schenkerian 20
- 21 work to really --
- 22 Q. Uh-huh.
- 23 A. -- the only one that had that as its central
- 24 focus. And the -- I think that -- I think it had a
- 25 good reputation.

1 criticisms of Timothy Jackson's scholarship opinions,

- whatever he published in any journal, but especially
- Volume 12 of the Journal of Schenkerian Studies in
- other venues like Spectrum, like Music Theory Online,
- 5 or --

118

- 6 A. Is there anything --
- 7 Q. -- the normal course of scholarship?
- 8 A. Is there anything preventing that?
- 9 Q. Yeah.
- 10 A. No, I would say that since Ewell's articles
- 11 have proven so influential and, you might say,
- 12 popular, I would expect more people to jump on the
- bandwagon. 13
- 14 Q. Uh-huh.
- 15 A. And maybe they have. But, if so, I'm not
- 16 aware of it.
- 17 Q. Okay. And are you familiar with a journal
- 18 also published by the University of North Texas
- 19 called Theoria?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Have you ever published in that journal? 21
- 22 A. I actually have, yes.
- 23 Q. Was your article peer-reviewed in Theoria?
- 24 A. I don't know. It was a review --
- 25 Q. Uh-huh.

Indeed, Philip Ewell also published a

- 2 Schenkerian article in the journal. So he evidently
- thought well enough of them of it. But I think it 3
- had a good reputation. 4
- 5 Q. Okay. Besides Philip Ewell, do you know if
- any of the critics of Timothy Jackson and his article
- in Volume 12, have they taken up those criticisms in
- 8 print?

1

- A. Well, I've seen various -- online I've seen
- 10 various online journals that were critical and some
- 11 that were not at all critical of the Journal of
- Schenkerian Studies and the Volume 12. In print, I
- 13 think most of it was coming from Philip Ewell. I
- 14 can't --
- 15 Q. Uh-huh.
- A. -- it's quite possible that other -- that 16
- 17 sort of contra Center for Schenkerian Studies
- articles exist, but I don't know. If they do -- I'm 18
- 19 not sure if they do; I'm not sure what they are.
- 20 Q. Uh-huh.
- 21 A. The only ones I'm aware of were written by
- 22 Philip Ewell himself.
- Q. But to your knowledge, is there anything 23
- 24 preventing either your colleagues or any other
- 25 scholars in the field from addressing their

- A. -- of a book on set theory. I don't know whether Frank Heidelberger sent it out for review. I
- suspect, since it was a review itself rather than a
- full-fledged article on its own, I suspect he
- didn't --5

119

- 6 Q. Uh-huh.
- 7 A. -- but I certainly didn't hear back from any
- 8 reviewers.
- 9 Q. Uh-huh. Okay.
- 10 A. I heard no feedback, which would suggest to
- 11 me he probably didn't send it out.
- 12 Q. Okay. And I'm just going to also represent
- 13 to you that in 2020, the same year that Volume 12 of
- 14 the Journal of Schenkerian Studies actually came out,
- 15 even though I know it says 2019, but it actually came
- out in July of 2020. That same year, 2020, Theoria
- published Philip Ewell and Ellen Bakulina in its 17
- pages in the volume that came out that year. Were 19 you aware of those articles?
- 20 A. I must have been, yes.
- 21 O And --
- A. Well, I don't know. No, I'm not sure if I
- was or not. I didn't pay that much attention to what
- Theoria was publishing.
- 25 Q. And do you read it on a reg --

121

122 124 1 A. I may not have been. 1 Q. Uh-huh. 2 Q. Do you read it on a regular basis? 2 A. -- that like all reputable scholarly 3 A. I don't read Theoria very much. 3 journals, their articles are peer-reviewed. And 4 Q. Okay. 4 certainly I would -- probably a respectable number of 5 A. It focuses on the history of music theory, 5 items in Theoria were sent out for peer review. But 6 and that's not one of the topics I do much work in. since I didn't work with Dr. Heidlberger on it or 7 Q. Okav. 7 talk about the issues, I never knew or even really 8 A. I'm not uninterested in it, but it's not a wondered what the percentage was. So I don't know if 9 specialty of mine -it's deceptive. If it's deceptive, it's sort of 10 Q. Fair enough. deceptive in a passive kind of way. 11 11 A. -- so I don't make a point to read it. Q. Uh-huh. 12 Q. Is it your understanding that Theoria holds 12 A. He let the impression stand. 13 itself out as a peer-reviewed journal? 13 Q. Let me follow up with this: Do you 14 14 remember -- well, let me strike that question and A. I think it does, yes. 15 Q. And, again, I'm just going to represent to 15 phrase it differently. 16 16 you that both Philip Ewell and Ellen Bakulina have In the -- back in the time frame July, testified that those articles published in 2020 in 17 fall of 2020, when the, what you've called a 18 Theoria were not subjected to double-blind peer kerfuffle first arose over the Journal of Schenkerian review. And then my follow-up question is were you Studies, did Frank Heidlberger criticize the 19 20 aware of any controversy surrounding Theoria because symposium because it wasn't peer-reviewed, if you 21 21 they published authors without peer review? 22 A. If they published authors without peer 22 A. I don't recall him criticizing it. I don't 23 review, word did not get out about it. I'm sure that 23 recall him taking an active part in the kerfuffle. neither Philip Ewell or certainly -- Ellen Bakulina 24 Q. How about if I asked the same question about was a good friend of mine; I never heard anything 25 Ellen Bakulina? 123 125 1 from her. I don't think they would be -- they would A. Well, I think Ellen and I think Frank both 2 want to tell people that because it would be 2 signed that faculty petition. 3 assumed --Q. Uh-huh. 4 Q. Uh-huh. A. So you could say that that's an active role, 5 A. -- that it was peer-reviewed and something 5 but I don't think that either of them were 6 that gets published as a peer-reviewed article is 6 particularly outspoken to me about it. I know that 7 more prestigious than something which is not. Dr. Heidlberger thought that it was just going way, 8 Q. Sure. 8 way over the top. I'm not sure what Ellen thought. A. So if that happened, I don't think it was 9 I don't know if I can -generally known, and I would be surprised if they 10 10 Q. And is it in your --11 would have told many people about it. And I would be 11 A. -- say much beyond that. very surprised if Theoria received any criticism on 12 Q. Can I just interrupt you for a second? Is 12 13 that account because I think they would be rather 13 it the usual practice of scholars who think someone careful not to let it be known. They wouldn't 14 has published something that's way over the top to 14 15 advertise the fact. 15 call for the journal to be canceled? 16 Q. And in your view, is that deceptive? 16 A. No. 17 A. That would get down to does -- Theoria is 17 Q. Back to Philip Ewell, you had mentioned this 18 widely regarded as a peer-reviewed journal. 18 call for papers that went out; there was a short 19 Q. Uh-huh. 19 deadline, and there was a need to get these papers 20 A. I don't know whether it says specifically out, or a perceived need to get these papers out 21 that their articles are peer-reviewed. 21 quickly. Do you remember talking about that earlier 22 today? 22 Deceptive or not, that's a sort of

23

A. Yeah.

Q. And you even today talked about the

25 customary process that you know in the field of

touchy question. I would say that Dr. Heidlberger,

the editor, did not address -- did not address that

question. I mean, it was sort of assumed --

1 should an author feel something is unfair or missed,

- 2 they have a criticism or an axe to grind, they often
- 3 write a letter to the editor of a journal, things of
- 4 that nature. Do you remember talking about that?
- 5 A. Yeah, that's been -- in my experience -- of
- 6 course --
- 7 Q. Uh-huh.
- 8 A. -- I'm 70 years old now, but in my
- 9 experience, that's the usual way such things are
- 10 handled
- 11 Q. And when you were participating in the
- 12 process that led to the call for papers for Volume 12
- 13 of JSS and the symposium, was there any discussion
- 14 internal to the journal that you were aware of
- 15 intended to exclude Philip Ewell?
- 16 MS. QUIMBY: Objection. Form.
- 17 A. Exclude him from what?
- 18 Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) From publishing in the
- 19 pages of the Journal of Schenkerian Studies about
- 20 the, whatever you want to call it, the kerfuffle, the
- 21 Schenkerian controversy?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 MS. QUIMBY: Objection. Form.
- 24 A. If he had sent something in, of course, it
- 25 would have been considered, I would think, like any

1 Q. Uh-huh.

126

- 2 A. He had, after all, published in the journal
- 3 before, for what that's worth. He would have been
- 4 treated like any other submitter.
- 5 Q. Uh-huh.

6

13

- A. But there was also no effort to invite him
- 7 as a formal responder to the articles that were
- 8 printed, so he was treated like everyone else.
- 9 Q. Uh-huh. And, being treated like everyone
- 10 else through an invitation to submit an article and
- 11 so forth with a call for papers, that's not the same
- 12 as being denied an opportunity to respond, correct?
 - MS. QUIMBY: Objection. Form.
- 14 A. The problem is the word "respond." I mean,
- 15 he could have, like anyone else, like all the --
- 16 Q. Uh-huh.
- 17 A. -- people who did publish in the symposium,
- 18 he could have written something and submitted it to
- 19 the journal.
- 20 Q. Uh-huh.
- 21 A. And, no, those -- those items were a
- 22 response. Not to the journal, but they were a
- 23 response to Philip Ewell's plenary address at the SMT
- 24 conference.
- 25 Q. Uh-huh.

1 other submission. On the other hand, we did not

- 2 invite him specifically.
- 3 Q. (BY MR. ALLEN) Is it accurate to say that
- 4 he was not given an opportunity to respond?
- 5 A. Well, he wasn't shown -- respond to what? I
- 6 mean, he wasn't shown -- you mean, in issue --
- 7 in Volume 12?
- 8 Q. Well, let me strike that. But he could have
- 9 responded. He could have published something in
- 10 Volume 12 if he had wanted to, right?
- 11 A. Oh, yeah. Sure.
- 12 Q. He got the call for papers, right?
- 13 A. Yes, but I'm not sure what he would have
- 14 responded to because until Volume 12 came out, he
- 15 would not have known what was in Volume 12.
- 16 Q. Sure. But it wasn't the case that he was
- 17 not given an opportunity to respond, correct?
- 18 A. "Respond" is a strange word. Respond to
- 19 what?
- 20 Q. Well, good question. We'll look at that in
- 21 a minute.
- 22 But let me put it this way: Was there
- 23 ever any effort to exclude Philip Ewell from
- 24 publishing in the symposium?
- 25 A. There was no effort to exclude him.

1 A. Now, he could have -- but they -- none of it

- 2 was a response to what was published in the journal
- 3 because the journal has to -- hadn't been published
- 4 yet.

127

- 5 Q. Uh-huh.
- 6 A. And Philip Ewell could no more respond to
- 7 what was in the journal than anyone else because it
- 8 wasn't published yet unless various contributors had
- 9 sent him copies of the articles -- their
- 10 soon-to-be-published articles privately, which they
- 11 may well have done, some of them.
- 12 I know that a number of contributors --
- 13 at least I've heard that a number of contributors who
- 14 thought that the journal was treating Philip Ewell
- 15 unfairly, complained to him before the issue was
- 16 published.
- 17 Q. Did he ever reach out, to your knowledge, to
- 18 the journal to make a contribution?
- 19 A. No. No, not that --
- 20 Q. Were you aware that --
- 21 A. -- Volume 12.
 - Q. Were you aware that he told the Denton
- 23 Record-Chronicle that he would refuse to read the
- 24 articles in Volume 12?
- 25 A. Yes, I am aware of that, but he's since

129

130

- 1 changed his mind, evidently.
- 2 Q. You think that was just posturing?
- 3 A. I think there was a good element of
- 4 posturing in there. He -- I mean, that comment is
- 5 very disdainful, you know. "Whatever they say about
- 6 me, they're racists, and it's not worth reading about
- 7 them. And I refuse to dirty my hands in reading any
- 8 of these articles," is sort of the subtext.
- 9 But then when his book came out, he was
- 10 quite clear that he had read all the articles, and he
- 11 responded to a good many of them. So he seems to
- 12 have changed his mind.
- 13 Q. Incidentally, are you on the board of
- 14 editors of any other journal?
- 15 A. No, I'm not even on the board of editors of
- 16 this journal now.
- 17 Q. I understand. Were you ever the editor of
- 18 an academic journal?
- 19 A. No
- 20 Q. Sorry if you're hearing my dog in the
- 21 background. She's stretching. I apologize.
- 22 So in your experience as an author and
- 23 also in your experience in the Journal of Schenkerian
- 24 Studies and observing your colleagues in the field,
- 25 is it common for journal editors to solicit articles?

- 1 Q. I believe Chris Segall published in that
- 2 section, too, correct?
- A. Yes. So those are -- other music theory
- 4 journals, I don't know because I don't know --
- Q. That's all right.
- 6 A. -- the editors, and I'm not sure what they
- 7 do.
- 8 Q. And if you don't know, you don't know, and
- 9 that's a perfectly fine answer. I just want to know
- 10 for the purpose of the record.
- 11 So a similar question addressing special
- 12 topics, and you've already identified another
- 13 journal, Theoria, which solicited contributions on a
- 14 special topic concerning Russian music. So my
- 15 follow-up question is is it normal in the field in
- 16 which you're a scholar for editors to organize
- 17 publications around special topics in a journal?
- 18 A. Yes, that's quite usual.
- 19 Q. And did anyone ever object to that in your
- 20 field before July 2020, when the kerfuffle erupted
- 21 over the Journal of Schenkerian Studies?
- 22 A. No, I don't -- I don't know of any instance
- 23 or anyone who objected to a special topic.
- 24 Q. And was your colleague Frank Heidlberger
- 25 ever accused of being a racist for organizing a

A. Well, certain -- well, in the Journal of

- 2 Schenkerian Studies, it's certainly not uncommon for,
- 3 say, Tim to go to someone he knew was, say, working
- 4 on the Felix Saltzer, Influential Student of Schenker
- 5 and suggest that an article might be submitted or
- 6 the -- certainly that's something that Dr. Jackson
- 7 would do.
- 8 I think that Dr. Heidlberger would also
- 9 solicit articles. I mean, he's the one that asked me
- 10 to write a review on this book on set theory.
- 11 Q. Uh-huh.
- 12 A. He put together a symposium on Russian
- 13 music.
- 14 Q. Uh-huh.
- 15 A. Philip Ewell was one of the contributors.
- 16 That's something that Dr. Heidlberger put together,
- 17 and he would probably certainly have been active in
- 18 soliciting contributors.
- 19 Q. If fact, that's the one published in 2020
- 20 with Ellen Bakulina in it as well, right? It
- 21 addressed Russian music?
- 22 A. Yes, the one that were -- a number of
- 23 articles were evidently --
- 24 Q. Yes.
- 25 A. -- not peer-reviewed.

1 special topics journal?

131

- 2 A. Well, I would say certainly not.
- Q. Was he ever accused of being a racist

- 4 because he didn't subject every single article to
- 5 peer review?
- 6 A. As far as I know, no. Of course, the lack
- 7 of peer review wasn't in itself the reason why
- 8 Dr. Jackson was accused of being a racist. It was a
- 9 contributing factor.
- 10 Q. And speaking of racism, are you aware -- can
- 11 you name any specific actions that Timothy Jackson
- 12 has ever done which you would characterize as racist?
- 13 A. Me? No.
- 14 Q. And if I asked you if you can name or are
- 15 aware of any specific acts that Timothy Jackson has
- 16 committed that would constitute extortion, what would
- 17 you say?
- 18 A. Extortion?
- 19 Q. Yes.
- 20 A. That's a very wild claim. I can't -- no,
- 21 certainly not. I can't imagine what that would be
- 22 referring to.
- 23 Q. Thank you.
- A. But I will say, getting back to the racism
- 25 question, that some of the things he said in his

1 article about black anti-Semitism --

2 Q. Uh-huh.

3 A. -- would certainly be construed as racist by

4 some key people. I would not because I think it's a

5 phenomena that exists.

6 Q. Uh-huh. Did anyone actually take issue with

7 its factual basis?

8

18

A. Not to my -- well, not to my knowledge, no.

9 Q. I believe Timothy Jackson also argued that

10 black children are, on average, not exposed to the

11 tradition of western classical music --

12 A. I think --

13 Q. -- in comparison to other groups of people

14 in the United States?

15 A. Yeah, I think he did say that.

16 Q. And do you recall that being -- him being

accused of being racist because he wrote that? 17

A. I don't recall any specific instance, but

it's a case where he well might be. 19

Q. Has anyone, to your knowledge in the 20

21 Schenker kerfuffle that you have identified, ever

published any factual refutation of that assertion? 22

23 A. No, I don't think that anyone has.

24 Q. Do you know if it's in fact true?

25 A. I don't know if it's true. I would assume A. Levi Walls I did not know that well. He was

2 a student in my Schenker class.

3 Q. Uh-huh.

134

4 A. And then I had some contact with him when

5 this issue was being put together, mainly emails. He

would email Ben Graf and Tim Jackson and me --

7 O Sure

8 A. -- and we would go back and forth, but that

9 was about it.

Q. Okay. And do you remember him ever 10

11 expressing a view before the publication came out on

12 the quality of Philip Ewell's scholarship?

MS. QUIMBY: Objection. Form.

14 A. No, no.

13

15

16

Q. (BY MR. ALLEN) Okay. That's fine.

Now, you -- I think you characterized

Levi Walls -- and I'm not trying to put words in your 17

mouth, but something of a weak person?

19 A. Well, it's -- yeah, don't put words in my

20 mouth.

21 I wouldn't say -- he was -- he was not

22 as assertive or as self-confident as the previous

editors had been. And he was more inclined to feel

that he had to do what -- most specifically, what

Dr. Jackson told him to do, that that was his role.

1 that Dr. Jackson has his own reasons for --

2 Q. Okav.

3 A. -- saying it, but I wouldn't care to defend

4 that as a true or false assertion.

5 Q. Okay. That's fine. But you don't know of

6 anyone in the controversy that actually tried to

refute with facts Timothy Jackson's argument that this is actually a cultural phenomenon in the United

States?

10 A. I don't know of anyone who has done that.

11 But also, I made a conscious decision to stop

12 involving myself at a certain point --

13 Q. I understand.

A. -- in reading the back-and-forth on it. So 14

15 to my knowledge, no.

Q. Thank you. You talked quite a bit in your 16

17 testimony about Mr. Levi Walls and Benjamin Graf, the

two editors of the Journal for Schenkerian Studies

19 back in 2020, and I want to ask you if you worked at

20 all with Levi Walls in the lead-up to the publication

21 of the Volume 12 of the Journal of Schenkerian

Studies? 22

A. No. Benjamin Graf, yes. I mean, I've known 23

24 him for a long time as a student and colleague.

25 Q. Uh-huh. 135

5

I don't think that Ben Graf or Colin

2 or -- who was the first editor? The name escapes

me -- really felt that way. They would argue back if

4 they really felt --

Q. Uh-huh.

6 A. -- something was the wrong thing to do and

7 they had a better idea.

8 Levi would only argue to a certain

extent, and then he would say, well -- and then he

would stop. So he was more prone to viewing himself

11 as a -- sort of a functionary under authority --

12 Q. Uh-huh.

13 A. -- than the previous editors were. And then

14 he wasn't a full-fledged editor too. I mean, he was

sort of an editor-in-training. So he was also under

the authority of Benjamin Graf to some extent too.

Q. Sure. And in your view --

18 A. It was --

19 Q. Sorry.

17

www.integritylegal.support

20 A. It was an apprenticeship.

21 Q. Okay. And in your view, was there a power

differential between Benjamin Graf and Levi Walls?

23 A. Well, there was, because Benjamin was the

very experienced editor, and Levi was just coming in

and learning the job. I don't think it was a

Integrity Legal Support Solutions

136

138 140 1 problematic power issue -- power imbalance. 1 to Dr. Jackson and me and Ben arguing before 2 Q. Okay. 2 publication that we should invite Philip Ewell in as

9

3 A. The power imbalance he felt between him and

4 Timothy Jackson was a much more powerful and 5 problematic one.

Q. Well, why was it problematic?

A. Because he felt -- I think he felt he was

under Dr. Jackson's control, as I said, in a way the

9 other editors did not and that --

10 Q. Uh-huh.

7

A. -- he had sort of had to do what Dr. Jackson 11

12 said even if he disapproved of it. He would -- he

13 would kowtow in a certain way.

14 Q. And yet that didn't prevent him from

15 condemning Professor Jackson in July of 2020, did it?

16 A. Well, that was -- that was after the

publication of the journal, right? 17

18 Q. Yes.

19 A. No, he -- you might say he turned on

20 Dr. Jackson then because he felt that Dr. Jackson was

21 instrumental in possibly destroying his career.

22 Q. Do you think he feared Dr. Jackson or the

23 larger community of society of music theory

professors who were agitating against the journal at

that time?

3 a respondent and that -- and that -- well, I argued

4 against it because I told him what I told you, which

5 is that in my experience, the traditional way to handle these things is that the criticized scholar

7 would write a letter to the editor, and it would be

dealt with in that way.

Q. Uh-huh.

A. It turns out that there evidently was also a

11 practice of doing just what Levi suggested, but I

somehow didn't know about it. I hadn't had

experience with that.

14 So I argued against that, and then

15 Dr. Jackson agreed with me. And then Levi -- in

16 retrospect, as I said, I think that would have been a

17 good idea. But Levi then just let the matter drop.

18 He -- you know, "If Dr. Jackson and Dr. Slottow say

19 no, then I've done what I could. I'm not going to

20 press the issue. I'm not going to continue to argue

21 for it." He just let it drop. So he brought it up,

22 but he let it go fairly easily. That's one instance

23 I can remember.

24 Q. Was he ever ordered by Timothy Jackson to

141

25 censor anyone?

A. Well, he certainly feared the larger

2 community to the extent the larger community was

3 pointing to him as the editor and saying, "This is

4 your fault" --

5 Q. Uh-huh.

A. -- but he blamed Dr. Jackson to a large 6

7 extent for that situation.

Q. And you said -- I forget how you put it, but 8

he felt dominated or something like that by Timothy

10 Jackson?

11 A. Yes

12 Q. What specific observations did you -- you

13 know, what specific events or emails or utterances by

Levi Walls gave you that impression? And I'm talking

about before the journal came out. 15

16 A. Well, it was in -- before it was published,

17 you mean?

18 Q. Yes, correct. Before his grand, you know,

renunciation of his position and condemnation of 19

Timothy Jackson and claiming that he had been stuck

21 in a car by some gangster-like professor and all this

22 stuff.

23 MS. QUIMBY: Objection. Form.

24 A. Yeah. I don't -- he never talked to me about

that last point. I do know he did send an email out

A. Not to my knowledge, no. It would seem very

2 uncharacteristic.

139

3 What do you mean "censor anyone"?

4 Q. I don't know. That's the word he used.

5 right, that he was directed, quote, "not to censor

6 someone that he wanted to censor," or something of

7 that nature.

8 A. Are you saying that I said that?

Q. I'm asking you. I'm asking you if you ever

10 heard Timothy Jackson direct Levi Walls to censor

11 someone?

12 A. No.

13 Q. Had -- did you ever --

14 A. I'm not even sure what that means.

15 Q. Did you ever witness -- okay. Sorry, go

16 ahead.

17 A. Like -- no, I never heard anything of that

18 nature, and this context, I'm not even sure what that

19 would mean.

20 Q. So you don't even know what Levi Walls is

21 talking about?

A. Well, I don't know that he had used that

23 term, and I'm not sure -- unless -- without knowing

24 more --

25 Q. Yeah. 1 A. -- the context of that comment, I don't know

2 what he was talking about.

3 Q. And I'm just going to phrase the same

4 question more or less upside down. But did you ever

5 witness Timothy Jackson direct or order Levi Walls

6 not to censor someone, this idea of censorship?

7 A. No. No, no, the issue never came up that I

8 was aware of at all.

9 Q. Uh-huh.

10 A. And, again, I'm not sure what that means.

11 Censor what? Censor who? I mean, who...

12 Q. It's certainly not the job of an editor of

13 any journal to censor people, right?

14 A. Well, granted that I don't even know what

15 that means -- what it would mean, I would say no.

16 But I don't know -- if he made that comment, I don't

17 know what he was talking about. I would need more

18 context.

19 Q. Okay. You also talked about Benjamin Graf

20 and yourself providing feedback to Timothy Jackson in

21 his contribution to Volume 12.

22 A. Yeah.

23 Q. Do you recall talking about that?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. We already discussed that there was a power

1 making our case.

142

2 Q. Sure. I want to call your attention back to

3 Exhibit 3. Can you get Exhibit 3 in front of you

4 again? I think it's only two pages.

A. Is that the letter from UNT faculty members?

6 Q. Yes.

7 A. Yeah, I've got it here.

Q. And I just want to direct your attention

9 to -- the first sentence says, "We, the undersigned

10 faculty members of the University of North Texas

11 Division of Music History, Theory, and

12 Ethnomusicology, stand in solidarity with our

13 graduate students in their letter of condemnation of

14 the Journal of Schenkerian Studies."

Did I read that correctly?

16 A. Yes.

15

20

143

17 Q. Do you understand that letter of

18 condemnation to be incorporated by reference in that

19 https internet link that's in the middle of the page?

MS. QUIMBY: Objection. Form.

21 A. I haven't clicked on that link. I'm not

22 sure where that link goes. It says the students'

23 letter can be found in the link.

24 Q. (BY MR. ALLEN) Okay.

25 A. I've read the letter. I mean, I read the

1 differential between Benjamin Graf and Timothy

2 Jackson, right?

A. Yes, because ultimately Jackson was sort of

4 the head of the Center for Schenkerian studies, and

5 it was his project. So there is a power -- there

6 definitely was a power differential. We sort of

7 acted within that.

8 Q. Did that prevent Benjamin Graf in any way

9 from expressing his criticism of Timothy Jackson's

10 work?

3

11 A. Well, in my experience, no. And, in fact,

12 he did -- we both did offer rather, you know,

13 explicit critiques of Timothy Jackson's work,

14 specifically in his article for Volume 12.

15 Q. Uh-huh.

16 A. And if Benjamin Graf has said that it did, I

17 wasn't aware of it, and he didn't say it to me.

18 Q. And, in fact, I think you testified Timothy

19 Jackson eventually accepted that criticism that you

20 were -- you and Benjamin Graf were providing?

21 A. Yeah. He pretty much cut most of that out.

22 There were other things I guess we probably could

23 have and should have criticized him, but we spent

24 most of our effort on that. He was stubborn about

25 it, you see, and so we had to expend some energy in

letter at the time.

Q. Sure. And the -- you don't have any reason

3 to believe that that link wasn't to the student

4 letter that we're both referring to, which you've

5 also referred to as the student petition, right?

6 MR. TODD: Objection. Form.

7 A. I have no reason for supposing that because

8 I haven 't clicked on the link. I don't know where

9 it goes.

10 Q. (BY MR. ALLEN) That's fine. We could do

11 that, but I don't want to really waste our time doing

12 that.

13 A. I know where it says it goes.

14 Q. Yeah. And you did refer to the student

15 letter as a student petition earlier, right?

16 A. Yeah, yeah.

17 Q. And let's look at that second paragraph.

18 It says, "We endorse the call for action

19 outlined in our students' letter," right?

20 A. Uh-huh.

21 Q. And then in the final sentence of that

22 paragraph, it says, "Responsible parties must be held

23 appropriately accountable," right?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And in the student letter, as you remember

145

146 148 1 it, who was being singled out to be called into Q. Well, and my question, for the record, was 2 account? 2 going to be do you recognize the document --3 MS. QUIMBY: Objection. Form. A. Yes. 4 4 A. Well, it was both Dr. Jackson and, to a Q. -- that begins, "I am sharing this statement lesser extent, myself. But Dr. Jackson more -- it 5 on behalf of a cross-section of graduate students in 5 was a stronger accusation against him. the Division of Music History, Theory, and 7 MR. ALLEN: Why don't we just put in the 7 Ethnomusicology"? record the student letter, just so we're not being 8 8 A. Yes, I do recognize it. 9 9 vague about anything. Let me -- you'll have to just Q. And is that the student petition that you 10 had referred to earlier in your deposition? 10 give me a second. 11 11 A. Yeah, I haven't seen it since then. A. Yes, it is. 12 MR. ALLEN: This will be Exhibit 5 I'm 12 Q. So now we're going to do a little flipping 13 going to mark for the record, and this will be the 13 back and forth between exhibits, and I want to refer 14 last exhibit we look at, Professor Slottow. 14 your attention back to Exhibit 3, which was the 15 (Exhibit 5 marked.) 15 faculty letter we just talked about. 16 16 Q. (BY MR. ALLEN) You will see that Exhibit 5 A. I've got it here. 17 is the November 25th, 2020 ad hoc panel report. 17 Q. And we read that paragraph that begins, "We 18 A. Well, I don't -- I don't see it at all. 18 endorse the call for action outlined in our students' 19 Q. I know. You don't see it right here. And 19 letter." 20 A. Yeah. Let's see. Where -- where is --20 I'm going to share it with you. 21 21 MR. ALLEN: I don't know. He doesn't Q. That's -- the second paragraph begins --22 have a screen. How should we do -- should we go off 22 A. Yes. 23 the record for a second? 23 Q. -- "We endorse the call for action." THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record 24 24 A. Right. 25 at 3:59 p.m. 25 Q. So my question is in the student letter, 147 149 (Recess 3:59 p.m. to 4:12 p.m.) 1 which we've just introduced into the record as part 1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 2 2 of Exhibit 5, can you identify what you understand as 3 record at 4:12 p.m. 3 the call for action? 4 Q. (BY MR. ALLEN) Dr. Slottow, I've introduced A. Well, it's the numbered elements. 5 into the record Exhibit 5, and I'm just going to 5 1. Publicly condemn the issue and 6 represent to you that Exhibit 5 is the ad hoc panel release it freely online to the public. report which has been discussed in earlier 7 2. Provide a full public account of the depositions and throughout this litigation, and it's editorial and publication process and its failures. 8 dated November 25th, 2020. 9 And then next. Dissolve the Journal of 10 10 Schenkerian Studies. I'm not going to ask you questions about 11 the entire report, but I want you to focus on one 11 Q. Uh-huh. portion of it, which begins on the Bates-numbered 12 A. And then, Critically examine the culture in 12 13 page Jackson 226, and it is an exhibit that was 13 UNT, the College of Music, the MHTE Division, and act 14 attached to the ad hoc panel report. 14 to change the culture. 15 A. Wait a moment. I do not have this exhibit 15 And three, Hold accountable every person in front of me. I -- wait. Oh, I see -- unless responsible for the direction of the publication. 17 it's -- unless it's part -- oh, okay. Wait a minute. 17 It certainly is characterized by sort of This is -- the student petition, the student letter I 18 overblown exaggeration, yes. That's what I --19 read is attached to the ad hoc review panel. So --19 Q. And in that --20 Q. Yes. 20 A. That's what I would say is the call for 21 A. -- now here --21 action. Q. And in that last number 3 that you just read 22 Q. And it's only that student petition that I 22 23 want to focus on, okay? 23 that said, "Hold accountable every person responsible 24 A. Okav. Well, I am -- I have -- I just read 24 for the direction of the publication" -that. I have that in front of me. 25 A. Right.

1 Q. -- it also says in the last sentence,

- 2 "Specifically the actions of Dr. Jackson -- both past
- 3 and present -- are particularly racist and
- 4 unacceptable," right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And then --
- 7 A. And then it goes out of its way to praise
- 8 Philip Ewell.
- 9 Q. Sure. And so the last question would be
- 10 about Exhibit 3.
- 11 When you have been asked questions about
- 12 this document, Exhibit 3, by the state's attorney
- 13 Mary Quimby, she had asked you if this identifies
- 14 Timothy Jackson in the quote "faculty statement"?
- A. Not in the faculty statement.
- 16 Q. But it does refer to the student letter and
- 17 incorporates it by reference, right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 MS. QUIMBY: Objection. Form.
- 20 Q. (BY MR. ALLEN) And does that, quote,
- 21 "Endorsed student letter" refer to Timothy Jackson
- 22 directly by name?
- 23 MS. QUIMBY: Objection. Form.
- 24 A. The student letter does. The faculty letter
- 25 does not.

1 A. Yes.

150

- 2 Q. And it incorporates it through a URL or
- 3 website link, right?
- 4 A. Right.
- 5 Q. And the last sentence of that second
- 6 paragraph of the faculty petition says, "Responsible
- 7 parties must be held appropriately accountable,"
- 8 right'
- 9 A. Yes, it does.
- 10 Q. And if you then refer to Exhibit 5, which is
- 11 the student petition, what is your understanding of
- 12 who is referred to as the responsible parties that
- 13 need to be held appropriately accountable?
- 14 MS. QUIMBY: Objection. Form.
- 15 A. Well, certainly it focuses on Dr. Jackson,
- 16 but it also says, "every person responsible," and
- 17 casting a wide net. But Dr. Jackson is the only
- 18 name -- person's name which is mentioned there.
- 19 Q. In that specific numbered call for action,
- 20 right?
- 21 A. Yes. He --
- 22 Q. Now, are you -- sorry, I didn't mean to cut
- 23 you off.
- A. Yes, he seemed to be the prime mover here.
- 25 Q. And are you mentioned by name in the student

1 Q. (BY MR. ALLEN) And when it says,

- 2 "Responsible parties must be held appropriately
- 3 accountable" in Exhibit 3 -- you see that last
- 4 sentence of the second paragraph?
 - A. The last sentence? Yes.
- 6 Q. Of the -- it says, "Responsible parties must
- 7 be held appropriately accountable."
- 8 A. That's not --
- 9 Q. Do you understa --
- 10 A. -- the last sentence. You mean --
- 11 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry?
- 12 THE WITNESS: That's not the last
- 13 sentence.

5

- 14 Q. That's the last sentence of the second
- 15 paragraph I was referring to.
- 16 A. The last sentence is "Specifically, the
- 17 actions of Dr. Jackson," etcetera.
- 18 Q. Oh, I'm sorry. I meant Exhibit 3. I'm
- 19 trying to --
- 20 A. Oh.
- 21 Q. -- link -- I'm trying to get some clear -- a
- 22 clear record of your understanding of how these two
- 23 documents interact, because obviously the -- as
- 24 you've already testified, the faculty petition refers
- 25 to the student petition, right?

1 petition?

151

- 2 A. Yes, I am, actually.
- Q. And that's in the third paragraph, right, of
- 4 Exhibit No. 5, the student petition?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And it says it's -- it says, "We would like
- 7 to make it clear that the JSS is not a graduate
- 8 student journal; since 2010 (Vol.4), it has been run
- 9 primarily by Drs. Timothy Jackson and Stephen
- 10 Slottow.'
- 11 A. Right.
- 12 Q. Did I read that right?
- 13 A. Yeah.
- 14 Q. Is that an accurate, factual statement about
- 15 the journal?
- 16 A. Yeah, because Dr. Jackson and I were
- 17 co-directors or director and assistant director. So
- 18 I would say as far as it goes, it's accurate, as far
- 19 as the editorial direction.
- 20 Q. How about the -- in the next sentence where
- 21 it says, "Students have absolutely no say in the
- 22 content of the JSS"?
- 23 A. Well, some students do. The students who
- 24 are on the staff, you might say, of the journal.
- 25 Certainly Benjamin Graf and Levi Walls are involved

153

154 156 1 and do have -- they are -- yeah, there is student A. If it had been accepted and if it had come 2 involvement. In fact, it acknowledges that. 2 from Philip Ewell, I can't imagine it would not have 3 We never said it was a student-run 3 been. Yes, we certainly would have been happy to 4 journal, I mean, like run by the -- a mass of 4 publish it. 5 students. It has a student editor, in this case a 5 Q. Okay. And to that extent, the statement student assistant editor. So, yeah. that he was not afforded the opportunity to respond 7 Q. Did Levi Walls have absolutely no say in the in print is unaccept -- that being unacceptable, that's false, right? 8 content of the JSS? 9 9 A. I would not say that's true at all. MS. QUIMBY: Objection. Form. 10 Q. That's false, right? 10 A. To that extent, yes. But the word "respond" 11 A. I would say so, yes. 11 is still a problematical word. 12 Q. Okay. Thank you. 12 Q. (BY MR. ALLEN) Okay. 13 So flipping back to Exhibit 3, I want to 13 A. Because we don't know what he would be just ask one last question about the final sentence 14 responding to, other than a call for papers in 14 15 of the first paragraph of the student -- excuse me --15 response to his SMT plenary address. Q. It doesn't say that he wasn't invited 16 the faculty petition, Exhibit 3. 16 17 A. Of the faculty petition, okay. 17 personally, does it? 18 Q. You see it says, "The fact that he was not 18 A. No. It says he was not afforded the afforded the opportunity to respond in print is opportunity to respond in print. I know what that 19 20 unacceptable, as is the lack of a clearly defined means. I know what they meant by that, but as for 21 peer-review process." what it actually -- that's what it says. 22 A. Uh-huh. 22 Q. Okay. 23 Q. Did I read that correctly? 23 MR. ALLEN: If you want to redirect, 24 Mary, I'm going to pass the witness. I have no 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Who is "he" in that sentence; the fact that 25 further questions. 155 157 1 "he" was not afforded the opportunity to respond in MS. QUIMBY: Okay. Can we take just 2 print is unacceptable. 2 five minutes, please. 3 A. That would be Dr. Ewell. MR. ALLEN: Absolutely. Q. And is that factually accurate, that he was 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. We're off the 5 not afforded the opportunity to respond in print? 5 record at 4:24 p.m. 6 MS. QUIMBY: Objection. Form. 6 (Recess 4:24 p.m. to 4:31 p.m.) 7 A. Well, that gets back to that "respond." I 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at 8 mean --4:31 p.m. 9 Q. (BY MR. ALLEN) Yeah. 9 **FURTHER EXAMINATION** 10 BY MS. QUIMBY: 10 A. -- he was not invited to respond as a Q. Okay. Dr. Slottow, I'll have you look at 11 responder to the items in the symposium. So he was 11 invited, like anyone else, to submit an article or a 12 the student statement again. And you stated, "I know 12 13 submission to the journal. what they mean." 14 Q. Isn't it true that the only way he was 14 A. Well, I was talking about the faculty denied an opportunity to respond, if he had even 15 statement --15 16 wanted to, was because UNT refuses to publish the 16 Q. I'm sorry, the faculty --17 A. -- when I said that. 17 journal anymore, right? 18 MS. QUIMBY: Objection. Form. 18 Q. Okay. What do --19 Q. (BY MR. ALLEN) I mean, otherwise the 19 MR. ALLEN: Are we on Exhibit 3, then, 20 journal would have been happy to publish him? 20 or Exhibit 5? 21 MS. QUIMBY: Objection. Form. 21 MS. QUIMBY: That would then be 22 Exhibit 3. 22 A. The journal would have been happy to publish 23 anything that he sent in in response to the call for MR. ALLEN: Okay, thank you. 23 24 papers of Volume 12? Is that what you mean? A. I think I said that about -- did I say that 25 Q. (BY MR. ALLEN) Yes. about responsible parties must be held appropriately

158 160 1 accountable, or was it about something else? 1 A. Well --2 Q. It was about the response issue. 2 MR. ALLEN: Objection. 3 3 A. -- for the first part, do I agree with what A. Well, can you point to a specific -- I think 4 I was referring to a specific sentence. Do you 4 he says in his article, I wouldn't even answer that 5 5 remember what it was? without having -- rereading the article. It's been 6 Q. "Responsible parties must be held years. And he says a lot about black anti-Semitism. 7 appropriately accountable," at the end of the second 7 Well, there are -- certainly are pockets paragraph. of black anti-Semitism, and there are certainly 9 A. Yes. Well, it's a general -- I thought -instances of synagogues being burnt down by some 10 it would have made more sense in the context of black people. Those are facts which have been 11 what -- who is Tim Jackson's attorney? What's his reported on. So I think some of that is around, yes. 12 name? 12 Q. (BY MS. QUIMBY) And what about what he said 13 MR. ALLEN: Michael Allen. 13 in the article that black children are not exposed to 14 A. -- what Michael Allen said. Now, what was 14 classical music? 15 he getting at, he was trying to get at? I forget. 15 A. I don't know if that's true or not. I don't 16 Let me reread the paragraph. 16 know what he has -- what Dr. Jackson supports that Well, I'm not sure exactly what I meant with, and I wouldn't venture to agree or disagree 17 then because it was in response to something that with that statement. 18 18 Q. Would you expect that statement to be 19 Michael Allen said. 19 MS. QUIMBY: Could we read back 20 20 supported in an academic journal in a scholarly 21 that part of the transcript, please? 21 article? 22 22 (Background noise.) A. I wouldn't venture to say. I don't know. I 23 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry? mean, it's too speculative. Would I expect it to be 24 MS. QUIMBY: Could we read back that supported if someone had done a study on it? I don't last question and answer before we went off the know. I would have to wait to see if someone does do 25 159 161 1 a study on it. Until then, I'm not going to express 1 record? 2 THE REPORTER: The last question is: an opinion. I think it's -- it's a sort of statement that I don't think should be made without some 3 Q. It doesn't say that he wasn't 4 invited personally, does it? evidence. 5 5 A. No. It said he was not afforded the Q. Okay. Thank you. 6 opportunity to respond in print. I know what that 6 MS. QUIMBY: I will pass the witness. means. I know what they meant by that, but as for 7 MR. ALLEN: Sure. **FURTHER EXAMINATION** what it actually -- that's what it says. 8 A. I think I was referring to a sentence with 9 BY MR. ALLEN:

- the word "respond" in it. It wasn't that sent -- it 10 11 wasn't in the sentence --Q. Right. 12 13 A. The one -- it was the last sentence of the
- 14 first paragraph. Q. Now that you've heard that response -- your 15
- response again, do you now know -- can you now answer
- the question of what you meant when you said, "I know 17
- what they mean"? 18
- A. Yeah, it meant that we did not -- the 19
- 20 journal did not invite Dr. Ewell to provide a
- 21 response to each of the items in the symposium.
- 22 That's what they meant.
- 23 Q. Okay. And do you agree with what
- 24 Dr. Jackson said in his article, particularly the
- point regarding black anti-Semitism?

- 10 Q. I just have one more question about the last
- 11 sentence of the first paragraph in Exhibit 3, the
- fact that he was not afforded the opportunity to
- 13 respond in print is unacceptable, right?
- 14 A. Right.
- 15 Q. You said you know what they mean.
- 16 A. Yeah.
- 17 Q. And you even explained to the state's
- attorney, Mary Quimby, what your understanding was, 18
- right? 19

24

- 20 A. Right.
- 21 Q. And my question for you is there's been a
- lot of discussion about what they meant after this
- 23 faculty petition was published, right?
 - MS. QUIMBY: Objection. Form.
 - A. Wait a minute. After this faculty -- what

162			164
was published? It circulated among faculty. It	1	A. I don't recall that it was put in those	
Q. (BY MR. ALLEN) Sure.	2	terms to me, no.	
A certainly has appeared in court. What do	3	Q. Okay.	
		•	
	_	-	
	_		
	_		
		• •	
• •	•		
•	_	•	
		·	
		·	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		-	
•		·	
	18		
A. I don't know the exact date. I would have	19		
• •	20	THE REPORTER: I do, thank you.	
	21		
copy of it and being asked to sign it, right?	22	or do you want him to read and sign?	
A. Yes.	23	MR. TODD: Yeah, we would request that	
Q. At that time was it explained to you what	24	we be allowed to review the transcript, Rule	
they actually meant by this last sentence? Do you	25	30(e)(1).	
	1	THE REPORTER: And Mr. Allen do you	165
	_	•	
·		•	
·	_		
• •		, , ,	
·			
, , ,		-	
•			
		•	
•		(Soposition contoluded at 4.41 p.m.)	
		* * * *	
·			
	21		
much repeated criticism at the time. Q. Wasn't the criticism that was common	21		
	. //		
wasn't a criticism that was common and repeated at	23		
	to depend on you. Q. (BY MR. ALLEN) But you remember receiving a copy of it and being asked to sign it, right? A. Yes.	Q. So I'll just represent for the record and I'm not trying to make you a legal beagle or anything, but in defamation law, something is published when it's disclosed to another party, like a third party. A. Okay. Q. Not necessarily having to be published in a journal or newspaper, all right? So when I so maybe I shouldn't use this jargon. I should say, "when this was circulated." This was circulated at the time in July 2020, correct? A. As far as MS. QUIMBY: Objection. Form. A. I don't know the exact date. I would have to depend on you. Q. (BY MR. ALLEN) But you remember receiving a copy of it and being asked to sign it, right? A. Yes. Q. At that time was it explained to you what they actually meant by this last sentence? Do you 163 remember A. No, because Q anything to that effect? A it wasn't explained because I felt I knew I felt that what they meant was obvious. Q. And all of that what they meant was obvious. Q. And all of that what you just said about this statement, that wasn't said in the actual petition that was circulated to you, was it? A. It's not spelled out into any greater degree, no. I mean, this is the faculty petition, yes. Q. Uh-huh. All right. A. I think MR. ALLEN: I have no Q. Go ahead. A. I think that whoever put it together expected it to be obvious, and I think it is obvious. I would say it clearly refers to the fact that Dr. Ewell was not invited to respond to the	O. So I'll just represent for the record and I'm not trying to make you a legal beagle or anything, but in defamation law, something is published when it's disclosed to another party, like a third party, A. Okay. Q. Not necessarily having to be published in a journal or newspaper, all right? So when I so maybe I shouldn't use this jargon. I should say, "when this was circulated." This was circulated at the time in July 2020, correct? A. As far as M.S. QUIMBY: Objection. Form. A. I don't know the exact date. I would have to depend on you. Q. (BY MR. ALLEN) But you remember receiving a copy of it and being asked to sign it, right? A. Yes. Q. At that time was it explained be you what they actually meant by this last sentence? Do you remember A. No, because Q anything to that effect? A. It mayone said that, I would say it would any title to the same thing. He wasn't invited to go off? The REPORTER: Go ahead, Phil? The REPORTER: I was just asking if your need to get anything on the record before we go off the depo? The REPORTER: And, Mr. Allen, do you want him to read and sign? The remember A. No, because Q anything to that effect? A. It is not spelled out into any greater degree, no. I mean, this is the faculty petition, yes. Q. Oh-huh. All right. A. It shink that whoever put it together expected it to be obvious, and I think it is obvious. I would say it clearly

	166		168
1	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE	1 THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	WITNESS NAME: STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS	
3	DATE OF DEPOSITION: NOVEMBER 7, 2024	2 SHERMAN DIVISION 3 TIMOTHY JACKSON,)	
4	PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON)	
5		4 Plaintiff,)	
6) 5 VS.) CIVIL ACTION	
7		5 VS.) CIVIL ACTION	
′		6 LAURA WRIGHT, ET AL.) NO.: 4:21-cv-00033-ALM	
8			
9		7 Defendants.) 8	
10		REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION OF THE ORAL	
11		9 DEPOSITION OF STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD	
12		NOVEMBER 7, 2024	
13		10 11 I, Vanessa J. Theisen, a Certified	
14		12 Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas,	
15		13 hereby certify to the following:	
16		14 That the witness, STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD,	
17		15 was duly sworn by the officer and that the transcript16 of the oral deposition is a true record of the	
18		17 testimony given by the witness;	
19		18 That the original deposition was delivered	
20		19 to Mr. Patrick Todd to obtain witness's signature.20 That a copy of this certificate was served	
21		20 That a copy of this certificate was served 21 on all parties and/or the witness shown herein on	
22		22 November 11, 2024.	
23		23 I further certify that pursuant to FRCP	
24		24 Rule 30(3) that the signature of the deponent: 25 _XX_ was requested by the deponent or a	
25			
23			
	167		160
	167	1 party before the completion of the deposition and	169
1	I, STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD, have read the	1 party before the completion of the deposition and	169
2	I, STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature	2 that the signature is to be before any notary public	169
2 3	I, STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD, have read the	2 that the signature is to be before any notary public3 and returned within 30 days from date of receipt of	169
2	I, STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature	2 that the signature is to be before any notary public	169
2 3	I, STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above.	 2 that the signature is to be before any notary public 3 and returned within 30 days from date of receipt of 4 the transcript. 	169
2 3 4	I, STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature	 2 that the signature is to be before any notary public 3 and returned within 30 days from date of receipt of 4 the transcript. 5 If returned, the attached Changes and 	169
2 3 4 5	I, STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above. STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD	 2 that the signature is to be before any notary public 3 and returned within 30 days from date of receipt of 4 the transcript. 5 If returned, the attached Changes and 6 Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons 	169
2 3 4 5	I, STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above.	2 that the signature is to be before any notary public 3 and returned within 30 days from date of receipt of 4 the transcript. 5 If returned, the attached Changes and 6 Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons 7 therefore: 8 was not requested by the deponent or 9 a party before the completion of the deposition.	169
2 3 4 5	I, STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above. STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD	2 that the signature is to be before any notary public 3 and returned within 30 days from date of receipt of 4 the transcript. 5 If returned, the attached Changes and 6 Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons 7 therefore: 8 was not requested by the deponent or 9 a party before the completion of the deposition. 10 I further certify that I am neither	169
2 3 4 5 6 7	I, STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above. STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD THE STATE OF)	2 that the signature is to be before any notary public 3 and returned within 30 days from date of receipt of 4 the transcript. 5 If returned, the attached Changes and 6 Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons 7 therefore: 8 was not requested by the deponent or 9 a party before the completion of the deposition. 10 I further certify that I am neither 11 counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the	169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I, STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above. STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD THE STATE OF	2 that the signature is to be before any notary public 3 and returned within 30 days from date of receipt of 4 the transcript. 5 If returned, the attached Changes and 6 Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons 7 therefore: 8 was not requested by the deponent or 9 a party before the completion of the deposition. 10 I further certify that I am neither 11 counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the 12 parties or attorneys in the action in which this	169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I, STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above. STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD THE STATE OF	2 that the signature is to be before any notary public 3 and returned within 30 days from date of receipt of 4 the transcript. 5 If returned, the attached Changes and 6 Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons 7 therefore: 8 was not requested by the deponent or 9 a party before the completion of the deposition. 10 I further certify that I am neither 11 counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the 12 parties or attorneys in the action in which this 13 proceeding was taken, and further that I am not	169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I, STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above. STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD THE STATE OF	2 that the signature is to be before any notary public 3 and returned within 30 days from date of receipt of 4 the transcript. 5 If returned, the attached Changes and 6 Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons 7 therefore: 8 was not requested by the deponent or 9 a party before the completion of the deposition. 10 I further certify that I am neither 11 counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the 12 parties or attorneys in the action in which this 13 proceeding was taken, and further that I am not 14 financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of	169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I, STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above. STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD THE STATE OF	2 that the signature is to be before any notary public 3 and returned within 30 days from date of receipt of 4 the transcript. 5 If returned, the attached Changes and 6 Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons 7 therefore: 8 was not requested by the deponent or 9 a party before the completion of the deposition. 10 I further certify that I am neither 11 counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the 12 parties or attorneys in the action in which this 13 proceeding was taken, and further that I am not 14 financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of 15 the action.	169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I, STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above. STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD THE STATE OF) COUNTY OF) Before me,, on this day personally appeared STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD, known to me (or proved to me under oath or through) (description of identity card or other document) to be the person whose name is	2 that the signature is to be before any notary public 3 and returned within 30 days from date of receipt of 4 the transcript. 5 If returned, the attached Changes and 6 Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons 7 therefore: 8 was not requested by the deponent or 9 a party before the completion of the deposition. 10 I further certify that I am neither 11 counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the 12 parties or attorneys in the action in which this 13 proceeding was taken, and further that I am not 14 financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of 15 the action. 16 Certified to by me on this, the 11th day	169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I, STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above. STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD THE STATE OF	2 that the signature is to be before any notary public 3 and returned within 30 days from date of receipt of 4 the transcript. 5 If returned, the attached Changes and 6 Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons 7 therefore: 8 was not requested by the deponent or 9 a party before the completion of the deposition. 10 I further certify that I am neither 11 counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the 12 parties or attorneys in the action in which this 13 proceeding was taken, and further that I am not 14 financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of 15 the action.	169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I, STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above. STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD THE STATE OF	 that the signature is to be before any notary public and returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefore: was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was taken, and further that I am not financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. Certified to by me on this, the 11th day of November, 2024. 	169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I, STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above. STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD THE STATE OF	 that the signature is to be before any notary public and returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefore: was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was taken, and further that I am not financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. Certified to by me on this, the 11th day of November, 2024. 	169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I, STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above. STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD THE STATE OF	that the signature is to be before any notary public and returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefore: was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was taken, and further that I am not financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. Certified to by me on this, the 11th day of November, 2024.	169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I, STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above. STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD THE STATE OF	that the signature is to be before any notary public and returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefore: was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was taken, and further that I am not financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. Certified to by me on this, the 11th day of November, 2024. VANESSA J. THEISEN, Texas CSR, RPR Texas Cert No. 3238 Expiration Date: 10/31/25	169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I, STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above. STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD THE STATE OF	that the signature is to be before any notary public and returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefore: was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was taken, and further that I am not financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. Certified to by me on this, the 11th day of November, 2024. VANESSA J. THEISEN, Texas CSR, RPR Texas Cert No. 3238 Expiration Date: 10/31/25 Integrity Legal Support Solutions	169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I, STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above. STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD THE STATE OF	that the signature is to be before any notary public and returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefore: was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was taken, and further that I am not financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. Certified to by me on this, the 11th day of November, 2024. VANESSA J. THEISEN, Texas CSR, RPR Texas Cert No. 3238 Expiration Date: 10/31/25 Integrity Legal Support Solutions Firm Registration No. 528	169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I, STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above. STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD THE STATE OF	that the signature is to be before any notary public and returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefore: was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was taken, and further that I am not financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. Certified to by me on this, the 11th day of November, 2024. VANESSA J. THEISEN, Texas CSR, RPR Texas Cert No. 3238 Expiration Date: 10/31/25 Integrity Legal Support Solutions Firm Registration No. 528 9901 Brodie Ln., Ste. 160-400	169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I, STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above. STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD THE STATE OF	that the signature is to be before any notary public and returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefore: was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was taken, and further that I am not financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. Certified to by me on this, the 11th day of November, 2024. VANESSA J. THEISEN, Texas CSR, RPR Texas Cert No. 3238 Expiration Date: 10/31/25 Integrity Legal Support Solutions Firm Registration No. 528 9901 Brodie Ln., Ste. 160-400 Austin, Texas 78748	169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I, STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above. STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD THE STATE OF	that the signature is to be before any notary public and returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefore: was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was taken, and further that I am not financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. Certified to by me on this, the 11th day of November, 2024. VANESSA J. THEISEN, Texas CSR, RPR Texas Cert No. 3238 Expiration Date: 10/31/25 Integrity Legal Support Solutions Firm Registration No. 528 9901 Brodie Ln., Ste. 160-400 Austin, Texas 78748 23 (512) 320-8690	169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I, STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above. STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD THE STATE OF	that the signature is to be before any notary public and returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefore: was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was taken, and further that I am not financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. Certified to by me on this, the 11th day of November, 2024. VANESSA J. THEISEN, Texas CSR, RPR Texas Cert No. 3238 Expiration Date: 10/31/25 Integrity Legal Support Solutions Firm Registration No. 528 9901 Brodie Ln., Ste. 160-400 Austin, Texas 78748 (512) 320-8690 www.integritylegal.support	169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I, STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above. STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD THE STATE OF	that the signature is to be before any notary public and returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefore: was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was taken, and further that I am not financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. Certified to by me on this, the 11th day of November, 2024. VANESSA J. THEISEN, Texas CSR, RPR Texas Cert No. 3238 Expiration Date: 10/31/25 Integrity Legal Support Solutions Firm Registration No. 528 9901 Brodie Ln., Ste. 160-400 Austin, Texas 78748 (512) 320-8690 www.integritylegal.support	169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I, STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above. STEPHEN SLOTTOW, PhD THE STATE OF	that the signature is to be before any notary public and returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefore: was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was taken, and further that I am not financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. Certified to by me on this, the 11th day of November, 2024. VANESSA J. THEISEN, Texas CSR, RPR Texas Cert No. 3238 Expiration Date: 10/31/25 Integrity Legal Support Solutions Firm Registration No. 528 9901 Brodie Ln., Ste. 160-400 Austin, Texas 78748 (512) 320-8690 www.integritylegal.support	169

4:31 157:6,8 accusing 26:17 101:7 Numbers acknowledges 154:2 **4:41** 165:11,12 **849** 49:23 acquainted 116:18 002640 8:14 **8:31** 4:8 acronym 31:25 002642 8:19 9:40 52:25 53:4 act 149:13 **9:55** 53:4,6 **002644** 8:19 acted 112:23 113:1,2, 00300 8:20 3 143:7 005523 9:9 **acting** 99:23 Α **05523** 106:18 **Action** 4:6 145:18 **10:16** 67:16 **a.m** 4:8 52:25 53:4 148:18,23 149:3,21 **10:17** 67:19 53:4,6 67:16,19 152:19 **10:47** 87:5,6 actions 33:17 38:10 87:5,6,6 102:2,5 104:14 133:11 150:2 **10:58** 87:6,9 **10-foot** 95:10 **aback** 92:4 151:17 **11:22** 102:2 abeyance 95:17 **active** 62:6 108:23 **11:23** 102:5 ability 5:25 124:23 125:4 **11:25** 103:13 **able** 41:5 57:15 131:17 activities 17:24 **11:26** 104:14 69:16 **11:30** 11:12 absolutely 153:21 40:22 44:21 **1992** 109:1 154:7 157:3 activity 43:3 2000 15:11 **absurd** 98:18 acts 133:15 actual 14:2 23:9 **2001** 12:18 13:6 16:2 academia 15:25 2002 12:20 19:19,22 academic 17:4 59:11 23:9 34:3 163:7 23:3 41:2 60:10 66:9 75:14 actualized 40:23,25 94:19 108:24 2003 19:22 41:2 actually 13:19 14:5 **2005** 41:7 70:19 130:18 160:20 16:13 18:10 19:15 2008 12:20 12:20 academics 109:5 21:19 36:11 38:12, 13:5 accept 55:12 73:4 17 41:5,6 42:7 2010 153:8 45:25 46:8 54:19 86:5 86:5 60:5 62:9 63:13 **2019** 23:7 63:4 acceptance 99:14 113:19 121:15 acceptances 55:10 64:1,22 70:9 73:9, 2020 12:21,22,24 **accepted** 55:13 59:1 22 74:16 111:20 109:25 110:6 117:6 143:19 156:1 112:12,21 120:22 121:13,16,16 access 9:13 121:14,15 134:6 122:17 124:17 accompanied 11:6 135:6,8 153:2 131:19 132:20 accord 96:17,18 156:21 159:8 162:25 135:19 138:15 account 123:13 146:2 149:7 146:17 147:9 **add** 62:4 162:16 accountable 145:23 **addition** 9:25 16:15 69:5 71:9 72:12 **2021** 106:15 149:15,23 151:3,7 226 147:13 152:7,13 158:1,7 101:1 111:16 **23rd** 106:15 accurate 76:21 105:3 additional 65:23 **25th** 146:17 147:9 127:3 153:14,18 address 25:19,20 **30e1** 164:25 155:4 26:2,4 34:1,3 **3:03** 104:14,16 accusation 146:6 39:23 62:2 63:25 **3:59** 146:25 147:1 accusations 101:11 63:25 72:14 112:3 **4:12** 147:1,3 110:20,24,25 111:1 123:24 123:24 4:21-cv-00033-ALM accused 94:19 101:9 128:23 156:15 4:6 132:25 133:3,8 addressed 131:21 **4:24** 157:5,6 134:17 addresses 37:19

addressing 44:4	14 146:6	America 75:17
81:13 112:19,20	Age 6:1	American 75:17
119:25 132:11	agitating 138:24	among 25:21 118:1,5
adjunct 108:21 109:2	ago 5:20 6:2 21:24	162:1
administration	71:12 98:8	amount 69:6 80:15
95:22,23	agree 59:13 159:23	164:7
administrators	160:3,17	amounted 21:19
27:21	agreed 140:15	analysis 16:15 17:25
adopt 68:17	ahead 8:1 48:8 73:10 84:13 110:17	25:22 66:5 90:17
adopted 68:10,14 80:20 81:7	141:16 163:15	91:9,10,14 117:13
	164:5,16	118:10 Analytic 70:17
advantage 65:10	allegations 90:16	analytical 78:14
advantages 68:21	alleged 88:18	analyzing 118:11
advantages 08.21	Allen 4:13 38:14	and/or 22:24
advertise 123:15	104:20 111:11	announced 55:25
advertised 99:15	158:13,14,19 165:1	56:25 107:11
advice 51:13	allowed 164:24	annual 25:20 35:2
Advisory 21:21 37:18	alluded 98:1	105:20
38:1,17 41:9 42:3,	almost 33:12 62:8	annually 50:4
9,13 44:14,15 54:6	67:8 71:4	anonymous 68:25
105:8,17,19,23	along 9:16 20:12	Another 8:11 65:2
Afa 107:16	34:12 66:15 69:22	95:8 112:13 132:12
affected 94:3	already 35:25 40:19	162:8
affecting 26:18	52:19 56:16 57:17	Anson-Cartwright
afforded 154:19	59:2 98:19 110:23	39:5
155:1,5 156:6,18	132:12 142:25	<pre>answer 6:17,19 11:3,</pre>
159:5 161:12	151:24	7 39:14 42:13 52:1
afraid 26:22 62:8	also 18:13 28:25	56:20 59:5 61:11
76:17 94:2,12,21	29:4 34:4 36:24	84:6 132:9 158:25
Africa 75:16	42:24 47:18 60:7	159:16 160:4
after 14:2 15:17	63:18 65:19 69:1,3,	answered 52:9 56:17
19:20 20:7,9 23:5,	17 70:21 71:7	61:2 71:19 80:8
11,12 34:1,8,9	79:17 80:3 81:18	89:25
38:23 39:8 41:1,10	108:4,5,10 112:12	answering 11:18
44:16 56:2 59:6	114:24 116:23	anthropology 76:1
62:2 63:7 64:3	119:1 120:18	anticipate 91:5,25
93:7 107:6 108:17	121:12 128:6	92:15
109:25 128:2	130:23 131:8 134:9	anticipated 92:5
138:16 161:22,25	135:11 137:15	anti-Semitism
afternoon 104:19	140:10 142:19	111:12 111:12
afterwards 26:3	145:5 150:1 152:16	134:1 159:25 160:6,
again 46:9 53:17	alterations 58:20 59:16	8
60:19 61:11 105:13 106:14 110:2	alternate 69:13	anymore 57:8 78:21 95:1 155:17
122:15 142:10	alternative 69:23	anyone 10:2,14,19
144:4 157:12	although 55:6	48:22 62:11,21
159:16	always 18:24 21:7	63:12 79:15 92:22
against 78:9 88:17	27:21 44:19 58:6,7	94:19 99:3 100:19
97:15 138:24 140:4,	95:25	108:20 114:2
J, 130 130 24 140 4,	73.23	100-20 111-2

117:18 128:15	argue 44:20 78:9	103:20 106:4,21
129:7 132:19,23	97:8 137:3,8	111:25 112:2
134:6,20,23 135:6,	140:20	135:19 147:10
10 140:25 141:3	argued 78:22 134:9	154:14
155:12 164:6	140:3,14	asked 42:12 52:14,18
anything 5:24 10:17	argues 113:1	55:22 61:8,13
17:14 24:19 50:16	arguing 140:1	74:15 77:15,16
61:7 62:9 73:5	argument 78:17 135:7	78:8 89:15 91:20
79:23 82:21 96:6	arose 124:18	
		124:24 131:9
98:2,13 102:18	around 19:21 41:2	133:14 150:11,13
103:1 107:10,24	105:21 110:21	162:22
115:2,3 119:23	117:25 132:17	asking 7:3 8:5 11:17
120:6,8 122:25	160:11	33:5 90:1 100:5
141:17 146:9	arrange 113:4	141:9 141:9 164:17
155:23 162:7 163:3	article 9:18 31:15	asleep 86:19
164:15,18	34:2,12,20 45:8,18	aspects 108:7 114:24
Anyway 25:24 57:20	59:11,13,22 61:13	assertion 134:22
anywhere 99:5	70:3 71:1 75:9	135:4
apart 23:5	76:12,24 77:5,16,	assertive 97:7
apologize 130:21	17 78:14,21 79:1,3,	136:22
appear 49:6,12 59:1	3 80:4,25,25 81:4	assistant 12:19
appearances 4:10	92:22 94:15 111:16,	16:11 23:1 37:17
appeared 34:2,4,5,13	22 112:1,6,8	54:8,22,25 55:1,22,
162:3	114:11 119:2,6	24 56:9,14 69:7
appears 9:6 70:4	120:23 121:4 123:6	83:8,10 84:11
79:1 86:19	128:10 131:5 133:4	93:18 105:6,24
applications 17:6	134:1 143:14	153:17 154:6
applied 99:18	155:12 159:24	associate 12:20 13:4
apply 17:4 80:15	160:4,5,13,21	associated 26:21
98:10,12,17 99:13	articles 25:18 26:9,	99:3,22 100:1,24
applying 98:3,25	13 31:16 39:23	association 30:14
appointed 98:11	41:13 47:12,18,23	assume 67:8 80:2
appraisals 48:17,20	48:13 50:20 58:9,	134:25
appreciate 6:13	24 60:21 61:15	assumed 94:20 123:3,
apprentice 56:4 57:6	64:25 65:6,17	25
<pre>apprenticeship 56:9,</pre>	66:11,18 70:7,12,	attached 147:14,19
19 79:22 137:20	25 72:7,9,13 78:5	attack 31:9 62:12
approach 43:16 93:10,	79:8 115:9 118:15	63:20
11	119:18 120:10	attacked 25:21 31:5,
approached 99:6	121:19 122:17	10 88:21 93:16
appropriate 30:21	123:21 124:3 128:7	93:16 94:16,17
appropriately	129:9,10,24 130:8,	96:24
145:23 151:2,7	10,25 131:9,23	attacking 29:4,6
152:7,13 157:25	artistic 107:20	31:2
158:7	aside 108:3	attacks 28:18 31:15
approved 6:8	ask 5:9 10:24 11:2,	62:3,4 91:11
approving 43:23	16 12:5 15:23 16:3	100:14
approximately 104:5	38:19 45:7 49:21	attained 12:23
area 28:20	52:13 54:7 85:2	attempt 94:8
aren't 67:7	89:17 92:12 102:18	attend 63:23 107:5,7

attendance 35:4	14 154:13 155:7	beginning 7:18 18:8
attended 107:4	157:7 158:20,24	83:17
attending 10:12	back-and-forth	begins 4:1 147:12
107:5	135:14	148:4,17,21
attention 107:15	background 12:6	behalf 148:5
117:22 121:23	130:21 158:22	behind 55:19
144:2,8 148:14	bad 23:25 26:22	being 17:7 24:21
attorney 7:4,6 10:1	27:23,25 77:11	26:17 29:8 33:11,
150:12 158:11	88:2 108:4,6,10	15,20,22 56:1 62:5
161:18	112:23 113:1,2,3	64:24 68:3,24 70:1
Attorney-Client	Bakulina 39:4 84:4	79:22 79:22 83:7
6:15,20 8:4	121:17 122:16,24	89:18 93:17 94:11
audio 37:4	124:25 131:20	96:23 97:2 99:15
author 78:9 80:17	Ballroom 8:8	101:8,9,10,21
126:1 130:22	band 15:15	110:20 128:9,12
authority 69:2	bandwagon 120:13	132:25 133:3,8
137:11,16	banjo 15:16	134:16 134:16,17
authors 55:4 122:21,	base 99:12	136:5 146:1,8
22	based 25:3 34:2,13	156:7 160:9 162:22
automatically 81:21	106:5 110:11	believe 24:3 31:1
available 60:12	basically 23:18	33:19 46:25 56:1
average 134:10	31:16 117:1	82:8 88:20 104:23
avoid 87:2	basis 122:2 134:7	109:16 114:21
aware 34:18,20 49:3	bassoon 19:25	116:25 132:1 134:9
92:23 119:21	Bates 49:22 106:18	145:3
120:16 121:19	Bates-numbered	Ben 79:19,20,24 80:3
122:20 126:14	147:12	83:6,18 85:2 97:17
129:20,22,25	Beach 38:14	99:1,11 136:6
133:10,15 142:8	beagle 162:6	137:1 140:1
143:17	bear 35:13	benign 47:25
away 23:18,19 95:5,	became 16:4,7,11	Benjamin 24:8 54:10,
12	18:22 19:2 68:24	14 55:25 56:5,7,20,
awful 28:17	117:7	24 57:7 58:4 62:18
axe 126:2	become 40:24	69:11,18 71:5
	before 11:17,21 13:9	74:16 76:17 93:12
В	14:10 15:24 21:17	135:17,23 137:16,
	30:4 34:8 42:1	22,23 142:19 143:1,
bachelor's 13:11,16	52:4,9 55:2 56:10	8,16,20 153:25
14:6,14,16 15:5,6,	60:4 64:2 71:12	besides 9:25 56:7
13,17	74:9 82:22,23	72:17 80:10 90:20
back 29:21 35:8 41:8	83:14,19 91:12	116:10 119:5
53:5,8 58:22 67:18	92:9 98:9 106:13	best 103:21
70:9 71:11 87:8	110:23 117:6 128:3	better 44:25 46:20
89:15 97:8 100:15	129:15 132:20	93:10 108:20
102:4 103:22	136:11 139:15,16,	109:18 137:7
104:10,15 116:17	18 140:1 158:25	between 15:5,12
121:7 124:16	164:15,18	43:21 72:20 73:6
125:17 133:24	began 12:18 23:17	137:22 138:3 143:1
135:19 136:8 137:3	75:13 108:15	148:13
144:2 147:2 148:13,	begin 11:17	beyond 11:12 27:2
	I .	T.

125:11	bullied 94:5	6 91:8 98:12 100:6,
big 28:21 55:17	Burkhart 38:15	15 103:22 104:10,
bit 71:12 83:24	burnt 160:9	12 108:2 112:12,13,
103:17 135:16	Burstein 38:14 39:1	25 125:9,12 133:10,
black 111:12 134:1,	business 18:10,14,18,	14 140:23 144:3,23
10 159:25 160:6,8,	19 20:6,10	149:2 157:1 158:3
10,13	,	159:16 165:9
blamed 139:6	С	canceled 125:15
blogs 28:15 30:6		candidate 17:11
bluegrass 15:15	Cadwallader 38:14	cannot 11:12 117:17
blurred 76:7	call 16:19 50:24	can't 11:19 19:8
Board 21:21 37:17,18	51:12 73:3 73:3	20:7 23:7 25:15,23
38:1,2,5,6,17,20	84:9 85:4 89:6	39:13,24 48:17,22
41:9 42:3,9,13	92:23 93:19 105:12	51:18 65:5 76:14
44:14,15 51:17,19,	125:15,18 126:12,	79:10 88:3 98:11,
22 52:5,7,13 54:6	20 127:12 128:11	22 104:7 113:11
54:6 60:3,12,15,16,		117:10 119:14
21,23,24 61:5,19	148:18,23 149:3,20	133:20,21 156:2
105:9,17,19,23	152:19 155:23	capacities 105:1
130:13,15	156:14	captioned 106:16
book 22:16 94:17	called 30:13 64:8,25	car 139:21
112:19 113:8 121:1	66:18 73:19 78:1	care 18:11,19 135:3
130:9 131:10	114:21 115:14,17,	career 94:2,10,12
		108:19 116:8
book-like 71:4	21,22 116:2,6	
books 49:8 70:7	120:19 124:17	138:21
both 11:19 22:24,25	146:1	careful 123:14
25:9 30:9,10 31:1	came 13:4 17:1 19:15	Carl 38:15 51:10,11,
37:25 40:16 45:4	20:14 25:7 34:16	18 60:17
51:6,7,10 73:5	38:24 40:21 41:3,6	case 4:3 55:7 59:15
74:16 79:19 80:7	57:25 58:12 61:23	77:10 78:13 80:16
101:24 115:8	62:14 63:1,2 64:10	82:2 92:14 99:6
122:16 125:1	65:20 68:4,6 84:11	102:25 103:6
143:12 145:4 146:4	94:1 99:16 105:20	116:21 127:16
150:2	110:5 121:14,15,18	134:19 144:1 154:5
bottom 7:12,13,17	127:14 130:9	cases 52:15
107:15	136:11 139:15	casting 152:17
boundaries 76:9	142:7	catch-all 75:22
Brand 24:8 27:1,3,6,	Can 7:16 8:21 9:3	cause 112:12
13	11:11 13:25 14:2,	caution 91:1,21 92:6
break 11:8,9,11 15:2	24,25 15:1 17:16	cautious 93:10
49:20 52:23 87:3	22:1 31:25 32:23	102:21
Brief 67:17 87:3	36:6 37:10 38:4	CDs 17:21 41:22,24
briefly 9:11 12:5	41:8 43:7 46:9,16	ceased 110:11,15,16
13:9	47:7 49:5,10,20	censor 140:25 141:3,
bring 41:4 57:4	50:25 52:1 54:1,24	5,6,10 142:6,11,11,
bringing 111:12	59:9 61:22 66:13,	13
Britain 94:19	15,25 67:13,14	censorship 77:3,6
brought 9:16 51:16	70:1 73:9,10,14,18,	78:24 142:6
140:21	23 78:9 79:4,7	Center 8:8 16:4,8,14,
browsed 74:6	86:5 87:3,18 88:4,	18,25 17:17 18:3,4,

6 19:5 20:11,15,16, 20,20,22,23 21:2	characterized 136:16 149:17	codirector 19:5 21:16,18,23 23:21
22:24 23:15,18	charge 38:17	40:2 105:24
24:4,22 25:3 27:8	charges 33:20	co-directors 153:17
33:16 40:4,10,17,	Charles 38:15	cold 23:14
18,22 41:12,19	chat 35:22 36:18,21	Colin 69:19 83:18
42:5 43:4 44:19,21	46:18 66:22,23	137:1
45:5 50:5,13 53:13	87:19	colleague 132:24
95:1,14,15,17,25	chatter 101:19	135:24
104:24 105:2,25 119:17 143:4	children 134:10	colleagues 109:5 110:12 119:24
central 118:23	160:13	130:24
century 108:25	choice 58:24 69:10	collection 47:12
Certain 17:21 27:2	94:7 99:13 118:11	college 8:7 9:12
64:13,15 68:20	choices 69:13	12:10 13:13 21:14
69:2,6 80:1,15	choosing 58:9	24:6,23 25:9,16
94:6,7 95:2 131:1	chose 30:23 69:11	95:6 149:13
135:12 137:8	112:24 113:7 113:7	college's 28:1
138:13	chosen 60:1 69:7	combination 75:25
certainly 24:8 26:20	79:9	come 45:1,11 52:12
27:12,24 28:5,6,24	Chris 132:1	63:8 68:2 79:24
38:23 40:18 41:13,	chronological 24:2	84:21,22 101:14,18
14 42:21 43:2,14,	circles 94:20	103:22 104:10
19,23 51:13 55:9	circulated 33:11	156:1
56:24 57:25 64:4	162:1,15,15 163:8	comes 65:20
66:3 75:8 90:9,12	circulating 32:25	comfort 85:7,9
92:23 94:16,21	circulation 116:9	coming 28:22,24,25
95:24 96:14,24	cite 49:10	29:25 30:2,3,4
99:7 109:13,15,22	City 13:13,24 51:8	119:13 137:24
111:9 116:14 121:7	Civil 4:5	comment 64:17 130:4
122:24 124:4 131:2,	claim 133:20	142:1,16
6,17 133:2,21	claiming 139:20	comments 117:17
134:3 139:1 142:12	class 35:10 64:24	committed 133:16
149:17 152:15	136:2	committee 16:22,24
153:25 156:3 160:7,	classical 57:6 75:15,	17:2,5,12 42:25
8 162:3	19 76:9 134:11	43:1,5 95:9 97:23
chair 24:9	160:14	98:5,15 99:19
chance 27:14 37:13	clean 98:20	106:9
96:13	clear 11:4,15 52:11	committees 98:16 common 49:17 65:1
change 19:6,7 36:9 83:22 149:14	130:10 151:21,22 153:7	130:25 163:20,22,
changed 19:5 70:10	clearly 154:20	23
82:25 83:16 130:1,	163:18	communicate 55:4
12	Cleveland 13:11	communicated 25:9
changes 58:18 76:23	15:14,19	Communication 8:4
77:5,10,20 78:6,9,	clicked 144:21 145:8	community 96:25
17 80:14,16,17,19,	client 7:2	138:23 139:2 139:2
24 81:3,6	close 51:10 54:17	compan 115:16
characterize 106:19	63:3 85:5,6,9	companion 115:17
133:12	closely 68:23	comparable 49:3
_		

comparison 134:13	19,20
complain 83:20	consultation 27:6
complained 85:7	59:7
129:15	consulted 18:13
complaining 83:21	22:10 38:8,21,21
complaints 117:8	39:8,22 43:14
completely 74:5	52:15,20
completely 74.3	consulting 22:2,4
component 106:3	66:20
comprised 51:23	contact 136:4
computer 70:5	contain 47:18
conceived 31:14	contained 88:19
conception 83:23	contained 33:19 content 33:10 153:22
concerned 27:22	154:8
52:16	contention 101:2
concerning 132:14	contents 37:21
concert 20:16	contents 37:21 context 141:18 142:1,
concerted 62:12	18 158:10
concerts 17:20 41:22,	continue 56:1,25
24	57:19,20 103:19,20
conclude 86:25	140:20
concluded 165:12	continuing 23:20
condemn 149:5	contra 119:17
condemnation 139:19	contributing 133:9
144:13,18	contribution 106:5
condemning 138:15	129:18 142:21
condition 55:15	contributions
conditions 86:25	132:13
conference 62:7 64:7	contributors 129:8,
128:24	12,13 131:15,18
conferral 69:11	control 138:8
confident 69:18,20	controversy 112:21
Confidential 6:14	116:9 122:20
8:3	126:21 135:6
conflict 61:8	conversations 9:25
confusingly 53:20	copies 117:15 129:9
connection 48:2	copy 9:16 14:23,25
conscience 80:24	15:1,2 22:11,15,16
97:16	36:7 162:22 165:2
conscious 135:11	correct 9:22 13:7
consider 34:22	16:5 23:10 24:21
102:23	30:11 31:21 32:21
considerable 81:16	37:23 38:2 39:9
considered 34:25	50:5,11 51:20
69:4 90:20 126:25	54:11 65:12 73:20
considering 118:2	77:14 88:21 95:19
consists 105:5	97:24 105:9 109:14
constitute 133:16	112:22 116:16
construed 134:3	127:17 128:12
consult 39:25 81:10,	132:2 139:18
	İ

162:16 correctly 46:4 106:19 112:22 144:15 154:23 correspondence 18:12 **could** 8:12 9:10 24:21 29:20 31:8 38:9 59:1 60:5,7 65:6 69:4,14 84:14 92:20 94:9 95:16 96:5 96:5,20 99:11 102:13 103:16 104:8 125:4 127:8, 9 128:15,18 129:1, 6 140:19 143:22 145:10 158:20,24 couldn't 57:11 69:23 **Counsel** 4:7,9 counseled 92:6,12,13 count 32:19 66:13 73:2,6 109:2 counting 108:16 **couple** 5:9 49:19 51:1 course 16:24 17:12 36:14 44:25 64:12 70:8 75:9 95:8 103:25 108:11 120:7 126:6,24 133:6 Court 4:4,23 5:16 10:22 11:5,16,23 12:2 29:20 39:17 162:3 create 40:16 created 25:25 40:10, 18 creation 84:8 critical 47:15 48:11, 12 72:23 73:5 90:21 112:6 119:10, 11 Critically 149:12 criticism 31:11 94:1 101:13 111:1 115:4, 7,11 123:12 126:2 143:9,19 163:21,22, 23 criticisms 76:18

death 26:20

decide 68:17

deceptive 123:16,22 124:9 124:9,10

decided 26:12 113:14

119:7 120:1 decision 24:7 41:12 90:15,22 criticize 28:8,11 42:20 43:19 44:7,9 **described** 30:7,9,9, 59:3,8 135:11 25 40:9 42:16 124:19 44:23 46:3 46:3,10 criticized 96:24 decisions 41:11 112:21 140:6 58:8 69:25 77:13 81:18 82:3 143:23 decrying 31:13 80:19 88:15,20 97:23 99:20 101:16 criticizing 29:6,7 defamation 162:7 101:5,10 110:24 **defend** 135:3 describing 30:3,4 defendant 4:12 59:23 76:12 90:5 124:22 **despite** 113:14 **critics** 112:4,5 defendants 29:13 119:6 defending 31:19 destroying 138:21 deficient 99:24 determined 16:22 critiques 47:25 75:10 143:13 **defined** 154:20 develop 69:2 devoted 49:14 cross-section 148:5 definitely 85:14 **cry** 23:13 94:13 110:14 143:6 **died** 43:18 47:14 Cubero 39:4 **defunct** 25:1 109:18, **Diego** 39:4 cultural 135:8 **dies** 49:12 culture 75:21 149:12, **degree** 13:10,11,12, **different** 8:20 26:6 14 19,19,20 14:14,16 42:14 76:5 78:15 **curious** 30:22 96:9 109:11 163:10 99:4 101:8 **current** 113:11 degrees 13:15 differential 137:22 cursorily 6:5 deliberations 17:12 143:1,6 **demanded** 29:9 31:11 differently 92:11 customary 125:25 **cut** 143:21 152:22 demanding 30:20 124:15 diploma 13:22 demands 88:24 demonstrating **direct** 70:22 107:14 141:10 142:5 144:8 110:25 **damage** 110:12 **denied** 128:12 155:15 **directed** 45:1 100:14 141:5 damaged 28:2,7 96:12 **Denton** 129:22 **date** 23:6 41:3 62:24 **deny** 101:4 direction 38:10 162:19 department 28:20 149:16,24 153:19 **dated** 147:9 **directly** 27:11 95:23 28:20 **dates** 23:8,9 24:1 **depend** 162:20 150:22 **director** 19:4 40:4 32:16 110:2,3 **depends** 80:21 86:18 **David** 38:14 105:24 107:20 **depo** 165:2 **Davis** 69:19 83:19 deposition $4:2\ 10:1$, 153:17 153:17 day 104:21 2,7,12 11:20 36:14 **dirty** 130:7 **deadline** 85:4,5,6,13, 88:21 97:19 102:8, disagree 160:17 14,15,21,23 86:9, 9,11,16 103:1,20 disagreement 81:1 12 125:19 108:8 148:10 disapproval 28:6 deadlines 65:21 165:12 disapproved 138:12 **deal** 92:6 depositions 5:14 disassociate 99:2 **dealt** 140:8 102:19,22 103:6 disbanded 98:7,9 dean 24:13,14 27:1,3, 147:8 discipline 114:13 6,13,14,15 98:11 **derogatory** 74:22,23 disclosed 162:8

discretion 81:15

147:7

discuss 10:17 71:20

83:15 108:4 142:25

discussed 82:24,25

75:2 76:16

describe 6:11 7:4,16

54:24 59:10 61:22

9:10 17:16 41:9

65:3 77:3 79:7

discussing 33:22 discussion 101:20 102:3 126:13 161:22 disdainful 130:5 disgraceful 31:19 Dissolve 149:9 District 4:4,5 diversity 9:13 Division 4:5 24:9	down 10:24 11:19 14:18 76:25 88:4 123:17 142:4 160:9 download 37:8 drop 140:17,21 Drs 153:9 duly 5:2 during 66:20 Dworkin 107:16	11 84:10,11,22 86:4,21 93:13,18 94:8,24 95:3 96:2 97:24 98:3,4,6,17 99:1 105:5,6 112:7 123:24 126:3 130:17 137:2,14,24 139:3 140:7 142:12 154:5,6 editorial 37:17 38:1,
28:4 29:2,6,12 144:11 148:6 149:13 doctoral 15:6 58:7 doctorate 13:13,17 document 7:12,21 8:22 22:2 30:19 88:1,8,10 89:14	each 6:11 7:16 8:20, 22 11:18 13:15 39:16 45:11 61:12 101:7 159:21 earlier 30:7 40:9 53:8 70:1 71:20	5,6,20 51:17,19,22 52:4,7 54:6 60:3, 12,15,21,23,23 61:5,19 80:22 83:16 90:1 99:24 104:25 105:4,5,7 149:8 153:19 editor-in-training
148:2 150:12 documents 6:6,9,20, 21,24 7:7,9,16 151:23 does 7:22,23,24 8:23 47:10 63:4 73:12 79:3 86:15 88:25 89:1 89:1 93:4	88:20 89:16 95:18 104:23 108:9 109:16 112:18 117:1 125:21 145:15 147:7 148:10 early 52:19 earn 14:10,15,17	137:15 editors 57:14 58:3 67:24 69:1 96:6 97:12,13 99:10 130:14,15,25 132:6, 16 135:18 136:23 137:13 138:9 editor's 69:25 71:8
122:14 123:17 150:16,20,24,25 152:9 156:17 159:4 160:25 doesn't 7:21 50:14 80:17 88:22 112:15 146:21 156:16 159:3	earned 15:8,10 earning 15:12 easily 140:22 Eastern 4:5 Eastman 68:9,19 echo 37:1 edition 41:16 44:4 45:23 46:2 58:25	81:8 editorship 82:23 editor-to-be 69:12 Edward 43:17 45:3 46:2 48:23 effect 114:24 163:3 effectively 14:9 94:23
dog 130:20 doing 15:16 43:16 60:10 76:8 77:8 78:14 81:17 94:5 101:6 140:11 145:11 dominated 139:9 done 6:5 39:5 57:21	70:19 72:19 editor 18:9,23,23 19:12,14 21:5,6 37:17,18 43:13 54:7,8,10,22,24,25 55:1,2,4,5,9,15,18, 20,20,22,24 56:1,3, 5,8,9,13,21 57:2,5,	effort 127:23,25 128:6 143:24 egregious 88:18 either 13:25 14:25 29:19 34:13,14 46:24 54:4 55:12 84:22 90:21 118:1 119:24 125:5
78:13 80:13 88:8 92:11 97:12 102:17 129:11 133:12 135:10 140:19 160:24 double 60:24 double-blind 122:18 doubt 114:3	8,11,25 58:8,19,23 59:6 59:6,7 62:18 67:21,23,25 68:3,5, 10,21 69:8,15,19, 19 77:23 78:1 79:16,18 80:22,23 81:9,15,16,19,20, 23 82:2 83:6,8,8,	<pre>elapsed 15:5 electronic 14:25 element 65:19 130:3 elements 149:4 Ellen 39:4 84:3 84:3</pre>

else 5:24 10:2,14,18, 20 14:2 24:16 32:25 57:5 61:18 62:20,21 63:12 65:25 87:2 92:23 100:19 101:18 102:18 103:1 128:8, 10,15 129:7 155:12 158:1 else's 48:1 elsewhere 63:16 66:8 email 32:24 106:15, 23 136:6 139:25 emails 33:5 136:5 139:13	97:14 113:12 114:11 120:2 essay 111:5 essential 110:19 essentially 24:20,25 29:8 77:8 etcetera 16:17 18:12 90:2 106:9 151:17 Ethno 75:4 ethnomusicologists 74:24 76:2,19 ethnomusicology 24:10 28:4 29:3 74:24 75:3,6,12,13, 23 76:12,18 80:10	62:8 64:6 128:8,9 everyone's 103:23 everything 92:14 103:22 114:14 evidence 161:4 evidently 95:9 99:16 119:2 130:1 131:23 140:10 Ewell 26:16 31:15 41:15 41:15 42:20 45:21 62:2 72:24 83:25 90:6,21 91:7 92:1,3,12,16 99:23 100:14 101:6 111:10 112:19
embarrassed 25:10,11,	144:12 148:7	113:22 114:20,23
16 26:22 27:20 emphasized 73:4	Europe 94:18 European 75:21	119:1,5,13,22 121:17 122:16,24
employees 20:23 21:1	evaluate 17:5,7	125:17 126:15
end 28:5 77:1 101:23	evaluated 106:5	127:23 129:6,14
158:7 ended 23:10	even 21:18 40:1 67:2 99:4 117:13 118:14	131:15 140:2 150:8 155:3 156:2 159:20
ended 23:10 endorse 145:18	121:15 124:7	163:19
148:18,23	125:24 130:15	Ewell-featured
Endorsed 150:21	138:12 141:14,18,	43:10
enemies 91:15	20 142:14 155:15	Ewell's 25:19 28:8,
energy 143:25	160:4 161:17	12,17 31:16 34:1
engage 78:6	events 5:19 71:23	39:23 44:4 62:22
engaging 78:4	139:13	72:14 90:6,16
enough 47:6 52:11	Eventually 18:18	91:11 94:17 101:1,
59:14 85:8 113:17	21:16 57:14 112:19	10 110:24 113:12
119:3 122:10	143:19	113:12 120:10
en-route 13:23 14:1,	ever 11:20,23 12:2	128:23 136:12
3,7,13	56:9,10 60:21	exact 44:13 162:19
enter 14:1,6,14	61:15 67:21,23,25	exactly 15:4 18:6
entered 41:18	68:2 78:7 82:22	19:8 23:7 30:13
<pre>entering 15:6 entire 50:9 78:17,18</pre>	83:15 84:6 89:4,6 114:20,23 116:8	44:5 48:18 54:20 95:2 113:6 158:17
116:7 147:11	117:8 120:21	exaggeration 149:18
entirely 27:17 64:19	127:23 129:17	examine 149:12
94:3	130:17 132:19,25	example 43:11 72:18
entirety 74:12	133:3,12 134:21	96:3
equaling 111:2	136:10 140:24	examples 49:17 70:4
equate 80:17	141:9,13,15 142:4	except 111:25 113:15
equity 9:13	every 11:9 20:18	exclude 126:15,17
erupted 132:20	45:25 74:11 86:14	127:23,25
escapes 137:2	105:22 106:25	excluded 163:24
especially 23:18	116:20 133:4	excuse 154:15
58:12 75:18,20	149:15,23 152:16	Exercise 70:18
78:14 89:9 94:18	everyone 29:12 32:8	exercised 91:2,23

Exhibit 9:1 14:24 15:3 22:9 22:9,13 35:14,21 36:1 36:1, 3,4,4,11,15 37:5 41:8 42:11 49:22 50:17 53:16,18,19, 22,23,23 66:21 73:15,18,19 87:7, 11,12 100:3,7 106:11,13,14 107:16,21 108:2	91:20 extend extende extent 92:19 137:9 146:5 externa extorti extreme
144:3 144:3 146:12, 14,15,16 147:5,6, 13,15 148:14 149:2 150:10,12 151:3,18 152:10 153:4 154:13,16 157:19, 20,22 161:11 exhibits 67:1 148:13 exist 110:11,15,16 119:18 existed 33:25 existence 20:17 109:22,23 exists 96:3 134:5 expanded 59:17 expanding 34:13,21 expect 120:12 160:19, 23 expected 60:12 74:8 74:8,10,11 163:17 expecting 63:15 expend 143:25 experience 68:22 69:6 78:4 112:16 118:3 126:5,9 130:22,23 140:5,13 143:11 experienced 16:15 137:24 expertise 118:3 explain 38:4 explained 161:17 162:24 163:4 exploring 72:20 exposed 134:10 160:13	fact 6: 52:18 116:7 131:1 143:1 25 16 factor facts 1 factual 153:1 factual facul 2 faculty 14 30 20,22 68:11 25 89 101:1 107:3 125:2 148:1 24 15 154:1 16 16 163:1 failure fair 30 fairly faith 1 3 fall 41 fallen false 1 156:8 fame 47
express 161:1 expressing 90:25	familia 120:1

91:20 136:11 143:9
extend 103:17
extended 86:20
extent 28:19 31:3
92:19 93:5,17
137:9,16 139:2,7
146:5 156:5,10
external 115:8
extortion 133:16,18
extreme 86:24

F

1 10:9 38:24 8 69:19 78:25 7 123:15 19 134:24 11,18 154:2,18, 61:12 163:19 133:9 135:7 160:10 L 134:7,22 14 **Lly** 155:4 29:10 **,** 17:5 29:2,11, 0:10,25 31:5, 2 32:5,11,25 1 84:4 88:13, 9:5 100:10 15 106:25 3,11 117:4 2 144:5,10 15 150:14,15, 51:24 152:6 16,17 157:14, 61:23,25 162:1 10 es 149:8 0:25 122:10 140:22 112:23 113:1,2, 1:7 124:17 86:19 135:4 154:10 8 7:13 **ar** 63:5 113:17 17

famous 49:11 49:11 52:6,16 far 11:1 69:20 97:11 107:7 133:6 153:18 153:18 162:17 far-flung 17:22 **fast** 95:21 fault 39:18 111:9 139:4 **favor** 73:5 **fax** 37:20 feared 138:22 139:1 feature 42:21 feedback 36:25 74:14, 17 80:4,9,10 121:10 142:20 **feel** 93:8,9 97:14 99:13 109:3 126:1 136:23 feeling 97:3 **feet** 23:14 Felix 131:4 fell 23:5 75:22 **fellow** 21:12 fellowship 21:13 21:13 **felt** 23:20 34:25 35:6 44:17 62:1,11 78:1 81:10,20 94:7 97:8,10,13,17,22 118:7 137:3,4 138:3,7,7,20 139:9 163:4,5 **fest** 47:1,2 festschrift 46:3,11 47:11,19 48:4,10, 24 49:4,13,14 50:20,23 58:13 66:3 71:2 72:10,11, 17 festschrifts 49:5 **few** 19:11 45:16 106:21 114:17 fictitious 23:21 fiddler 15:15 **field** 68:23 109:5 110:12 114:4 116:16 118:3 119:25 125:25 130:24 132:15,20

figuratively 105:15 filed 4:4 files 18:13 final 145:21 154:14 find 51:18 76:8 95:6 97:24 111:9,20 finds 112:8 fine 22:18 30:21 37:9 116:25 132:9 135:5 136:15 145:10 finish 11:17 71:6 finished 6:6 87:25 fired 31:12 88:24 firm 109:4 first 5:2,12,15 7:12 8:21 12:15 19:14	forgot 88:1 Form 32:7 47:23 48:1 49:13 68:20 70:3, 10 78:24 107:12 115:1 116:12 126:16,23 128:13 136:13 139:23 144:20 145:6 146:3 150:19,23 152:14 155:6,18,21 156:9 161:24 162:18 163:25 formal 72:13 75:14, 25 128:7 Forte 111:11 forth 128:11 136:8 148:13	18 104:21 113:10 117:17 119:13,25 121:7 123:1 126:17, 18 127:23 138:14 143:9 144:5 156:2 163:24 front 35:16 144:3 147:16,25 full 9:19 12:21 12:21,23 36:5,12 59:2 149:7 full-fledged 121:4 137:14 function 60:2,6,25 77:6,9 functionary 137:11 fundamentally 96:1
20:24 37:16 38:5 41:3 46:23 49:25	forthcoming 34:19,21 100:12	further 25:10 94:9 156:25 164:11
69:19 70:19 95:2	Foster 10:3	130.23 104.11
99:12 104:21 117:7	found 18:18 74:21	G
124:18 137:2 144:9	144:23	
154:15 159:14	founding 117:2	Gain 35:9
160:3 161:11	four 6:2 26:5 50:17	gained 23:20
fit 81:19	57:24 64:1	GAMuT 29:4 30:13,14
five 57:24 157:2	frame 124:16	gangster-like
flagship 114:13	Frank 39:3 111:13	139:21
flip 9:3	121:2 124:19 125:1	Gateway 8:8
flipping 111:18	132:24	gave 16:19 34:3
148:12 154:13	freely 149:6	139:14
floor 117:2	fresh 103:23	gears 83:24
flying 110:21	friend 84:5 122:25	general 111:16 112:1
focus 118:24 147:11,	from 6:23 7:2,4,5	158:9
23	8:17 12:19,20,22	generally 44:24
focused 108:5,10	13:11,12,13,23	47:16,17 64:20
focuses 122:5 152:15	15:19,21,23 17:1,6,	65:15 72:15 82:2
follow 66:15 124:13	6 23:18,19 25:3,13,	99:12 103:5 114:7,
followed 35:4 44:9	14,14 26:13 27:6,	9 123:10
following 72:6 follows 5:2	12 28:22,24,25	Geoffroy-Schwinden 33:2
follow-up 19:11 72:5	29:9 30:1,2,3,4,7 32:24 33:5 37:23	German 47:9
102:7 108:1,12	40:5 45:6 47:8	get 13:18,19,20 14:1,
112:2,10,13 122:19	48:16 51:7,13 56:5	2,7,23 17:10 25:13
132:15	63:15,18 69:6	33:5 36:22 39:14
foofaraw 23:13	73:11 75:21 76:5	52:16 58:17 60:7,8
force 40:3	79:6,24 82:17	65:22 69:6 71:5
forced 116:9	83:17,23 85:13	79:5 80:13 95:21
forestall 94:9	90:18 91:10,11,11,	105:15 107:4
forget 30:13 89:24	14 95:5,7,12 96:19	113:10 122:23
139:8 158:15	98:3 99:8 101:14,	123:17 125:19,20

144.2 151.01	17 21.10 22.14	140:12
144:3 151:21	17 21:10 23:14	140:12
158:15 164:14,18	27:12 37:1 51:12	half 21:13
gets 123:6 155:7	55:11 68:21 127:12	hand 99:4 127:1
getting 14:12 15:5	144:7 148:16	handle 17:8 140:6
27:25 36:24 66:24	gotten 86:22 99:12	handled 18:8,10 81:9
74:25 76:7 100:18	grad 108:22	112:17 126:10
100:18 102:21	graduate 29:5 30:15	handling 27:7
106:23 110:2	31:12 57:9,15,16,	hands 24:22 24:22
113:13 133:24	18 64:12 68:5,25	130:7
158:15	69:4 94:1 108:16	hang 36:6 39:13
give 11:3 20:19	144:13 148:5 153:7	61:10
26:11 29:22 45:2	graduated 54:15,19,	happen 16:10 78:2,3
52:17 59:13 67:4	20 57:17	81:21,22
77:17,18 87:1	graduates 51:8	happened 6:1 16:9,10
146:10	graduating 56:2 57:1	19:9 56:10 93:14 94:14 95:2 101:25
given 26:1 62:22	graduation 54:17	
63:7 127:4,17 giving 25:24 43:23	108:17 Graf 54:11,14 56:5,7,	123:9
72:13 85:8	21,24 57:8 62:18	happening 10:4 happy 69:9,24 155:20,
go-ahead 43:24	69:11,18 71:5	22 156:3
goes 144:22 145:9,13	74:16 79:19,20	hard 41:10 44:5
150:7 153:18	80:3 83:6,18 85:2	74:25 91:16
going 5:8 10:7,15,23	93:12 94:23 97:17	harkens 70:9
12:5 15:13 17:7	99:1 135:17,23	harsh 31:10
18:25 20:15 24:24	136:6 137:1,16,22	has 35:8 47:14 65:7
38:19 42:24,25	142:19 143:1,8,16,	71:25 79:2 85:14
44:11 46:22 56:3	20 153:25	86:11,21 106:18
57:9,16,18 58:24	Graf's 102:8 103:1	109:21,22 110:8
62:11,20 63:13	grand 139:18	113:22 116:8 116:8
67:4 85:23 86:12,	granted 142:14	125:14 129:3
13,24,25 89:15	great 5:8 92:6	133:12,15 134:20,
98:12 103:19	greater 163:9	23 135:1,10 143:16
103:19 104:8 106:4	grind 126:2	147:7 153:8 154:5
108:1,11 111:25	groomed 83:7	160:16 162:3
113:21 116:17,24	ground 5:13 117:1	hasn't 67:3 110:8
121:12 122:15	group 38:7 64:11,13,	118:6
125:7 140:19,20	24 65:6,16 118:5,6	hasten 35:23
142:3 146:13,20	groups 134:13	haven 145:8
147:5,10 148:2,12	guess 47:4 50:17	haven't 6:2,5 21:25
156:24 161:1	60:19 70:23 84:6,	102:14 111:6
gone 78:18	14 99:7 102:12	144:21 146:11
Good 5:5 11:1 30:19	102:12 103:16	having 5:2 17:24
32:16 43:16 47:6	108:18 143:22	41:21 44:5 84:16
52:22 59:14 68:18	guilty 94:20	100:20 160:5
85:1 96:13 100:20	guns 97:10	162:11
104:19 110:3	guts 90:19	hazy 71:23
118:25 119:4		head 11:2 16:25
122:25 127:20	H	89:23 100:22 143:4
130:3,11 140:17	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	hear 37:2 64:5 88:3,
Got 10:21 12:8 15:4,	hadn't 57:17 129:3	6 121:7

heard 25:3 64:4 89:4,	home 41:4	52:10 53:10,24
6 121:10 122:25	hope 91:8 104:8	54:3,19 56:16,17
129:13 141:10,17	Hopefully 90:19	60:22 60:22,24
159:15	hour 11:9 104:9	64:10,14,21 66:5
hearing 100:21 130:20	housed 105:2 How's 46:18	67:4 69:9 74:10 75:1 76:21 80:8
Heetderks 32:9,20	https 144:19	81:14 82:19 83:10
Heidelberger 121:2	hue 23:13	85:18 87:11 88:3,8
Heidlberger 123:23	huge 23:13 25:25	91:14 95:1 96:18
124:6,19 125:7	35:3,4	100:5,20 102:21
131:8,16 132:24	Huh 6:18 68:13	103:19 103:19
Heinrich 26:17 90:17	hurt 94:9	105:13,14,16 110:3
held 145:22 151:2,7	husband 10:6	111:20,25 112:25
152:7,13 157:25	hypocritical 33:16	113:6,21,25 115:21
158:6	91:8,17	116:18,23 117:19
help 16:14 20:11	hypocritically 62:3	119:18,19,21
40:14 52:17	_	120:15 121:12,22
helpful 8:18	I	122:8,15,23 125:8
Here 4:1 5:8 6:10		126:8 127:13
8:9 9:14 10:22,23	idea 16:25 39:22	130:15 132:6
12:8,15 14:18	40:13,21 41:15	136:17 139:14
37:10 41:8 42:8	45:3,4,6,9 61:23	140:19,20 141:9
52:12,17 54:10	62:14,15,17,18	141:9,14,18,23
86:9 91:14 93:20	63:8,10 64:21	142:3,10 144:21
105:15 108:8	77:11 79:4 99:2	146:12,20 147:5,10
111:24 144:7	137:7 140:17 142:6	151:11,18,18,21
146:19 147:21	ideas 45:2,5	156:24 157:16
148:16 152:24	identified 15:3 70:12 132:12	158:17,23 161:1 162:6 164:5
Here's 100:23 he's 10:9 22:2,4	134:21	imagine 133:21 156:2
66:20 101:23	identifies 150:13	imbalance 138:1,3
129:25 131:9	identify 22:2 149:2	immediately 23:12
hiatus 15:17	I'll 14:22 35:21	implement 81:3
high 64:16 117:19	36:16 46:17 46:17	implies 90:18
higher 79:2	49:21 52:10 53:16	important 35:6 62:4
high-level 64:12	87:15 103:4,11	impression 85:20
himself 24:12 51:14	157:11 162:5 165:3	124:12 139:14
70:25 96:19,21	ill-founded 92:4	Incidentally 130:13
119:22 137:10	Illinois 15:22	inclined 136:23
hired 18:1	illogical 93:4	<pre>include 58:9 100:13</pre>
history 13:10,16	I'm 4:19 5:8 7:3,7	included 17:19
24:9 28:4 29:3,11	8:5 10:6 11:3 12:5	includes 10:3
30:15 30:15 31:22	15:6,23 18:14	including 26:14
122:5 144:11 148:6	21:22 23:10,25	inclusion 9:13
hoc 9:4 23:17 82:4,	24:13 27:15,17	incorporate 78:16
13,17 89:17,18	29:19 30:22 32:16	incorporated 144:18
146:17 147:6,14,19	34:5 35:18,20,23	incorporates 150:17
hold 13:10 14:21	36:2,17,24 38:11,	152:2
149:15,23	12,19 43:9 44:4	Indeed 119:1
holds 122:12	46:6,23 51:18	Indian 75:17

Indonesia 75:16 infected 101:3 influential 120:11 131:4 information 37:18 102:24 informed 47:17 inhibit 5:22,24 initiate 44:24 input 64:14 inside 28:23,25 30:1, insignia 7:17 inspection 79:6 instance 41:12 42:20 56:7 132:22 134:18 140:22 instances 160:9 **instead** 10:25 institution 107:10, instrumental 138:21 **intended** 126:15 interact 68:22,23 151:23 interested 45:7 47:20 117:12 interesting 41:6 internal 115:8 126:14 **internet** 144:19 interpretation 78:15,18 interrupt 22:21 112:25 125:12 intersections 43:20 72:20 interview 89:22 90:3, interviewed 17:8 89:18 interviews 17:8 into 19:1 42:19 70:3 92:17 94:5 102:22 110:2 113:11 146:1 147:5 149:1 163:9 introduced 147:4 149:1 introduction 93:20, 24

Introspective 70:18 invitation 8:7 106:14,16 128:10 **invite** 42:24,25 127:2 128:6 140:2 159:20 invited 92:17 92:17, 19,24 93:6 107:3 155:10,12 156:16 159:4 163:19 164:7 inviting 45:2 involved 16:4,13,18 22:24,25 24:13,16, 17 40:12 41:11,13, 14,17,20,23 42:17, 24 43:2,13,19,23 44:1 55:5,10,10,16 79:10,12 84:8,12, 14 89:10 98:19 113:13 153:25 involvement 23:11 154:2 involving 90:17 135:12 Isn't 57:13 98:5 155:14 **Issue** 19:17 20:15 23:4 31:14 43:18, 20 45:4 56:15 57:25 59:2 63:2 72:20 84:9 86:6,7, 14 94:15 94:15 100:12 111:16 112:7 127:6 129:15 134:6 136:5 138:1 140:20 142:7 149:5 158:2 issues 41:20 112:14 124:7 **item** 102:7 items 124:5 128:21 155:11 159:21 163:20 164:8 it's 9:14 10:4 11:4 13:21 14:1,5,13,18 21:20 21:20 22:3, 15,17 24:25 25:12 30:14 35:1,2 37:16 41:10 42:7 44:10,

47:1,3,9,12 48:18 49:16,17 50:17,18, 23,23 52:3,22 54:1 57:20,21 60:10 62:8,13,24 64:11, 25 73:7 75:5 76:14 78:21,25,25 79:4,5 80:24,25 82:1,18 85:12,15 86:12,13 87:17,21 88:17 90:16,24 98:12,23 103:13 103:13,21 104:7 106:15 108:14,18 111:24 114:7,8,9,10 115:12,14,21 116:23 119:16 122:8 124:9 124:9 124:9 130:6 131:2 134:4,19,24,25 136:19 142:12 144:4 147:8,17,17, 22 149:4 153:6,18 158:9 160:5,23 161:2 161:2 162:8 163:9 its 20:17 91:14 118:23 121:4,17 134:7 149:8 150:7 itself 111:17 121:3 122:13 133:7 I've 12:3 50:17 60:3 71:24 82:17 84:3,6 104:22 106:12 110:23 115:3 119:9 119:9 129:13 135:23 140:19 144:7,25 147:4 148:16

J

Jackson 4:3,16 10:7, 11 16:13,25 19:3 20:12 21:22 23:19, 22 24:20 25:4,14, 15 26:14 27:10,12 28:18 29:7 31:2,4, 6,18 33:21 39:21 40:3,16 41:22

13 45:21 46:25

44:17 51:3,12 52:14 55:19 59:8 60:23 61:14 62:16 67:21 68:12,15 69:10 70:24 71:25 77:10,16 79:17,25 80:14 81:19 82:5 84:23 88:21,23 89:2,6 93:9,17 94:6,14 95:19,23 96:22 97:4,5 98:25 99:10 102:10,15 103:5 104:20 108:6 109:13 110:18 119:6 131:6 133:8, 11,15 134:9 135:1 136:6,25 138:4,11, 15,20,20,22 139:6, 10,20 140:1,15,18, 24 141:10 142:5,20 143:2,3,19 146:4,5 147:13 150:2,14,21 151:17 152:15,17 153:9,16 159:24 160:16 Jackson's 17:19 29:14 44:15 74:17 76:11 80:4 110:13 120:1 135:7 138:8 143:9,13 158:11 jargon 162:14 Jennifer 18:9 19:12 43:22 69:20	38:11,18 40:10,14, 17,21 41:14,19,21 42:4,8,9,10,13 43:4,10,13 44:1,2, 11 45:12,20,21,22, 24,25 49:14 50:3,4, 13 52:17 53:11 55:3,6,11 56:2,12 57:3 58:17,25 61:25 62:8,10 63:1, 2 64:24 65:16,20, 24,25 68:8 70:4,13 79:2,5 80:21,23 81:17 82:24 83:23 85:22 86:11 89:10 92:7 93:8 95:1,5,7, 14,16 96:3 99:1,2, 10,21,22 101:5,9 105:1,19 106:1 108:5 109:17,25 110:5,10 111:5 112:6,14 113:19 114:11,22 115:12, 17,22 116:5,8,10, 20 117:2,8,11,11 118:4,18,19 119:2, 11 120:2,3,17,21 121:14 122:13 123:18 124:18 125:15 126:3,14,19 128:2,19,22 129:2, 3,7,14,18 130:14, 16,18,23,25 131:1	138:15 162:16 jump 120:12 juries 12:3 just 5:15 7:6 8:16, 21,24 10:24 11:1,4, 10 12:6,14 13:18 19:6 20:11 22:10, 12,16 24:12,17 29:22 30:22 32:18 36:17,22 37:1,7 38:6 39:17 42:1 44:11 45:17 49:19 52:3 56:20 60:16 67:1,14 68:18,24 74:5 76:14 77:10, 13 79:4 81:17 84:4 85:11,20 92:16 96:2 99:24 101:14 102:6 103:22 105:14 110:4,14 111:16,18 113:21 121:12 122:15 125:7,12 130:2 132:9 137:24 140:11,17,21 142:3 144:8 146:7,8,9 147:5,24 148:15 149:1,22 154:14 157:1 161:10 162:5 163:6 164:17 165:3 justified 94:11 justify 20:17
Jim 24:14	132:13,17,21 133:1	K
job 16:12,19 17:4,10 41:18 81:9 83:19	135:18,21 138:17, 24 139:15 142:13	keep 14:22
137:25 142:12	144:14 149:9 153:8,	keeping 18:12 18:12
jobs 15:25	15,24 154:4 155:13,	kept 15:16
John 27:14,18	17,20,22 159:20	kerfuffle 28:21
<pre>joined 15:24 16:2,7 41:2 117:3</pre>	160:20 162:12 163:24	114:22 124:18,23 126:20 132:20
jointly 20:21	journals 23:8 26:10	134:21
Journal 5:9,12 9:17	45:13 49:4,8 55:16,	key 55:18 134:4
17:19 18:2,7,9,9,	21 66:9 81:2 85:25	kind 18:5,22 44:18
11,14,24 19:1,15	114:13 116:15	45:10 49:2,18
20:16 21:5,6,20	118:15 119:10	58:13 61:8 62:3,19
22:6,24 23:4,5,6	124:3 132:4	63:11 64:19,22
24:20 26:11 27:7	July 109:25 110:5	65:1,23 68:20
28:2 29:6 33:22 34:14 35:15 37:19	117:6 121:16 124:16 132:20	69:22 70:8 82:5 94:5 102:20 107:17
24.14 22.13 21.13	174.10 137.70	94·3 102·20 101·11

55:2 90:4 95:19 110:11 116:13 119:23 129:17 134:8,20 135:15 141:1 known 25:5 68:24 95:20 104:22 114:9, 18 117:7,14 123:10, 14 127:15 135:23 knows 10:7 23:22 90:24 kowtow 138:13

т.

labeled 9:1 lack 109:18 133:6 154:20 language 90:12 laptop 37:4 **large** 106:2 107:17 139:6 largely 52:5 **larger** 138:23 139:1, **last** 5:6 6:7 9:10 23:4 110:7 139:25 146:14 149:22 150:1,9 151:3,5,10, 12,14,16 152:5 154:14 158:25 159:2,13 161:10 162:25 **later** 41:7 **Laufer** 43:18 45:3,23 46:2 48:10,23 57:25 66:3 71:2 laugh 54:1 laughing 53:24 54:3 **Laura** 4:3 **law** 162:7 lawsuit 35:9 **lead** 44:18,22 **leads** 18:5 **lead-up** 135:20 **learn** 56:4 learning 137:25 learn-on-the-job 79:23 **least** 35:7 40:10

42:10 49:13 67:10 112:20 118:2 129:13 **lecture** 42:24 43:1,4 **lecturer** 12:18 13:6 16:2,10 **led** 26:16 126:12 **left** 84:5 95:3 98:4 **legal** 162:6 **less** 62:17 142:4 **lesser** 146:5 **let** 11:8,10 14:22 16:3 42:1 73:23 80:25 81:1 87:24 107:14 110:4 112:1 123:14 124:12,13, 14 127:8,22 140:17, 21,22 146:9 158:16 **let's** 14:17 15:10 19:21 21:21 36:1 47:4 67:9 96:2 108:15 145:17 148:20 **letter** 33:11 79:21 89:1,5 112:7 126:3 140:7 144:5,13,17, 23,25 145:1,4,15, 19,25 146:8 147:18 148:15,19,25 150:16,21,24,24 **letters** 107:17 letting 66:14 **level** 64:16 **Levi** 54:21,23 56:3,8 57:5 69:7,11,14,17, 21 73:3 73:3 79:19, 20,22 83:7 85:2 93:13,16,16 96:16, 21 97:6 97:6,7,8, 21 135:17,20 136:1, 17 137:8,22,24 139:14 140:11,15, 17 141:10,20 142:5 153:25 154:7 **like** 13:21 14:23 20:18,21 28:14 28:14 31:12 40:2 49:8,11 54:7 62:8, 20 64:12 65:17 68:18 75:15 76:1

82:19 85:11 86:11 90:18 92:22 97:3 98:25 99:24 103:13 104:3 106:1 116:10 120:4 120:4 124:2 126:25 128:4,8,9, 15,15 139:9 141:17 153:6 154:4 155:12 162:8 likely 49:12 line 34:12	65:7 68:21 74:17, 22,23 75:2,8,9 76:10,15,15 81:18, 24 91:7 92:3 94:1 101:11,20 109:8 115:7 115:7 117:19 160:6 161:22 lots 49:5 luckily 66:13 lucky 80:22	marked 22:3 36:15 87:7,11 106:11,12 146:15 marking 35:20 Mary 4:11 66:19 87:18 100:21 150:13 156:24 161:18 mass 154:4 master's 13:12,17,23, 23 14:1,2,3,8,10,
link 144:19,21,22,23	М	13 15:9,13
145:3,8 151:21		masthead 83:9,12,13
152:3	made 18:24 24:7	material 88:18
list 17:9 37:16,17	33:20 58:19 59:16	matter 6:25 7:15
101:20 101:20	88:13 94:6 135:11	42:21 76:4 81:17
105:18	142:16 158:10	140:17
listed 37:25 40:1	161:3	matters 5:12
52:12 54:10	magazines 70:8	may 39:5 47:18 54:19
listen 10:15 listening 10:9	mailing 55:16 main 24:10 35:2 40:4	56:16 57:17 59:1 61:19,20 62:18
litigation 147:8	43:13 44:20 91:15	68:9 71:22 78:15
little 71:12 83:24	95:24	79:9 80:3,8 122:1
85:6 88:25 91:16	mainly 25:5,6,14	129:11
93:20 102:21	31:4 38:7 43:22	maybe 24:11 45:4,7
103:17 148:12	44:1 51:11 75:19	47:5 64:3,18 66:25
long 12:9 13:3 22:23	85:12 136:5	90:25 95:7 97:19
34:1 53:1 56:21	major 19:25 35:2	99:3 103:17 112:1
71:1,4 85:19,21	63:20	120:15 162:13
86:15,17 89:24	majority 29:1 31:20	McGill 84:5
104:6 111:7 135:24	make 11:4 17:9 24:1	mean 10:4,5 20:13
longer 25:12 27:7	40:14 58:20,23	24:17 25:8,17
29:15 57:1,15 74:7	59:3,8 77:9,10	26:23 31:7 35:1
80:25 95:16	78:12 80:23,24	40:4,19 42:18
look 6:5 13:22 28:16	122:11 129:18	44:11 45:5,20
36:17 37:13 46:23	153:7 162:6	47:10 59:10 59:10
47:7 53:16 58:20	makes 82:3	62:13,19 64:21
62:20 73:10 74:10	making 11:15 31:14	70:6 72:8 73:18
87:15 94:13 127:20	41:22 44:9 80:14,	79:20 80:12 82:7,
145:17 146:14	17 81:18 144:1	16 83:3,5 84:17
157:11	many 7:9 32:11,15	85:23 88:16 95:12,
looked 63:18 71:12	52:16 57:23 58:3	20 96:9,14 97:12
74:4 82:17 92:1,2 100:3	66:11 67:6 70:13 73:1 78:17 84:19	99:1,7,8,12,16,21
looking 14:23 73:11	108:12 117:12,14	101:1 108:8 109:11 112:22,25 118:10
85:12 99:9	118:16 123:11	123:25 127:6 127:6
looks 103:13	130:11	128:14 130:4 131:9
lost 60:19	mark 22:8,9,13 36:1	135:23 137:14
lot 28:5,17 29:10	39:5 73:13,14	139:17 141:3,19
57:21 57:21 60:15	146:13	142:11,15 144:25
		,

151:10 152:22	153:24	77:2 78:22 82:3
154:4 155:8,19,24	Mike 35:22 36:20	116:15 119:13
157:13 159:18	mild 47:17,25	136:24 143:21,24
160:23 161:15	mind 6:13 8:1,12	motive 40:3
162:4 163:10	12:14 66:14 103:23	mouth 136:18,20
means 7:15 59:20	130:1,12	move 88:5
141:14 142:10,15	minds 63:10,17	moved 12:16
156:20 159:7	mine 35:11 122:9,25	mover 152:24
meant 60:25 82:9	mini 49:14	much 6:2 17:18 18:20
98:17 113:6 151:18	minute 127:21 147:17	21:25 34:25 38:8,9,
156:20 158:17	161:25	21 41:23 45:6 52:8,
159:7,17,19,22	minutes 103:17,19	20 60:25 71:24
161:22 162:25	157:2	74:7 78:3 94:18
163:5	mischaracterize	96:20,21 97:10
medication 5:21	105:14	102:19 103:6
meeting 25:20 35:2	missed 126:1	121:23 122:3,6
meetings 20:18	mix 48:13	125:11 138:4
member 41:9 42:13	mixed 28:17	143:21 163:21
members 89:5 144:5,	mixture 48:18	music 8:7 9:12 12:11
10	model 57:3 57:3 68:4,	13:16,17,20,20,21
memory 5:22,25 71:23	6,7,10,14,17,19	19:24 21:14 24:6,9,
mention 60:3 89:9	83:17	24 25:10,20 26:13,
102:19	moment 35:13 38:20	18,19 28:3,4,13,15,
mentioned 10:6 82:19	87:21 109:22	19 29:2,3,10,11
89:3 92:16 97:25	147:15	30:14,15 31:22
102:7 105:23	momentarily 35:21	34:2,4,14,14 35:3
111:10 125:17	87:22	49:12 62:4 68:8,9
152:18,25	monetary 69:5	75:14,16,16,17,18,
mentions 88:23	month 63:14,16	19,20,25 76:3,5,8,
mentor 51:5,14,15	months 86:20	8,9 96:25 101:21
mentored 51:6	more 6:5 11:10 13:9	108:13,24 112:3
met 84:3	25:15 35:6 38:19	113:20 114:4,12
methodologies	41:20,23 43:12	115:13,14,15,17
118:11,16	44:13 49:19 50:25	116:2,6,15 117:7
methodology 62:5	51:1,16 55:12	118:12,14 120:4
mic 100:17	57:24 62:17 63:14	122:5 131:13,21
Michael 4:13 104:20	68:9 69:20 71:13	132:3,14 134:11
158:13,14,19	72:12,13 76:3 79:2,	138:23 144:11
microphone 88:4	24 80:12 90:20	148:6 149:13
middle 144:19 midst 114:21	91:1,21 92:6 93:10	160:14 musician 15:14
might 7:1 9:18 24:14,	97:11 103:18 120:12 123:7 129:6	
15 25:8 34:17,24	136:23 137:10	musicologists 28:13 80:11
36:9 45:9,24 46:20	138:4 141:24 142:4,	musicology 75:18
47:25 47:25 58:11	17 146:5 158:10	76:1,4,6
62:4 63:11 75:17,	161:10	musics 75:15,20,20
21 78:18 79:20	morning 5:5	must 121:20 145:22
86:6 93:21 97:9	most 18:25 45:13,16,	151:2,6 152:7
104:6 120:11 131:5	16 52:18,19 55:3,	157:25 158:6
134:19 138:19	21 58:8 67:8 76:3	myself 26:14 31:3

40:7 135:12 146:5 153:20 **numbers** 8:17 32:16 **nice** 73:21 37:19,20 night 6:7 Ν **nod** 11:1,6 0 nodding 10:25 naively 95:6 name 5:6 18:10 19:6 **noise** 158:22 **oath** 5:17 51:18 62:13 88:23 **none** 75:15 129:1 **object** 33:10 132:19 89:3,24 104:19 **nonwestern** 75:14,15 **objected** 132:23 115:24 133:11,14 76:8,9 **Objection** 6:16 32:7 137:2 150:22 **nor** 107:25 51:25 52:2 71:16 152:18 152:18,25 normal 85:21 120:7 77:22 82:10,15 83:2 84:15,16,18 132:15 158:12 96:4 107:12 112:8 **nature** 47:17 111:4, **normally** 85:22 85:22 115:1 116:12 15 126:4 141:7,18 86:16 126:16,23 128:13 **nay** 30:20 **North** 12:10 50:8 necessarily 45:19 75:16 106:6 117:3 136:13 139:23 144:20 145:6 146:3 116:17 117:13 120:18 144:10 162:11 **note** 22:16 150:19,23 152:14 **necessary** 76:19 78:2 **notes** 7:2 7:2,4,5,20 155:6,18,21 156:9 24:2 82:16 90:7,8 160:2 161:24 81:10 **need** 11:8,10 53:1 nothing 23:20 26:10 162:18 163:25 61:10,11 91:12 63:22 83:20 95:3 obligated 5:18 101:22 116:1 98:4 99:7,16 observations 139:12 125:19,20 142:17 notification 106:24 observing 130:24 152:13 164:14,18 **November** 62:25 63:4 **obvious** 71:25 98:23 106:15 113:19 163:5,17,17 165:3 **needed** 57:4 58:19 146:17 147:9 obviously 62:19 59:16,17 81:20 **now** 8:17 14:20 17:11 104:25 151:23 22:13 29:13 36:24 82:25 occasions 78:23 39:21 43:3 51:18 needs 55:12 103:12 occur 34:7 **negative** 48:23 94:9 54:6 55:22,23 occurred 20:5 34:9 117:17 56:14 60:7 66:5,23 **off** 52:24 53:2 66:25 neither 122:24 86:8 87:11 88:6 67:13,15 87:4 neo-Riemannian 89:14 99:22 104:22 91:24 99:4 102:1,3 43:21 66:4 72:21 106:12 109:17 104:12 146:22,24 **net** 152:17 110:2 126:8 129:1 152:23 157:4 Never 8:1 18:23 55:1 130:16 136:16 158:25 164:15,19 165:9,10 60:17 61:8,13 68:4, 147:21 148:12 offer 143:12 11 89:8 89:8 152:22 158:14 122:25 124:7 159:15,16,16 officially 33:24 139:24 141:17 **nudge** 86:21 40:1 44:16 142:7 154:3 number 8:13,20,25 often 11:10 23:8 New 13:14,25 51:8 36:10 38:24 49:9, 55:5 78:8 126:2 97:24 23 67:5 78:22 94:4 Ohio 15:23 old 79:4 126:8 newspaper 162:12 106:18 124:4 newspapers 70:7 129:12,13 131:22 old-fashioned 64:18 **next** 8:16,19 9:3 149:22 once 8:23 11:19 15:18 17:7 18:5 numbered 149:4 65:20 56:3 61:25 83:7 152:19 one 6:11 7:18 8:2,5, 86:6 112:7 149:9 numbering 67:1 13,19,23,23 9:1,10

11:10,15 12:19 14:5 16:12,17 18:1 26:4 29:14 31:15 33:2,15 34:5 40:22 47:13 50:25 51:16 53:18,19 55:2 56:14 58:20 59:21 60:2 62:20 64:2,3 65:9 66:4 67:9 70:1,15,16 71:4,9 75:5,8 77:23 81:13 82:2 88:25 93:18 95:9 96:10 97:22 99:17 102:7,10,17 107:5,7 110:7,8 114:12 118:10,23 122:6 131:9,15,19, 22 138:5 140:22 147:11 154:14 159:13 161:10 ones 6:10 7:5,7 8:24 17:9,10 49:10 66:7 75:10 109:6 116:17 119:21
one-word 65:6
Online 34:3,15 94:5
96:25 101:19
115:16,19,22,25
116:2,3 119:9,10
120:4 149:6
only 6:7 32:22 33:24
55:23,24 65:20
66:6 75:10 78:1
91:14 92:19 118:19,
23 119:21 137:8 144:4 147:22
152:17 155:14
165:4
onto 30:20 36:6
opinion 59:14 161:2
opinions 28:9,12
93:23 120:1
opportunity 26:1,11
127:4,17 128:12
154:19 155:1,5,15
156:6,19 159:6
161:12
opposed 68:24
oppressors 92:2
order 142:5

```
ordered 140:24
organization 29:5
  30:13 107:20,24
organize 132:16
organized 117:9
organizing 132:25
oriented 76:4
other 10:12 11:15,18
  15:25 18:13 20:23
  25:7,21 33:19
  39:17 43:12 61:12
  66:9 72:17 80:9
  81:13 101:7,14
  106:7 118:14,16
  119:16,24 120:4
  127:1 127:1 128:4
  130:14 132:3
  134:13 138:9
  143:22 156:14
others 49:4 71:10
otherwise 12:2
  155:19
ouster 29:9
out 24:22 34:15,16
  35:7 38:24 41:3,6
  55:16 58:1,14
  59:12,20 61:18
  63:1,2 64:19 65:20
  65:20,22 70:12
  73:4 77:5,14 95:21
  110:5 116:9 121:2,
  11,14,16,18 122:13,
  23 124:5 125:18,20,
  20 127:14 129:17
  130:9 136:11
  139:15,25 140:10
  143:21 146:1 150:7
  163:9
outcry 114:5
outlet 118:20
outlined 145:19
  148:18
outraged 28:8,11
outrageous 91:7 92:4
outset 83:23
outside 28:22,24
  30:1,5,7 35:11
  75:20,21
outspoken 125:6
over 4:20 5:13 6:6
```

```
11:18 18:21 20:6,9
39:16 58:20 61:11
77:15,17 82:5,7,7,
11,17 99:9 104:2
108:24 114:22
124:18 125:8,14
132:21

overblown 149:18
over-talking 61:12
own 9:16 31:15 75:9
78:5 80:16 92:22
93:2 96:17,18
111:5 121:4 135:1
```

\mathbf{P}

```
p.m 87:9 104:14,16
  146:25 147:1 147:1,
  3 157:5,6,6,8
  165:11,12
page 7:17 8:16,20,21
  9:3 37:16 49:22
  66:15 70:5 105:15
  107:15 144:19
  147:13
pages 50:16,17
  111:19 121:18
  126:19 144:4
paid 20:24 21:9,13
  60:8 117:22
pain 90:18
panel 9:4 82:4,13,17
  89:17,19,23 146:17
  147:6,14,19
paper 14:4 34:7 93:3
papers 73:3 84:9
  85:4 92:23,24
  93:19 105:20
  125:18,19,20
  126:12 127:12
  128:11 155:24
  156:14
paragraph 49:25
  145:17,22 148:17,
  21 151:4,15 152:6
  153:3 154:15 158:8,
  16 159:14 161:11
parallel 116:21
parameters 16:23
part 16:7 25:19
```

33:17 35:14,17 38:1 40:14 41:18 45:21 77:2 78:22 82:6 83:22 95:14, 15 98:1 104:21 106:2 110:18,19 111:4,15 124:23 147:17 149:1 158:21 160:3 participate 92:12 participating 126:11 particular 29:7 56:18 96:23 particularly 25:22 125:6 150:3 159:24 parties 145:22 151:2, 6 152:7,12 157:25 158:6 partly 38:11 56:2,25 103:8 110:22 party 162:8,9 pass 58:16,18 103:4,	122:13 123:5,6,18, 21 124:3,20 131:25 pen 73:13 pencil 73:12 people 26:5 28:13,14, 14 29:13 30:20 32:9 38:13,17 43:16 45:2,7,17 48:16 51:7 52:12 55:18 60:4,16 64:13,16 70:9 72:13 80:1 85:7,25 102:19 108:19 114:17 117:12,20 118:1 120:12 123:2, 11 128:17 134:4,13 142:13 160:10 People's 72:12 per 59:22 76:6 111:2 perceived 125:20 percentage 124:8 perception 97:7,20 perfectly 37:9 132:9 Perhaps 28:7 51:1	<pre>petitions 30:10 31:1 Ph.D 4:2 PhD 5:1 14:7,9,11,13 15:9,10 58:6 phenomena 134:5 phenomenon 135:8 Phil 164:16 Philip 25:18 28:8,12 31:15 41:15 42:20 43:10 45:20 62:2 83:25 101:10 111:10 112:19 113:22 114:20,23 119:1,5,13,22 121:17 122:16,24 125:17 126:15 127:23 128:23 129:6,14 131:15 136:12 140:2 150:8 156:2 phone 37:19 phonetic 101:3 phrase 124:15 142:3 physical 22:15</pre>
11 156:24 161:6 passed 59:7	57:24 period 83:3	physically 70:9 pick 17:10 43:15
passive 124:10	periods 26:7	43:15
past 150:2	permit 80:23	piece 78:16
Pat 4:14	person 24:10 47:15	pile 6:11 7:6
Patrick 4:17,19	49:15 55:18,20	place 5:20 23:8
pause 67:17 101:22	91:15 95:24 105:6	64:19
pay 121:23	136:18 149:15,23	placed 70:10
Pedagogy 70:18	152:16	places 68:9
peer 59:23 71:21	personal 31:14 49:2	plaintiff 4:15
72:7,16 77:13,19,	72:12,14 100:13	planned 113:4
24 78:4,11,12,15,	personally 35:11	plausible 63:6
24 80:18 81:6 86:3,	66:8 83:25 113:13	played 19:25
15,17,18,19,21,22	156:17 159:4	player 15:16
114:5,25 115:6	person's 152:18	playing 15:15
122:18,21,22 124:5	persuasion 80:15	plea 80:23
133:5,7	Pete's 62:13	<pre>please 4:7,9,23 29:21 46:15 49:22</pre>
<pre>peer-review 154:21 peer-reviewed 48:24</pre>	<pre>petition 29:1,4,9 30:17,18,21 31:1,5,</pre>	100:7,16 157:2
49:1 50:4,11,14,18,	12 33:1 100:10	158:21
21 64:20 65:11,14,	101:15 101:15	plenary 25:19 26:2,4
18 66:1,6,12 67:7,	125:2 145:5,15	63:25 63:25 113:18,
9 71:15 72:3,10,22	147:18,22 148:9	23 128:23 156:15
79:2 92:14 113:23,	151:24,25 152:6,11	plenty 27:25
24 114:8,10,14	153:1,4 154:16,17	plus 76:1
115:9,10 120:23	161:23 163:8,10	pockets 160:7
,		

	3:22 19:2 25:1 86:13 25:2,11 108:15 135:12
pointed 1 pointing points 8: pole 95:1	139:3 3 .0
policies 90:1	•
22 43:8	2:16,17,19, 8,9 44:3,6,7, 2:6,8 80:22
poor 99:2 pop 75:17 popular 1	20:12
55:1 58 98:17 1	54:25 54:25 3:5 97:11 39:19
positive possibili 96:15 possible	
119:16 possibly 138:21	69:2,10
post 26:1 postponem posts 30: posturing potential Poundie 3	ent 6:7 6 30:6 130:2,4
3 142:2 powerful	1:16,24 138:1 138:1, 25 143:5,6 138:4
practice 75:24 1 125:13 practices praise 15	140:11 99:24

precisely 25:15 predict 104:7 **prefer** 36:23 preferred 14:5 preliminary 5:13 present 12:22 64:2 64:2 150:3 preserve 99:2 president 107:19 press 18:21 20:5,9 55:8 65:21 85:13 117:14 140:20 **prestige** 38:11 52:5 60:16 prestigious 79:1 114:11 123:7 presuppose 64:15 pretty 17:18 21:22 49:17 143:21 prevent 99:8 138:14 143:8 preventing 98:2 119:24 120:8 previous 69:18 97:12, 13 136:22 137:13 primarily 77:24 153:9 **prime** 60:5 152:24 print 9:19 22:11 36:7 63:13 116:5 119:8,12 154:19 155:2,5 156:7,19 159:6 161:13 printed 128:8 private 102:20 privately 129:10 Privileged 6:15,16, 20 8:4 102:23 **privy** 16:23 17:11 **probably** 11:9 17:1 19:21 23:3 24:10, 12,13 27:24 39:4 41:2,20 46:4,23 47:3 52:11 62:17, 25,25 63:1,14 69:1, 10 92:10 92:10,13 93:8 98:7,11 103:2 104:21 105:24 107:4,5 121:11 124:4 131:17

143:22 **problem** 22:22 32:17 128:14 problematic 138:1,5, problematical 74:18, 19,21 156:11 procedure 45:17 procedures 59:18 **process** 35:24 59:23 70:17 77:14,19 78:5,6 80:18 81:6 86:4,16 92:17 125:25 126:12 149:8 154:21 **produced** 6:25 7:15 19:18 producing 17:20 professional 35:3 68:22 professionals 68:23 professor 12:9,12,19, 20,21,22,24 13:4 16:11 23:1 103:21 104:19 106:19 138:15 139:21 146:14 professors 138:24 **profile** 117:19 program 14:5,10,13 15:6 70:5,6 programs 27:22 project 6:6 17:19 40:5 43:22 44:19 45:6 96:1 143:5 prominent 38:7,15,16 116:15 promulgating 26:19 **prone** 137:10 **pronounce** 5:6 46:4 proper 10:17 protest 33:17 88:13, 14,15,16 protesting 88:17 **proven** 120:11 **provide** 38:9 74:14 149:7 159:20 provided 74:17 80:3, providing 142:20

143:20 proving 111:1 provisionally 55:13 provocative 62:2 63:20 public 99:8 117:7 149:6,7 publication 85:13 109:24 113:23 135:20 136:11	<pre>put 20:20 29:1 86:5 87:18 93:5 97:23 108:2 127:22 131:12,16 136:5,17, 19 139:8 146:7 163:16 164:1 putting 17:20 36:17 41:15,21 64:13 70:3</pre>	119:16 130:10 132:18 135:16 quote 141:5 150:14, 20 quote-unquote 18:17 R rac 33:21 Rachel 35:9
138:17 140:2 149:8,	Q	racial 100:13
16,24		racism 26:18,21
publications 132:17	qualified 64:17	88:19 94:19 99:22
publicity 26:22	quality 136:12	100:2,25 101:3,12,
27:24,25 35:4	quarter 108:24	14 110:21 111:2
108:5,6,10	Queens 13:12,24	133:10,24
publicly 114:23	question 11:17 18:6	racist 26:17,19 29:8
149:5	29:18 44:25 49:18	31:18 31:18 33:22
publish 34:23 61:23	50:25 51:16 52:9,	89:2,7 101:2,6,8,
70:20 71:13 81:1,3	11 56:17 60:19	10,11 110:25 111:1
95:16 96:6 118:15	61:1,2 85:1 91:19	132:25 133:3,8,12
128:17 155:16,20, 22 156:4	100:16,24 107:23	134:3,17 150:3
published 23:11,13	108:2,12,18 112:2 122:19 123:23,25	racists 92:2 130:6 radioactive 95:10
34:8,16,18,23 39:9	124:14,24 127:20	99:20,25
49:4 50:4 54:13	132:11,15 133:25	rank 12:12 12:12,23
58:10,17 59:4,15	142:4 148:1,25	18:15
65:3,25 66:8,11	150:9 154:14	ranks 12:16
67:7 70:13 70:13,	158:25 159:2,17	rather 33:16 64:12
18,24 71:1 74:9	161:10,21	68:23 98:18 112:9
79:5,8 82:23 84:25	questionable 59:17	121:3 123:13
86:13 92:9 112:10,	question-and-answer	143:12
19 113:18 114:5,24	26:6	reach 129:17
115:5 118:16 119:1	questioning 30:22	reacted 26:23,24
120:2,18,21 121:17	questions 5:9 6:14	reacting 96:14
122:17,21,22 123:6	7:18 8:2,11 12:6	reaction 25:11 90:6,
125:14 127:9 128:2	19:11 20:14 26:3	7,14,16,22 91:6,25
129:2,3,8,16	49:19 51:1 54:7	92:5 94:9 114:19
131:19 132:1	89:13,25 106:21	read 29:21 50:9
134:22 139:16	112:1 147:10	73:24 74:3,5,8,11,
161:23 162:1,4,8,	150:11 156:25	12 77:15,16,24
11	164:12	79:9 92:8 97:18
publishing 31:13	quick 42:1 113:15	100:15 102:11
34:6,7 82:22 91:2	quickly 125:21	111:6 113:7,11,15,
121:24 126:18	quiet 100:18 100:18	16 115:3 121:25
127:24 pull 37:8	101:23 Quimby 4:11 150:13	122:2,3,11 129:23 130:10 144:15,25,
purpose 132:10	161:18	25 147:19,24
purposely 112:23	quite 31:19 76:10	148:17 149:22
purposes 52:5	110:14 115:7	153:12 154:23
 Par Pobob	110-11 110-1	100-12 101-20

158:20,24 164:21, 22
Reading 88:1,8 130:6, 7 135:14
<pre>real 40:15 42:1 reality 40:3 really 14:18 18:20,</pre>
25 19:8 23:25 23:25 25:8 43:3 51:6,24 55:3,18 60:22 63:9 71:18
72:22 76:14 79:10 81:20 97:8 103:8 105:5 118:21 124:7
137:3,4 145:11 reason 24:1 27:16,19 37:3 55:23,24 83:21,22 94:21
97:1 98:16 99:14 110:15,18,19,20 114:3 133:7 145:2,
reasons 33:15 72:1 101:8 135:1 recall 14:19 14:19 16:17 23:24 25:15
29:19 31:6 32:12, 13 38:25 39:24 40:25 48:17 51:23 56:18 57:23 62:23,
24 71:22 73:1,10 74:22 76:13,14 80:9,12 82:4,12,16 84:16,17,19 85:3,6,
17 88:24 90:5,25 91:20 95:21 102:17, 25 103:2 114:20,23 115:2,4 124:21,22,
23 134:16,18 142:23 164:1 received 84:20,24 123:12
receiving 28:5 32:24 162:21 recent 94:17
recently 47:14 Recess 53:4 87:6 104:14 147:1 157:6 recipient 47:19 48:4
recognize 88:9 148:2,

```
8
recollection 35:7
  55:23 56:15 76:16,
  22
recommended 77:20
recommending 76:23
record 4:8,10 11:5,
  15 14:22 22:2,10,
  16 37:6 52:3,24
  53:2,6 66:19,25
  67:13,15,19 87:4,9
  100:6 102:1,3,5
  104:13,16 106:12,
  13 111:18 132:10
  146:8,13,23,24
  147:3,5 148:1
  149:1 151:22 157:5,
  7 159:1 162:5
  164:15,18 165:9,10
Record-Chronicle
  129:23
recorded 64:7
recording 34:10,11
recordings 17:20
records 18:12
redirect 156:23
refer 9:18 145:14
  148:13 150:16,21
  152:10
reference 144:18
  150:17
referenced 22:17
references 74:23
  75:2 76:16
referencing 43:8,9
  44:6
referred 36:13 145:5
  148:10 152:12
  164:9
referring 100:7
  133:22 145:4
  151:15 158:4 159:9
refers 151:24 163:18
reflect 22:10
reflection 28:19
refuse 129:23 130:7
refuses 155:16
refutation 134:22
refute 135:7
```

```
regard 102:21 113:3
regarded 44:19
  123:18
regarding 159:25
regards 42:10
register 32:19
regular 20:18 122:2
reject 55:12
related 5:12 18:7
relative 4:2
release 149:6
remained 55:7
remains 75:25
remember 5:19 15:8
  21:17 25:8 32:2,4,
  8,24 41:10 49:17
  58:2 63:9 89:18,21,
  21,23 104:21
  106:23 107:8 108:7
  124:14 125:21
  126:4 136:10
  140:23 145:25
  158:5 162:21 163:1
remembered 89:16
reminding 39:17
reminiscences 48:14
remove 96:19
removed 77:1 77:1
renunciation 139:19
repeat 61:1
repeated 163:21,23
replete 100:12
report 9:4 20:19
  20:19 146:17 147:7,
  11,14
reported 160:11
reporter 4:23 5:16
  10:23 11:5,16
  29:20 39:17 46:14
represent 104:20
  110:4 113:21
  121:12 122:15
  147:6 162:5
represented 113:22
represents 50:10
reputable 52:6 124:2
reputation 27:22
  28:1,7 64:16 79:2
  96:12 109:4 110:13
  118:4,9,25 119:4
```

reg 121:25

. 05.0	16.10.15.10.0.10.6	100.15 141.5
repute 96:9	16:12,17 18:2 19:6	138:17 141:5
request 6:7 164:23	71:5 106:1	142:13 143:2 145:5,
requesting 30:20	responsibility	15,19,23 146:19
76:23	43:14 59:19 71:8	148:24 149:25
require 81:5	responsible 95:25	150:4,17 151:25
required 80:19	145:22 149:16,23	152:3,4,8,20 153:3,
reread 158:16	151:2,6 152:6,12,	11,12 154:10
rereading 160:5	16 157:25 158:6	155:17 156:8
research 16:16 48:1	result 94:14	159:12 161:13,14,
resign 93:14	retreat 8:8 9:12	19,20,23 162:12,22
resignation 96:16	106:16,25 107:11	163:12 165:8
resigned 38:24,25	retrospect 72:4	role 5:10 7:19 12:7
39:1,3,4,5 93:13	92:11 93:7 140:16	16:20 19:10 20:10
94:8,22,23	review 6:9 9:4 23:17	23:21 38:4 40:7,14
resistant 80:14	43:16 58:14,16,18	41:9 42:12 44:14,
respectable 124:4	59:7,13,20,23	15,17,20 56:6
respond 26:15 33:7	60:10,13,21,61:7,9,	62:19 69:25 79:23
62:11 127:4,5,17,	13 71:21 72:16	93:6,15 97:22
18,18 128:12,14	77:5,13,19 78:5,24	125:4 136:25
129:6 154:19 155:1,	80:18 81:6 86:3,15,	room 26:3
-		
5,7,10,15 156:6,10,	22 105:20 114:6,25	rude 11:4
19 159:6,10 161:13	115:6 120:24 121:2,	ruined 94:3
163:19 164:8	3 122:19,21,23	Rule 164:24
responded 93:2 127:9,	124:5 131:10 133:5,	rules 5:13
14 130:11	7 147:19 164:24	run 20:11 153:8
respondent 92:13,24,	reviewed 60:17 61:3,	154:4
25 140:3	15 72:10	Russian 131:12,21
responder 128:7	reviewer 58:16,23	132:14
155:11	59:5,9,11,19,22	_
responding 34:11	77:7 78:11,15	S
156:14	86:17,18,19,21	
response 26:1,9,11,	reviewers 59:25 60:5,	Sadoff 18:9 19:12,23
13 35:1,5,7 39:23	14 78:12 121:8	Sadoff's 43:22
42:21 62:6,7,7	reviewing 60:8 72:7	safe 108:23
63:13 63:13,15,19	87:25	said 13:7 19:12 20:4
65:7,17 70:20,22	reviews 25:18 41:13	21:6,15 24:3 25:12,
88:14 92:15 93:7	43:15 77:24	16 27:5,13 31:20
128:22,23 129:2	revisions 55:15	32:15,20 33:8,14
155:23 156:15	Richmond 27:14,16,17,	38:20 39:8,11
158:2,18 159:15,16,	18	42:17 44:3 49:16
21	ridiculous 33:18	52:4,15 53:8 63:4
responses 10:25 26:3	right 8:6,21 9:21	68:14 80:3 83:6
33:23,24 34:23	14:19 16:6 20:6	85:16 91:8,18 94:5
38:10 43:18 64:11	31:2 36:4 50:9	95:15 97:18 105:24
1		
71:21 72:14,23	61:21 66:23 87:12	133:25 138:8,12
71:21 72:14,23 74:1 77:17,18		133:25 138:8,12 139:8 140:16 141:8
_	61:21 66:23 87:12	_
74:1 77:17,18	61:21 66:23 87:12 103:14 108:2	139:8 140:16 141:8
74:1 77:17,18 79:13,15,16,17	61:21 66:23 87:12 103:14 108:2 111:19,21,24	139:8 140:16 141:8 143:16 149:23
74:1 77:17,18 79:13,15,16,17 80:1 84:19,24 92:8,	61:21 66:23 87:12 103:14 108:2 111:19,21,24 113:19 115:6,18	139:8 140:16 141:8 143:16 149:23 154:3 157:17,24

162.6 7 164.6	145.12 10 22 150.1	Gaott 24:14 27:16
163:6,7 164:6	145:13,18,22 150:1	Scott 24:14 27:16
sake 62:13	151:1,6 152:6,16	scream 90:18
Saltzer 131:4	153:6 153:6,21	screen 5:16 146:22
Samarotto 39:3	154:18 156:18,21	search 16:22,24 17:2,
same 44:15 51:7 63:1	159:8 160:4,6	5,12 95:9 98:15
63:1 77:9 105:15	scared 96:25	98:15 99:8,19
121:13,16 124:24	Schachter 38:15	searching 98:5
128:11 142:3 164:7	51:11 51:11,19	second 29:23 37:18
sanctimonious 91:13	60:17	49:22 67:4,14
save 91:8,10,14	Schenker 26:17 31:17,	107:15 125:12
saved 91:12	19 90:17 101:2,11	145:17 146:10,23
saw 81:19 84:12	131:4 134:21 136:2	148:21 151:4,14
say 10:17,24 17:2	Schenkerian 5:10	152:5 158:7
21:24 24:3 25:22	9:17 16:4,15 17:17,	secondary 40:8 44:17
26:25 28:10 31:8	24,25 22:6 25:21	section 45:21 49:14
41:21 42:10 46:9	26:12 35:15 38:7	66:18 86:8,11
48:23 49:14 50:14,	42:4 43:1,21 50:3,	132:2
18,19 55:12 58:11	5 52:16 57:4 62:10,	see 7:11 14:9,17
59:9 62:9 65:6	12 66:5 70:14,17	15:10 19:21 21:21
70:23 71:23 72:6	72:21 90:17 91:9,	36:20 37:10 47:4
75:17,21 79:20	10,14 101:5,9	49:25 50:16 63:11,
80:24 81:2 82:1	104:24 105:1,19,25	19 67:9 73:10 90:8
86:4,22 89:8,10	109:17,25 110:10	107:16,18,19
90:13 95:4 96:2	114:22 116:10	108:15 117:19
97:9 98:22 108:6,	117:2,9,13 118:2,4,	143:25 146:16,18,
14,23 112:6 114:17	10,15,18,20 119:2,	19 147:16 148:20
115:12 116:23,24	12,17 120:3 121:14	151:3 154:18
118:9 120:10,11	124:18 126:19,21	160:25
123:23 125:4,11	130:23 131:2	seem 38:3 83:20,21
127:3 130:5 131:3	132:21 135:18,21	93:4 141:1
131:3 133:2,17,24	143:4 144:14	seemed 68:18 76:15
134:15 136:21	149:10	83:18 111:9 152:24
137:9 138:19	Schenkerians 52:7	seemingly 81:9
140:18 142:15	117:23	seems 67:1 130:11
143:17 149:20	scholar 47:13,24	seen 119:9 119:9
153:18,21,24 154:7,	49:11 132:16 140:6	146:11
9,11 156:16 157:24	scholarly 47:18 48:9	Segall 132:1
159:3 160:22	124:2 160:20	seldom 81:10
162:14 163:18	scholars 38:7,16	self-assertive
164:6	52:16 112:3 119:25	69:17
saying 31:17 64:14	125:13	self-confident
91:7 92:3 93:21	scholar's 47:24	136:22
102:18,25 114:20,	scholarship 120:1,7	sell 117:14
23 115:2 135:3	136:12	send 14:25 58:14
139:3 141:8 165:6	school 26:21,24 68:9	59:19 77:4 85:25
says 7:18 8:2 21:21	95:8,15 99:4	121:11 139:25
42:8 50:3,12,13,13	schools 27:23	sending 77:14
53:19 100:8 107:16,	schrift 47:4	sense 21:1 57:6
20 114:3,16 121:15	Schwartz 32:10 Schwarz 32:20	101:8 109:4 158:10
123:20 144:9,22	SCHWALZ 32.20	sensitive 27:23

106:15 121:2 124:5 126:24 129:9 155:23 159:10 sentence 50:9 144:9 145:21 150:1 151:4, 5,10,13,14,16 152:5 153:20 154:14,25 158:4 159:9,11,13 161:11 162:25 Sep 12:18 separate 29:4 September 12:25 seriously 96:10 serve 52:13 57:8 served 56:21 service 16:16 105:18, 22 106:2,6,7 serving 56:8 56:8 session 63:24 set 56:19 121:1 131:10 Seven 7:10 8:3 several 86:20 Shall 46:13 share 35:21 36:24 66:23 67:4 146:20 shared 66:22 sharing 148:4 Sherman 4:5 She's 10:23 29:15 107:19 130:21	sidetracked 113:10 sign 33:6,8,15 93:25 162:22 164:21,22 signatories 89:5 signed 29:12 30:20 31:20 93:18,21 125:2 silence 34:1 similar 66:1 132:11 simply 25:12 78:18 113:14 simultaneously 62:17 since 6:3 13:5 16:24 24:1 26:4,16 41:17 56:24 62:1 89:10 94:17 103:12 110:8 120:10 121:3 124:6 129:25 146:11 153:8 single 65:17 133:4 singled 146:1 sir 115:15 situation 96:19 139:7 skill 64:16 skim 113:15 sleep 86:20 slottow 4:2 5:5 6:14 7:18 8:3,11 21:22 36:16 37:12 46:20 53:7 67:20 87:11	some 5:13,20 7:1 11:2 12:6 15:5 16:3 18:22 19:2 20:3,7 21:24 26:3 27:16 28:18 31:3 34:25 35:6 37:3 38:10,13 41:10 46:1 47:13,23,24, 25 48:16,17 49:12, 13 51:7,13 52:6,14, 17 54:7 57:22 58:5, 13 60:15 63:19 67:2 72:25 72:25 73:21 74:4,5,6,6 75:10 76:3 78:11 80:13 81:2 84:4 85:7 86:13 88:5 91:17 93:17 104:22 108:4 112:20 118:3 119:10 129:11 133:25 134:4 136:4 137:16 139:21 143:25 151:21 153:23 160:9,11 161:3 somehow 140:12 someone 15:1 16:14 16:14 32:25 42:25 47:14,22 48:1 56:21 57:5 59:12 61:18 73:12 79:6 87:1 95:6,7 99:9 112:5 125:13 131:3
107:19 130:21		112:5 125:13 131:3
<pre>shifted 76:10 shirt 88:4 short 17:9 48:13</pre>	103:21 104:19 106:19 140:18 146:14 147:4	141:6,11 142:6 160:24,25 something 11:2 13:22

19 102:23 112:20 somewhere 21:23 34:12 97:18 105:16 sonata 47:23 48:1 soon 57:18 63:7 soon-to-be-published 129:10 sorry 4:19,21 22:20 29:19 35:18 36:2 37:6 51:18 52:10 53:24 56:16 61:1 75:1 80:8 83:10 88:3 112:25 115:21 130:20 137:19 141:15 151:11,18 152:22 157:16 158:23 164:5 sort 19:3 19:3 20:17 23:14,21 28:19 33:17 34:25 40:7 43:24 49:13 55:19 56:4 64:15 65:15 68:24 71:7 72:12, 14 73:6 74:5 75:13, 19,24 79:22,23 90:18 91:13 93:4,
11,14 112:13 113:11 115:3 115:3 119:17 123:22,25 124:9 130:8 137:11, 15 138:11 143:3,6
149:17 161:2 sound 17:20 63:4 speak 83:7 speaking 105:15
133:10 special 41:16 43:18, 20 44:3 47:23 86:8, 11 93:6 105:25 132:11,14,17,23 133:1 specialist 79:6 specialization 13:21
specialized 117:11 118:19 specialty 45:9 47:20 59:12 122:9

```
specific 45:8 49:10,
  17 133:11,15
  134:18 139:12,13
  152:19 158:3,4
specifically 18:2
  41:19 50:14 53:11
  105:23 123:20
  127:2 136:24
  143:14 150:2
  151:16
specifics 41:10
Spectrum 34:5,14
  113:19,20,24
  114:12 115:12,13,
  14,15 116:6 120:4
speculative 160:23
speech 34:7,10
spell 46:13,22
spelled 163:9
spelling 46:21,24
spent 143:23
Sphinx 107:20,24
spoke 4:19
staff 55:17 104:25
  105:4,5,7 153:24
stalling 71:6,7
stand 124:12 144:12
standalone 49:6
stands 30:14
start 40:5 45:6
  61:11 63:18
started 12:15 13:6
  19:13,15,21 33:3
  40:11 51:2 75:18
starting 23:1 23:1
  109:1
state 4:10 13:12
  89:1 100:6
stated 157:12
statement 25:2 50:10
  148:4 150:14,15
  153:14 156:5
  157:12,15 160:18,
  19 161:2 163:7
statements 59:18
States 4:4 94:18
  113:3 134:14 135:9
state's 150:12
  161:17
stating 89:16
```

```
stayed 19:9 58:4
step 17:7
Stephen 4:2 21:22
  153:9
stereotyping 100:13
stick 97:10
still 54:16 56:6
  62:5 70:11 96:3
  156:11
stipend 21:10 69:5
stir 25:25
stood 55:19
stop 42:1 52:10
  73:23 135:11
  137:10
stopped 107:5
strained 33:25
strange 35:5 63:21
  127:18
strangely 62:1
stretching 130:21
strike 82:21 124:14
  127:8
strong 112:9
stronger 146:6
strongly 80:2
structure 82:24
  83:15,16
stubborn 143:24
stuck 71:7 139:20
student 18:24 19:23
  21:7 29:5,8,15,15
  30:12 31:12 35:8,
  10 54:14,16,22,23
  57:2 57:2,12 68:5
  69:5 88:14,22 94:2
  101:15 108:16,22
  131:4 135:24 136:2
  145:3,5,14,15,25
  146:8 147:18
  147:18,22 148:9,25
  150:16,21,24
  151:25 152:11,25
  153:4,8 154:1,5,6,
  15 157:12
student-run 154:3
students 30:10,12,16
  51:10 58:6,7 67:24
  68:25 144:13,22
  145:19 148:5,18
```

submission 55:11 66:5 69:9 74:11 36:17 49:20 51:1 58:12 59:20 127:1 76:21 81:14 82:20 52:23 73:7,10 155:13 85:18 94:13 95:1 86:16 87:3,15 submissions 43:17 96:18 106:10 91:13 95:7 96:10 45:18 86:1 107:14 113:6 97:14 99:9 104:6 submit 80:1 118:20 117:19 119:19 134:6 157:1 128:10 155:12 119:19 121:22 taken 5:17,21 11:20 submitted 92:20 122:23 123:8 125:8 18:21 24:22 76:18 128:18 131:5 127:11,13,16 132:6 90:8 90:8 92:4 submitter 128:4 136:7 137:17 95:5,12 119:7 subscribers 18:11 42:10 144:2,22 takes 86:17 112:8 subscribers 18:11 145:2 150:9 158:17 19 31:16 124:23 22 substantive 77:19 34:13,21,23 35:1 78:6 99:17 123:10,12 63:7,23 64:2,3,3, substituted 78:19 surrounding 122:20 17 66:25 90:6 subtext 130:8 suspect 79:21 80:2 101:19 103:6	153:21,23,23 154:5 Studies 5:10 9:17 16:5 17:17 22:6 26:12 35:15 42:4 50:4,5 57:4 62:11 70:14 101:5,9 104:24 105:2,19,25 109:17,25 110:11 114:22 116:10 117:3,9 118:5,18 119:12,17 120:3 121:14 124:19 126:19 130:24 131:2 132:21 135:18,22 143:4 144:14 149:10 study 75:14,25 160:24 161:1 stuff 139:22 styled 4:3	<pre>suggested 80:19 81:6 140:11 suggesting 80:16 suggestions 77:5 suit 29:14 superb 83:19 supervisor 19:3,4,4 supplied 60:4 support 23:15 24:4 25:2 28:17 44:20 88:13 supported 160:20,24 supports 160:16 suppose 99:10 108:5 supposing 145:7 Sure 5:21 7:7 10:16 11:4,8 14:25 15:7, 18 17:13,16 18:14 20:25 21:22 24:13 27:15,17 32:22</pre>	symp 65:5 symposia 64:20,22,25 65:9 symposium 50:24 58:13 61:23 63:8 64:8,9,11,12,15,18 65:3,9,11 66:2 70:20 71:14 72:23 79:8 81:24 82:6 84:9 88:14 90:5 91:4 93:21,25 96:11 115:5 124:20 126:13 127:24 128:17 131:12 155:11 159:21 163:20 164:8 synagogues 160:9 synonym 65:6 systematic 88:19
subjected 122:18 54:20 58:20 60:22, table 37:20 108:20 subjecting 71:20 24 61:17 64:10,21 take 11:9,11,19 14: submission 55:11 66:5 69:9 74:11 36:17 49:20 51:1 58:12 59:20 127:1 76:21 81:14 82:20 52:23 73:7,10 155:13 85:18 94:13 95:1 86:16 87:3,15 submissions 43:17 96:18 106:10 91:13 95:7 96:10 45:18 86:1 107:14 113:6 97:14 99:9 104:6 submit 80:1 118:20 117:19 119:19 134:6 157:1 128:10 155:12 119:19 121:22 taken 5:17,21 11:20 submitted 92:20 122:23 123:8 125:8 18:21 24:22 76:18 128:18 131:5 127:11,13,16 132:6 90:8 90:8 92:4 submitting 45:8 142:10 144:2,22 148:8 86:17 112:8 subscribers 18:11 145:2 150:9 158:17 19 31:16 124:23 xubstantive 77:19 surprised 61:17 43:1 44:4 62:22 78:6 99:17 123:10,12 63:7,23 64:2,3,3, substituted 78:19 surprounding 122:20 17 66:25 90:6 subtext 130:8 suspect 79:21 80:2 101:19 103:6	_		Т
successfully 78:22 121:3,4 113:18,23 124:7 such 31:13 38:13 swallow 91:16 talked 10:2 54:5 56:9 62:2 63:19,20 swear 4:23 71:11 84:6 93:12 72:7 92:5 126:9 sweep 98:20 106:13 110:23	<pre>subjecting 71:20 submission 55:11 58:12 59:20 127:1 155:13 submissions 43:17 45:18 86:1 submit 80:1 118:20 128:10 155:12 submitted 92:20 128:18 131:5 submitter 128:4 submitting 45:8 subscribers 18:11 subscribers 18:11 subservient 97:11,14, 22 substance 76:24 substantive 77:19 78:6 substituted 78:19 subtext 130:8 successfully 78:22 such 31:13 38:13 56:9 62:2 63:19,20</pre>	24 61:17 64:10,21 66:5 69:9 74:11 76:21 81:14 82:20 85:18 94:13 95:1 96:18 106:10 107:14 113:6 117:19 119:19 119:19 121:22 122:23 123:8 125:8 127:11,13,16 132:6 136:7 137:17 141:14,18,23 142:10 144:2,22 145:2 150:9 158:17 161:7 162:2 surprise 91:6 surprised 61:17 99:17 123:10,12 surrounding 122:20 suspect 79:21 80:2 121:3,4 swallow 91:16 swear 4:23	take 11:9,11,19 14:4 36:17 49:20 51:1 52:23 73:7,10 86:16 87:3,15 91:13 95:7 96:10 97:14 99:9 104:6 134:6 157:1 taken 5:17,21 11:20 18:21 24:22 76:18 90:8 90:8 92:4 95:5,12 119:7 takes 86:17 112:8 taking 10:24 18:11, 19 31:16 124:23 talk 10:11 13:9 34:13,21,23 35:1 43:1 44:4 62:22 63:7,23 64:2,3,3, 17 66:25 90:6 101:19 103:6 113:18,23 124:7 talked 10:2 54:5 71:11 84:6 93:12

talking 7:7 11:18,19 17:3 26:5 28:10 39:16 46:6 53:10 64:6 68:15 79:18, 19 89:24 125:21 126:4 139:14 141:21 142:2,17,23 157:14
talks 45:2 64:1 tangent 91:24 tangible 109:23 teach 108:21 teacher 111:11 teaching 12:13,15 16:16 18:20 109:2 tell 5:18,25 8:21 19:8 20:7 23:7 33:13 41:5 98:21 102:15 123:2
telling 82:4,12 temerity 31:13 tempered 76:25 ten 103:19 tended 41:22 tends 76:5 tenured 13:1,3 51:3 term 141:23 terms 20:11 112:9 164:2 terribly 26:21,22
27:21 test 14:4 testified 5:2 11:23 12:2 94:23 95:18 104:23 109:16 112:18 116:25 122:17 143:18 151:24 testifying 108:7 113:2
testimony 66:20 102:8 105:14 135:17 Texas 4:5 12:10 50:8 106:6 117:3 120:18 144:10 Thad 4:13 than 6:5 10:12 41:7, 21,23 43:12 44:13 46:21 63:14 68:10

```
69:20 78:16 79:3
  97:11 101:14
  103:18 108:20
  121:3 123:7 129:7
  137:13 156:14
Thank 4:18,22 8:15
  9:15,24 10:21 22:7,
  20 29:24 39:18
  46:12,19 47:8
  67:12 75:7 83:13
  87:10 88:7 100:9
  102:6 103:10
  115:15,20 116:4
  133:23 135:16
  154:12 157:23
  161:5 164:13,20
that's 6:20 8:6 9:6,
  9 10:9,16 12:3,17
  14:12,20 15:11,18
  16:21,22 17:21
  21:5,19 22:18
  26:23 30:23,24,25
  35:7 37:9,21 38:13
  39:18 41:6 42:21
  43:7,10 47:6 50:12
  56:15 59:18,19
  60:20 62:14 64:23
  65:10 66:1 70:6,10,
  21 71:7 73:9,18,21
  77:6 77:6 81:4
  85:11,20 89:13
  91:11 93:5 98:7
  105:7,12 106:17
  107:11 111:21
  112:16 116:25
  122:6 123:22 125:4,
  14 126:5,9 128:3,
  11 131:6,16,19
  132:5,9,18 133:20
  135:5 136:15
  140:22 141:4
  144:19 145:10
  148:21 149:18,20
  151:8,12,14 153:3
  154:9,10 156:8,21
  159:8,22 160:15
  165:9
their 27:22 28:7
  45:9 59:14 64:14
  64:14 72:13 74:12
```

```
78:17 80:25 91:16
  97:15 109:12 112:3,
  5 118:7 119:25
  123:21 124:3 129:9
  144:13
theirs 78:19
theme 20:15 46:1
themes 45:16
themselves 69:20
  117:23 118:2
then 9:16 12:19 14:6
  18:13,22 19:5,9
  20:10 23:3 26:8,22
  37:20 49:20 52:11
  58:23 66:3 77:17
  81:4 86:4,9,20,25
  90:15 93:19 101:4
  108:3 114:17
  114:17 122:19
  130:9 136:4 137:9
  137:9,13 138:20
  140:14,15,17,19
  145:21 146:11
  149:9,12 150:6,7
  152:10 157:19,21
  158:18 161:1
theoretical 109:21
Theoria 120:19,23
  121:16,24 122:3,12,
  18,20 123:12,17
  124:5 132:13
theorist 49:12 62:5
  108:13,24
theorists 26:13
  28:13 76:8 117:7
theory 13:17,20,21
  19:25 24:9 25:20
  26:18,19 28:3,20
  29:3,10,11 30:15
  30:15 31:22 34:3,4,
  14,15 35:3 43:21
  62:12 66:4 68:8
  68:8 72:21 72:21
  75:19 76:4,5 96:25
  101:3,21 112:3
  113:20 114:4,12
  115:12,13,14,15,19
  116:3,6,16 118:14
  120:4 121:1 122:5
  131:10 132:3
```

138:23 144:11 148:6 there 5:16,24 7:9,11, 12 15:17 17:5,14 19:17 20:3,17,23 21:1,4,4,4,23 23:13,16,20 24:1 25:7,25,25 26:6,8, 9,9,10,10 28:16 32:15 33:25 34:20 35:7,16 36:20 37:20,25 38:9,11, 12,13 40:19 44:8 45:18 47:8 48:12, 18 49:9 50:1 52:5, 6,19 54:21 56:6,19 57:5 58:18 59:16, 21 62:1,6,6,7 63:12,19,22 64:6, 24 65:2,19,23 66:4, 18 67:1 69:3 71:10, 13 72:17,19 73:21, 23 74:22 74:22 75:2 76:1,14,15,20 80:12 81:12,14 82:21,22 83:20 85:3,5,14,14 86:8, 9,9 90:8 93:19 94:25 95:3 98:2 99:8 101:19,20 102:13 105:6 106:25 109:8 110:8 110:8 111:13,19 114:4 115:7,16 116:8 117:8 119:23 120:6,8 125:18,19 126:13 127:22,25 128:6 130:3,4 137:21,23 140:10 142:25 143:5 143:5, 22 152:18 154:1 160:7,8 therefore 26:19 83:8 therein 88:19 There's 8:6 10:19 20:15 26:2 49:12 85:15 94:24 96:2, 13 98:4,16 99:7 109:9 112:6 115:16	thereto 63:3 these 5:19 6:6 7:6 19:9 48:19 50:16 52:12 75:14 76:9, 17 78:11 80:24 81:3 89:4,5 91:1 107:6 112:17 115:8 125:19,20 130:8 140:6 151:22 They're 6:10,23 18:7 27:23 32:22 47:16, 17 48:7,9,25 86:25 130:6 thing 11:15 23:17 45:10 48:23 58:14 65:1 72:12 79:1,4 98:18 112:13 137:6 164:7 things 16:16 19:9 20:10,21 23:5 24:25 25:21 41:20 43:24 44:24 48:19 59:1,17 72:15 73:5 77:12,14 94:4,6,7 95:20 99:13 112:17 126:3,9 133:25 140:6 143:22 think 10:9,19 13:18, 19,20 15:11 16:1 17:21 18:1 18:1 19:14,24,25 20:18 21:5,12 22:3,12 23:19 24:11 25:14, 23 26:5 27:11 27:11,16,17,19 28:1 29:8 30:4,21, 24 31:3,11 32:23 33:2,8,14,20 34:3, 4,9,15,16,24 35:5, 25 39:5,11 40:2,3, 9,13 41:4 42:17 43:7 44:16,20 45:3 46:5,6 47:6 48:12, 13,22,25 49:9,16 51:14 52:8,15,18, 19,20,22 54:15,16 55:6,7,7,25 56:11, 13 57:20 58:22,24 59:7,21 60:3,11,25	63:9,10,11,17,18, 21 64:24 65:5 65:5, 15 66:6,24 67:8,10 69:10,14,23 71:19 72:1,2 74:21 75:9 77:1,13,16 79:16, 17 80:18 81:2 82:1, 11,19 84:6 85:10, 12,18 86:10 87:3 89:25 90:13,23 91:17 93:13,18,19 94:6,11 95:18,22 96:11,22 97:2,5,13, 17,18 99:20 100:1, 21,24 102:7,10 103:21 105:12 108:14 111:10,12, 13 112:18 114:18 117:10,12,17 118:20,24,24 119:3, 13 122:14 123:1,9, 13 125:1 125:1,5, 13 126:25 130:2,3 131:8 134:4,12,15, 23 136:16 137:1,25 138:7,22 140:16 143:18 144:4 157:24 158:3 159:9 160:11 161:2,3 163:13,16,17 165:9 third 58:4 153:3 162:9 thoroughly 82:18 Those 6:19 7:2,20 13:15 20:20 28:7, 11 29:13 34:19 41:23 66:6 71:23 72:15 75:10 76:25 77:10,12,12 80:13, 14,17 82:8 90:24 117:25 119:7 121:19 122:17 128:21 128:21 132:3 160:10 164:1 though 11:12 40:1 43:14 57:14 83:14 93:25 112:14 118:14 121:15 thought 6:2 21:25
109:9 112:6 115:16	59:7,21 60:3,11,25	thought 6:2 21:25
117:25 161:21	61:2,16 62:9,16	28:6 33:16 41:7

50.4.62.01.62.01
52:4 63:21 63:21
69:15 71:24,25
77:11 91:6,15 92:3
119:3 125:7,8
129:14 158:9
three 6:1 26:5 32:9,
22,23 64:1 85:10,
16 107:6 114:13
149:15
through 12:14 21:14
30:12 74:6 86:3
95:19 111:19
112:14 128:10
152:2
throughout 147:8
Tim 10:7 19:3 20:12
21:4 24:19 27:10
110:16 131:3 136:6
158:11
time 4:7,8,9,23 15:5
17:18 23:23 24:14
27:14 32:14 33:25
34:1 40:11 44:5
52:22 54:13 56:19,
22 57:21 65:19
71:13 72:5 73:8
74:3 82:22 85:8
89:24 103:25
105:20,22 111:7
118:10 124:16
135:24 138:25
145:1,11 162:15,24
163:21,24
times 78:8
Timothy 4:3,16 21:21
25:4 104:20 108:6
109:13 110:13
119:6 120:1 133:11,
15 134:9 135:7
138:4 139:9,20
140:24 141:10
142:5,20 143:1,9,
13,18 150:14,21
153:9
Tim's 111:5 113:14
title 21:16,17
today 5:8,18,22,25
10:24 11:2,8
104:23 108:9
125:22,24
,

```
Todd 4:14,17 10:3
together 20:21 29:1
  41:15 97:24 131:12,
  16 136:5 163:16
told 10:15 23:22
  24:17,18,19,20
  27:6,9,10,10,11
  95:23 102:10
  123:11 129:22
  136:25 140:4 140:4
ton 117:25
tonal 118:11
tone 49:2
too 14:22 18:20
  18:20 60:24 62:18
  64:7 78:16 85:6,9
  105:7 132:2 137:14,
  16 160:23
took 5:19 20:5,9
  23:7,16,18 80:13
  82:5,7,7,11 91:6
top 125:8,14
topic 47:19 48:2
  59:12 64:13,15
  65:17 132:14,23
topics 26:6 45:8,11,
  14,19,19 122:6
  132:12,17 133:1
total 32:11
touch 95:9
touchy 123:23
towards 45:1 106:1
toxic 96:19
track 60:19
tradition 49:1 65:16,
  24 134:11
traditional 72:6,8
  140:5
training 69:3
transcribed 34:10
transcript 102:11
  158:21 164:24
  165:3
transition 83:3
  101:25
treated 128:4,8,9
treating 129:14
tribute 47:12,18
tributes 48:9 48:9
tried 24:25 59:5
```

```
95:6 102:14 135:6
tropes 100:13
trouble 100:21
true 57:13 70:21
  81:23,24 114:8
  134:24,25 135:4
  154:9 155:14
  160:15
truth 5:18,25
truthful 90:3
try 46:16,17 60:2
  73:8 87:2 102:18
trying 11:3 32:2
  35:23 96:19,23
  105:13,14 111:20
  113:4 136:17
  151:19,21 158:15
  162:6
turd 99:25
turn 49:21
turned 37:4 100:22
  100:22 138:19
turns 140:10
twist 73:21
two 14:2 33:15 50:16
  67:9,10,11 70:23
  107:6 114:12,13
  135:18 144:4
  151:22
type 46:17 70:10
types 72:15
typeset 70:8
typesetting 55:6
  70:1,2
typically 58:4,12
  59:21 61:18
```

U

Uh-huh 5:11 9:23
10:8 13:8 14:15
17:23 18:16 21:3,8,
11 23:2 29:17 33:4
35:19 39:2 40:6,16,
20 45:15 47:21
48:3,15 49:3,7,18
50:2 51:9 53:12
55:14 56:23 58:15
60:9,18 65:8 71:3
77:25 78:10,20

86:2,15,23 90:11 92:21 98:2 107:2 109:7,10 111:3,8 112:11 113:9,17 114:15 116:22 117:24 118:8,13,17, 22 119:15,20 120:14,25 121:6,9 123:4,19 124:1,11 125:3 126:7 128:1, 5,9,16,20,25 129:5 131:11,14 134:2,6 135:25 136:3 137:5, 12 138:10 139:5 140:9 142:9 143:15 145:20 149:11 154:22 163:12	134:14 135:8 University 12:10 13:12,13,24 24:23 29:9 50:8 51:8 106:6 117:3 120:18 144:10 unless 129:8 141:23 147:16,17 untenable 57:19 until 11:17 34:22 56:14 56:14 86:6 127:14 161:1 UNT's 96:12 unusually 26:8 unworkable 85:15 upon 55:15 92:1,2 upside 142:4	<pre>verbatim 34:4,6 version 9:20 88:23 versus 4:3 54:25 very 23:25 26:8 27:23 32:16 34:25 35:5 38:15,16 45:5 47:16 52:6 55:5,9 57:16,17,18,18 60:25 61:17 62:1,4, 6 63:2 69:14 70:19, 22 71:1 74:6 78:3 81:9 83:18 85:11 96:21 97:7,10,21 100:18 100:18 101:23 101:23 102:19 111:13 113:13 114:17</pre>
ultimately 143:3	Urbana 15:22	117:10,11,14 122:3
unaccept 156:7	urging 113:14	123:12 130:5
unacceptable 150:4	use 10:25 14:24	133:20 137:24
154:20 155:2 156:7	30:18 31:9,25,25	141:1
161:13	33:18 65:2 70:6,11	viciously 62:3
uncharacteristic	90:13,23 91:17	victim 92:1 96:22
141:2	162:13	96:22 97:2,3,5
uncommon 131:2	used 24:4 62:5 64:23	video 165:5
uncouth 89:9	68:7 82:8 141:4,22	videographer 10:22
under 19:3 75:22	useful 69:6	view 37:8 51:13
105:22 137:11,15	using 70:5 88:16	123:16 136:11
138:8	usual 16:16 45:17	137:17,21 viewed 40:7 51:14
undersigned 144:9	48:13 112:2,16 114:10 125:13	
understa 151:9 understand 5:17	126:9 132:18	74:18,19 78:25 95:24
11:13 20:4 51:17	Usually 26:2 47:13	viewing 96:21 137:10
56:18 79:9 94:22	47:13 48:18,25	views 28:17 101:3
130:17 135:13	56:21 58:19,22	111:14
144:17 149:2	64:11,23 85:25	virtually 10:23
understanding 12:7	90:13 112:5,7	visceral 90:6,7,14,
37:22 44:5 57:7	utterances 139:13	18,22
114:1 122:12		visit 113:4,5
151:22 152:11	V	Vol.4 153:8
161:18		Volume 9:17,22 22:4,
Understood 6:4 12:4	vacuum 35:5	5 23:4,11,12 25:11
115:20	vague 146:9	34:8,18 35:17 36:7,
unfair 126:1	various 24:25 105:1	12 37:23 38:23
unfairly 99:23	109:4 112:14 119:9,	39:8 40:12,13 41:3,
129:15	10 129:8	6 42:19 43:10
ungrateful 111:11	venture 160:17,22	45:20 50:11,14
uninterested 122:8	venue 63:20	54:13 58:2 61:24,
unique 57:3	venues 120:4	25 65:3 71:14 74:9
United 4:4 94:18	verbal 10:25 11:3,7	81:25 82:6,23

83:14 96:11 110:5 110:5 111:16,19 117:6 119:7,12 120:3 121:13,18 126:12 127:7,10,14, 15 129:21,24 135:21 142:21
143:14 155:24
volumes 49:6
<pre>volunteer 60:11</pre>
98:14,15

W

```
wait 11:16 65:22
  86:6 147:15,16,17
  160:25 161:25
waited 63:10,11
waiting 34:22
waive 164:21
walking 12:14
Walls 54:21 56:8
  69:7 79:19 85:2
  93:14 96:16 135:17,
  20 136:1,17 137:22
  139:14 141:10,20
  142:5 153:25 154:7
want 5:13 6:11 8:16
  8:16,24 9:18 11:4
  22:9 25:10 33:14
  35:14 36:9 56:1,25
  57:8 67:25 71:11
  73:8,12 76:20
  78:12 79:7 98:20
  103:9 113:10 123:2
  126:20 132:9
  135:19 144:2,8
  145:11 147:11,23
  148:13 154:13
  156:23 164:21,22
  165:2
wanted 16:13 26:14
  53:8 57:20 65:21
  73:4 76:25 89:17
  102:12 127:10
  141:6 155:16
wasn't 15:18 17:14
  24:19 30:22 43:3
  52:11 57:11 58:13
  59:4 64:1 65:11,14
```

```
66:1 69:23 72:11
  74:15 79:10 81:17
  84:11,12 92:24
  95:8 97:20 98:1
  99:14,18 114:16
  114:16,17 124:20
  127:5,6,16 129:8
  133:7 137:14
  143:17 145:3
  156:16 159:3,10,11
  163:4,7,22,23
  164:7
waste 145:11
way 13:24 14:6,7
  16:3 25:7 26:21
  33:22 37:8 47:25
  57:4 62:6 68:20
  81:13 87:21 88:4
  93:5 97:18 100:21
  101:4 102:13,14
  112:2,16 124:10
  125:7,8,14 126:9
  127:22 137:3 138:8,
  13 140:5,8 143:8
  150:7 155:14
weak 136:18
website 152:3
weeks 85:10,16
weighed 38:23 39:11,
  12,19
weight 52:17
welcome 93:22 118:20
Well 10:6,15 13:4
  15:10 16:3,9,9,21
  17:4,18 18:8 19:20
  20:1,24 21:10
  22:25 24:8,11,19
  25:5,22 27:1,10,21
  28:24 29:2,11,14
  30:12 31:7,10 32:6
  35:10,11 37:16
  38:6,23 39:10
  40:18 41:1 42:8,19
  44:24 45:24 49:5
  50:12 50:12 51:4
  52:14 54:15 55:23
  58:17,18 59:5 60:2
  60:2 61:25 62:16
  63:6 64:14 66:13
  68:7 69:15,16 70:3,
```

```
22 71:1 72:9,19
  73:23 74:15,16,21
  76:3,18 78:8 81:9
  83:6,18 84:3,10
  85:22,23 88:22
  89:3,23 90:7,16
  91:11 92:19 93:4
  94:4,25 95:8,20
  97:4 98:5,10 100:3
  102:9,17,22 103:16
  106:7,25 108:7,15,
  18 109:1 110:2,4,
  23 112:5 113:25
  114:1,2 118:6,16
  119:3,9 121:22
  124:14 125:1 127:5,
  8,20 129:11 131:1
  131:1,20 133:2
  134:8,19 136:1,19
  137:9,23 138:6,16
  139:1,16 140:3
  141:22 142:14
  143:11 146:4,18
  147:24 148:1 149:4
  152:15 153:23
  155:7 157:14 158:3,
  9,17 160:1,7
We'll 11:9 15:2
  16:19 22:12,16
  127:20
went 42:19 69:22
  91:24 94:4 106:13
  125:18 158:25
We're 5:8 11:18
  25:12 52:24 53:5
  66:24,25 67:15,18
  77:15 79:18 87:4,8
  99:9 102:4 104:15
  110:2 145:4 146:8,
  24 148:12 157:4
weren't 20:24 38:8,
  21 39:7,10 67:11
  72:25 76:19 85:8
  90:14,19 99:6
  117:22
western 75:19 134:11
we've 54:5 149:1
whatever 22:3 70:5
  120:2 126:20 130:5
What's 112:2 115:24
```

158:11 whether 20:14,15 39:21 43:17 59:14, 15,15 63:12 63:12, 19 102:20,22 121:2 123:20 which 7:5,7 23:5,6 29:5 34:5 34:5 35:1,15 36:13 53:18 57:17 58:25 70:16 73:19 74:17 76:3 78:2 82:17 88:18,19,25,25 94:9 97:15 100:7 101:4 105:2 107:3 111:5 112:21 114:12 115:17 117:6 121:10 123:7 129:10 132:13,16 133:12 140:4 145:4 147:7,12 148:14 149:1 152:10,18 160:10 while 15:16 18:19 23:16 36:17 44:16 56:5 73:7 87:17 104:22 108:21 111:6 who 10:23 16:14,19 17:5,6,7,10,10 23:22 24:4,7,8,13, 16 26:5,24 27:6 28:7 10 11 14 32:4	<pre>whoever 26:14 163:16 whole 23:17 38:6 42:7 44:19 45:5,20, 21,24 65:23 whom 91:15 who's 29:15 whose 62:5,15 why 8:9 9:14 30:17, 23 31:8 31:8 38:12 56:18 57:7 60:22, 24 64:8 65:14 68:17 88:16 93:2 95:4 100:1,24 104:4 133:7 138:6 146:7 wide 152:17 widely 25:5 95:20 123:18 wide-ranging 111:6, 14 wild 133:20 will 11:6 31:25 35:13 36:2 37:5 66:23 67:3 73:8 80:23 81:2 86:4 87:21 116:23 133:24 146:12,13, 16 161:6 withdrawing 23:22 withdrew 23:14 24:4 25:2 Within 18:3,4 20:22 63:16 75:16 143:7</pre>	91:17 109:18,19 122:23 127:18 128:14 141:4 156:10,11 159:10 words 65:7 70:4 82:8 90:24 91:1 114:23 136:17,19 work 43:19 44:18,21 47:24 48:17,21 55:3,13,21 57:21 58:9 80:13 81:17 83:18 106:9 109:12 112:13 113:11,12, 12,18 114:5,25 118:21 122:6 124:6 143:10,13 worked 47:22,23 48:5 68:19 68:19 74:25 75:8,9 104:24,25 108:13 135:19 working 15:14 131:3 works 13:24,25 worth 73:7 128:3 130:6 wouldn't 33:8 45:19 57:13 84:22 97:10 99:11,17,18 123:14 135:3 136:21 160:4, 17,22 Wright 4:3 writes 112:6
		_
16 26:5,24 27:6 28:7,10,11,14 32:4,	Within 18:3,4 20:22 63:16 75:16 143:7	126:3 131:10 140:7 writes 112:6
8,9 33:3 35:8 38:8,	without 96:6 113:13	writing 45:17 115:3
17,25 43:15 43:15 43:15 47:14 48:16,	114:5,25 115:5 122:21,22 141:23	written 14:18 44:8 53:14 76:11 79:21
22 55:2,20 59:3,10, 13 60:4 61:19	160:5 161:3 witness 4:17,24	81:12 90:9 99:11 119:21 128:18
64:10,16 68:6	11:24 22:1 53:25	wrong 23:10 24:21
77:23 79:6,15 82:2 84:4 90:24 93:18	103:4,11,12 141:15 142:5 156:24 161:6	34:17 97:2,9 137:6 wrote 28:14 93:25
95:7 117:12,22	witness's 100:17	134:17
118:1 125:13 128:17 129:13	wonder 82:9 wondered 124:8	Y
132:23 135:10	won't 22:13 81:3	1
137:2 138:24	word 25:23 30:18,19,	Yale 29:16
142:11 142:11	23 31:9 33:18 47:9	Y'all 61:11 116:1
146:1 152:12 153:23 154:25	64:10,19,22,22 65:1,2,9 70:8,11	<pre>year 12:19 20:18 63:1,25 65:21,22,</pre>
158:11	74:11 75:5 88:16	23 86:20 107:1

```
121:13,16,18
yearbooks 49:8
years 5:20 6:2 14:2
  20:3,7 21:24 41:11
  57:22,23 58:5 84:4
  98:7 107:6 108:12,
  16 109:2 116:18
  126:8 160:6
yep 36:22 107:22
  111:23
yet 34:16 66:22
  86:22 115:11 129:4,
  8 138:14
York 13:14,25 51:8
you-all 63:8 71:20
you'll 49:21 73:9
  146:9
you're 7:7 37:25
  43:8 44:6 61:5
  66:14,15 76:12
  78:14 80:22 87:24
  98:10 100:7 108:19
  111:18 130:20
  132:16 165:6
yours 25:12
yourself 32:21 68:12,
  15 142:20
YouTube 28:16 30:6
you've 5:17 25:3
  46:2 61:2 78:18
  88:15 101:15
  108:23 124:17
  132:12 145:4
  151:24 159:15
          Z
Zoom 10:16 36:18
  89:25
```